

edoc

Institutional Repository of the University of Basel  
University Library  
Schoenbeinstrasse 18-20  
CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland  
<http://edoc.unibas.ch/>

Year: 2009

## **A luminal flavoprotein in endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation**

Riemer, Jan and Appenzeller-Herzog, Christian and Johansson, Linda and Bodenmiller, Bernd and  
Hartmann-Petersen, Rasmus and Ellgaard, Lars

Posted at edoc, University of Basel

Official URL: <http://edoc.unibas.ch/dok/A5251913>

Originally published as:

Riemer, Jan and Appenzeller-Herzog, Christian and Johansson, Linda and Bodenmiller, Bernd and  
Hartmann-Petersen, Rasmus and Ellgaard, Lars. (2009) *A luminal flavoprotein in endoplasmic reticulum-  
associated degradation*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,  
Vol. 106, H. 35. S. 14831-14836.



Classification: Biological sciences; Biochemistry

## **A luminal flavoprotein in endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation**

Jan Riemer<sup>\*\*‡</sup>, Christian Appenzeller-Herzog<sup>\*</sup>, Linda Johansson<sup>\*</sup>, Bernd Bodenmiller<sup>†‡</sup>, Rasmus Hartmann-Petersen<sup>\*</sup> and Lars Ellgaard<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, 2200 Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>†</sup>Department of Molecular Systems Biology, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>‡</sup>Zurich PhD Program in Molecular Life Sciences, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland

Correspondence should be addressed to:

Lars Ellgaard (phone: +45 35 32 17 25, email: lellgaard@bio.ku.dk)

Manuscript information: pages: 24; figures: 4

Running head: A luminal flavoprotein in ERAD

Abbreviations: DSP, Dithiobis(succinimidyl)propionate; EndoH, endoglycosidase H; ER, Endoplasmic reticulum; ERFAD, ER flavoprotein associated with degradation; GR, glutathione reductase; PDI, protein disulfide isomerase; RI<sub>332</sub>, ribophorin 332; TR, thioredoxin reductase.

## ***Abstract***

The quality control system of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) discriminates between native and non-native proteins. The latter are degraded by the ER-associated degradation (ERAD) pathway. While many cytosolic and membrane components of this system are known, only few luminal players have been identified. In this study, we characterize ERFAD (ER Flavoprotein Associated with Degradation), a novel ER luminal flavoprotein that functions in ERAD. Upon knockdown of ERFAD, the degradation of the ERAD model substrate ribophorin 332 is delayed, and the overall level of polyubiquitinated cellular proteins is decreased. We also identify the ERAD components SEL1L, OS-9 and ERdj5, a known reductase of ERAD substrates, as interaction partners of ERFAD. Our data show that ERFAD facilitates the dislocation of certain ERAD substrates to the cytosol, and we discuss the findings in relation to a potential redox function of the protein.

\body

## ***Introduction***

In the secretory pathway, critical protein maturation steps occur in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). During translocation of the polypeptide chain into the ER, modifications such as N-glycosylation and disulfide-bond formation take place and a variety of chaperones assist folding. While native proteins can exit the ER by the secretory pathway, misfolded proteins and incompletely assembled protein complexes are retained by the quality control machinery of the ER (1). To prevent their toxic accumulation, non-native proteins are degraded by the cytosolic ubiquitin-proteasome system. First, proteins must be recognized as non-native. They are then transported to the site of retrotranslocation and extracted from the ER. Partial unfolding and/or reduction are likely prerequisites for transport across the membrane for many proteins. In the cytosol, proteins become polyubiquitinated by ubiquitin ligases before degradation by the proteasome. Collectively, the various steps of this process are known as ER-associated degradation (ERAD) (2, 3).

Different ERAD pathways have been defined (3). One of them is the Hrd-ligase pathway. In yeast and presumably in metazoans it mediates the degradation of proteins with luminal lesions (4). The central components of this pathway are two closely associated membrane proteins, the ubiquitin ligase Hrd1 (Hrd1p in yeast) and SEL1L (Hrd3p). SEL1L contains a large luminal domain (5-7) that likely serves as an adaptor platform for ERAD factors such as the chaperones BiP (Kar2p) and GRP94 as well as the lectin OS-9 (Yos9p) (5, 6, 8). These factors deliver misfolded proteins to SEL1L and thereby mediate the recognition of luminal substrates by the Hrd-ligase complex (9-12).

After the initial recognition step, disulfide bonds in ERAD substrates can be reduced by ERdj5 (13), a thiol-disulfide oxidoreductase of the protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) family, and luminal ERAD substrates traverse the ER membrane likely through a retrotranslocation channel (2). In the cytosol, all known ERAD pathways converge at the AAA-ATPase p97 that extracts substrates from the ER (14, 15). If substrates contain N-glycans, they are deglycosylated at this stage by the cytosolic peptidyl:N-glycanase (Png1) (16) before being degraded by the proteasome (17).

Here, we identify the previously uncharacterized ER luminal flavoprotein ERFAD that we show to interact with SEL1L, OS-9 and ERdj5. Moreover, downregulation of ERFAD stabilizes the ERAD substrate RI<sub>332</sub> and reduces the cellular level of polyubiquitinated proteins. Based on the data, and in light of the unique sequence features of ERFAD, we discuss possible mechanisms of action in ERAD.

## ***Results and Discussion***

### **ERFAD is a novel flavoprotein of the ER**

To find novel redox-active ER proteins we performed database searching for homologs of the cytosolic protein glutathione reductase (GR). This enzyme utilizes the two redox cofactors NADPH and FAD. During catalysis, two NADPH-derived electrons are transferred via FAD onto a pair of cysteines that then acts as a disulfide reductant. Performing a BLAST search with GR as query sequence we identified a previously uncharacterized open reading frame (RefSeq: NP\_079231, gene name: FOXRED2) that encodes a protein of 684 amino acids. Unlike GR, the N-terminal 26 residues are predicted to constitute an ER signal peptide and the protein contains a C-

terminal 'KEEL' ER-retrieval motif characteristic of soluble ER proteins (Fig. 1A). Based on this *in silico* analysis and on our functional studies (see below), we termed the protein ER Flavoprotein Associated with Degradation (ERFAD). Whereas the C-terminal ~250 residues of ERFAD do not contain any known domains, the N-terminal ~400 residues of the protein comprise, like GR and the related thioredoxin reductase (TR), consensus motifs for the binding of the two redox cofactors FAD and NADPH (Fig. 1A). It is noteworthy that despite the homology to GR and TR, ERFAD does not contain an equivalent of the redox-active Cys-Xaa<sub>4</sub>-Cys motif found in these two enzymes, making it unlikely that ERFAD functions by the same mechanism. Database searching revealed orthologs of ERFAD in a number of vertebrates, urochordates and in *S. purpuratus* (a sea urchin) and *O. tauri* (an algae), but not in the model organisms *S. cerevisiae*, *D. melanogaster* and *C. elegans*. *In silico* and RT-PCR analysis showed a broad tissue distribution of human ERFAD transcripts (Fig. 1B).

To investigate whether ERFAD is indeed a flavoprotein, we purified ERFAD from a HEK293 cell line stably expressing the full-length protein containing C-terminal hexa-His and FLAG tags (3B2B cells) (Fig. 1C). While in most preparations we detected only pure ERFAD, in others we co-purified varying amounts of BiP. This suggested that a fraction of ERFAD-His-FLAG, which was heavily overexpressed in 3B2B cells (~ 30 times compared to endogenous levels, data not shown), required BiP binding to remain soluble. In the absorption spectrum of purified ERFAD we detected two peaks with maxima at 370 and 450 nm characteristic of a flavin cofactor in addition to the protein peak at 280 nm (Fig. 1D). To assess the cofactor binding in more detail we released the flavin with 0.1% SDS and separated it from the protein by filtration. In the filtrate we observed a fluorescence emission peak at 535 nm upon

excitation at 450 nm (data not shown). Typical for free FAD (and not FMN) (18), this signal increased upon acidification of the solution to pH 3. Such a signal was not observed without prior denaturation of the protein indicating the specific binding of FAD to ERFAD (data not shown).

To facilitate the analysis of endogenous ERFAD, we raised an antiserum against the denatured full-length protein. After affinity purification this antiserum recognized a band of ~80 kDa corresponding to ERFAD (calculated molecular mass of 75.3 kDa without N-glycans) and a second weaker band at ~75 kDa (Fig. 1, E and F; Fig. S1A). The latter band likely represents a protein unrelated to ERFAD since siRNA-mediated downregulation of ERFAD reduced the intensity of the 80 kDa band only (Fig. S3A and S3C). As expected for an ER protein with five potential N-glycosylation sites (Fig. 1A), increased mobility was observed upon EndoH cleavage (Fig. 1E). Non-reducing SDS-PAGE resulted in only a marginal shift of the ERFAD band, suggesting that none of the six cysteines in ERFAD (Fig. 1A) are engaged in long-range intramolecular disulfide bonds (Fig. 1E). Alkali extraction of crude membranes demonstrated that ERFAD is a soluble protein (Fig. 1F). In immunofluorescence microscopy we observed a reticular staining pattern for ERFAD and co-localization with the ER chaperone Hsp47 (Fig. 1G). A similar result was obtained with transiently expressed HA-tagged ERFAD (Fig. S1B). We concluded that ERFAD is a ubiquitous soluble N-glycosylated ER flavoprotein.

### **ERFAD interacts with the ERAD components SEL1L, OS-9 and ERdj5**

To provide clues for the cellular function of ERFAD we set out to identify interaction partners. For this purpose, we generated a HEK293-derived cell line stably expressing

the protein with an HA tag inserted immediately prior to the C-terminal KEEL sequence (A11 cells). Immunoprecipitation of ERFAD-HA with two different monoclonal HA antibodies (16B12 and 12CA5) revealed one clear candidate interacting protein (Fig. 2A, arrowheads). This protein had an apparent size of ~90 kDa and contained EndoH-sensitive glycans (Fig. 2B). The interaction was not dependent on the formation of intermolecular disulfide bonds (data not shown). Scaling up of the co-immunoprecipitation experiment allowed protein identification by mass spectrometry on a glycosidase-treated sample. The results showed the excised band to contain the important ERAD component SEL1L.

To verify the interaction between ERFAD and SEL1L we immunoprecipitated ERFAD-HA from A11 cells and analyzed the eluate by Western blotting using anti-SEL1L (Fig. 2C, lane 4). In another experiment, we immunoprecipitated SEL1L from A11 cells and blotted the eluted proteins with anti-HA (Fig. 2D, lane 4). In both cases, we observed an interaction between the two proteins (Fig. 2C and D, lane 2). We could also detect the interaction between endogenous ERFAD and SEL1L when using the thiol-cleavable crosslinker dithiobis(succinimidyl)propionate (DSP) to stabilize the complex (Fig. 2E, lane 3). In addition to SEL1L, we found ERFAD to interact with two further ERAD proteins. First, the immunoprecipitate of ERFAD-HA from A11 cells contained both isoforms of OS-9 (Fig. 2F). Secondly, the PDI-family member ERdj5 precipitated with endogenous ERFAD (Fig. 2G, lane 2; Fig. 2H, lane 1), and ERFAD precipitated with endogenous ERdj5 (Fig. 2G, lane 6).

Interestingly, when using DSP we precipitated with ERFAD several additional proteins that were also recovered when reprecipitating SEL1L (Fig. 2E, lanes 3 and



4). When analyzing the precipitate under non-reducing conditions that leave crosslinks intact, we found that it appeared as a high molecular weight smear suggesting that ERFAD functions in a larger complex (Fig. 2I, lane 8). The identity of the interacting proteins is currently unknown.

### **ERFAD knockdown inhibits the degradation of RI<sub>332</sub>**

The identification of the three known ERAD components SEL1L, OS-9 and ERdj5 as interaction partners suggested a role of ERFAD in ERAD. For investigations of a potential ERAD function we established siRNA-mediated downregulation of ERFAD (Fig. S3). Although a slight increase in PERK phosphorylation was observed, ERFAD downregulation did not considerably induce the unfolded protein response (Fig. S4, A-C). Furthermore, it neither influenced significantly the steady-state levels and redox states of selected ER oxidoreductases (PDI, ERp57, TMX3) or the steady-state level of p97 (Fig. S4D-F), nor did it perturb the oxidative refolding of the disulfide-containing immunoglobulin J-chain after DTT washout (Fig. S4H). We also investigated the *in vivo* redox state of ERdj5, and showed it to be almost completely oxidized at steady state (Fig. S4G). Unfortunately, the assay did not provide a definite conclusion as to whether the redox state of a single among the four redox-active cysteine pairs in ERdj5 was affected by ERFAD knockdown of (see Supplemental Information for a discussion). Overall, ERFAD downregulation did not seem to influence general ER homeostasis and redox conditions.

We next investigated effects of ERFAD downregulation on model protein degradation. Ribophorin 332 (RI<sub>332</sub>), a truncated soluble variant of the oligosaccharyl transferase-component ribophorin I (19), is degraded in a SEL1L-dependent manner

(5). We therefore tested by pulse-chase analysis the effect of ERFAD knockdown on the stability of RI<sub>332</sub> in a HEK293 cell line that stably expresses RI<sub>332</sub> (HEK293-RI<sub>332</sub>). Immunoprecipitation with anti-ribophorin retrieved both RI<sub>332</sub> and wild-type ribophorin I. Because the latter remained unaffected by ERFAD knockdown and is a stable protein with a half-life of 25 hours (20), it was used to normalize the RI<sub>332</sub> signal. We observed a significant stabilization of RI<sub>332</sub> upon ERFAD downregulation (Fig. 3A and B). In contrast, the degradation kinetics of the  $\alpha$ -subunit of the T-cell receptor complex (TCR $\alpha$ ) was not significantly affected by ERFAD knockdown (Fig. S5, A and B), a result that fits well with the observation that TCR $\alpha$  is only marginally (if at all) influenced by SEL1L downregulation (5, 21). A similar result was observed for another ERAD substrate, the nonsecreted immunoglobulin  $\kappa$  light chain (NS1 $\kappa$ LC; (22)) (Fig. S5, C and D). In the same fashion, downregulation of other ERAD components such as OS-9 and XTP3-B only affected the degradation of certain specific substrates but not of others (8, 23, 24).

### **ERFAD knockdown leads to the accumulation of the glycosylated ER form of RI<sub>332</sub>**

Having established a stabilizing influence of ERFAD downregulation on RI<sub>332</sub>, we next investigated the possibility that this effect was due to an accumulation of RI<sub>332</sub> in the ER lumen. While luminal RI<sub>332</sub> carries an N-glycan, the cytosolic (retrotranslocated) form becomes deglycosylated by Png1 before degradation by the proteasome (19, 25). This property allows assignment of RI<sub>332</sub> to the ER or the cytosol. We immunoprecipitated RI<sub>332</sub> from HEK293-RI<sub>332</sub> cells that had been pulse-labeled and chased in the presence of the proteasome inhibitor MG132. The result showed that significantly more glycosylated RI<sub>332</sub> remained upon ERFAD knockdown

compared to control conditions (Fig. 3, C and D). To exclude that MG132 treatment prevented a fraction of RI<sub>332</sub> from entering the ER and becoming glycosylated, we analyzed HEK293-RI<sub>332</sub> cells directly after the pulse. Under these conditions, and irrespective of ERFAD knockdown, almost all RI<sub>332</sub> was present in the glycosylated ER form (data not shown), showing that in the above experiment, deglycosylated RI<sub>332</sub> indeed constituted the retrotranslocated fraction. In summary, our data strongly suggested that RI<sub>332</sub> is retained in the ER lumen when ERFAD levels are lowered.

### **ERFAD interacts with RI<sub>332</sub>**

The results so far indicated that ERFAD, like SEL1L, plays a role in the degradation of RI<sub>332</sub>. We therefore evaluated whether ERFAD and RI<sub>332</sub> could be precipitated in the same complex. To this end, we immunoprecipitated ERFAD from extracts of MG132-treated [<sup>35</sup>S]-methionine-labeled HEK293-RI<sub>332</sub> cells. Indeed, when re-immunoprecipitating RI<sub>332</sub> we predominantly recovered the glycosylated form of the protein (Fig. 3E and S6A, lanes 3 and 3'; the identity of the different RI<sub>332</sub> forms is discussed in the Fig. S6A figure legend). In an equivalent experiment using anti-SEL1L for re-immunoprecipitation, we could show that SEL1L, exactly like ERFAD, mainly co-precipitated glycosylated RI<sub>332</sub> (Fig. S6B). The finding that ERFAD coprecipitates RI<sub>332</sub> – and not full-length ribophorin (Fig. 3E and data not shown) – further supports the direct involvement of ERFAD in the degradation of this ERAD substrate.

### **The level of polyubiquitinated proteins is decreased upon ERFAD knockdown**

To evaluate the overall role of ERFAD in dislocation of ER proteins targeted for ERAD, we investigated the influence of ERFAD knockdown on the total cellular pool

of polyubiquitinated proteins. A fraction of these polyubiquitinated proteins are ERAD substrates that are polyubiquitinated in the cytosol directly after or during extraction from the ER (26). As a positive control we treated cells with MG132, which led to the accumulation of polyubiquitinated proteins (Fig. 4A). In contrast, ERFAD knockdown resulted in a clear reduction in the level of polyubiquitinated proteins (Fig. 4, B and C). The decreased levels of polyubiquitination induced by ERFAD deprivation – very much like the changes in polyubiquitination observed upon knockdown of the ER oxidoreductases PDI and ERp72 (27, 28) – were not accompanied by an induction of the unfolded protein response (Fig. S4).

### **Conclusions**

Our detailed analysis of ERAD model substrate degradation functionally connects the novel ER-luminal protein ERFAD to ERAD. Moreover, complex formation of ERFAD with SEL1L, OS-9 and ERdj5, and the observed effect of ERFAD knockdown on polyubiquitination provided additional links between ERFAD and the process of ERAD. Since the results were obtained by a variety of experimental techniques (including co-precipitation of endogenous proteins), they are unlikely to reflect indirect effects of ERFAD inactivation or overexpression. Notably, ERFAD downregulation did not prevent oxidative folding or change general ER redox conditions, and only marginally induced the unfolded protein response. Overall, our results present a coherent set of data that demonstrates a direct role of ERFAD in ERAD.

We show that the interaction with ERFAD is required for efficient retrotranslocation and degradation of RI<sub>332</sub>, an established substrate of the SEL1L/Hrd1 ERAD pathway

(5). Conversely, we observed no effect of ERFAD knockdown on the degradation of the Hrd1-independent ERAD substrate TCR $\alpha$  or on NS1 $\kappa$ LC (Fig. S5), a disulfide-containing ERAD substrate that becomes reduced by an unknown process before retrotranslocation (22). Given the putative redox activity of ERFAD (see below), the identification of RI<sub>332</sub>, which lacks disulfides, as a substrate for ERFAD may appear unexpected. However, ERFAD need not be restricted to promoting degradation of disulfide-containing ERAD substrates, as illustrated by the finding that the ER oxidoreductase PDI assists the retrotranslocation of Dgpaf, which does not contain disulfides (29).

Our studies show that ERFAD is a flavoprotein. Despite considerable effort, we were unable to purify sufficient amounts of the protein to reliably determine if it is capable of utilizing NADPH. However, the *in silico* analysis strongly suggests that ERFAD uses both FAD and NADPH, a unique feature among known ER proteins. Moreover, we show ERFAD to interact with ERdj5 that reduces disulfides in ERAD substrates (13). With FAD and NADPH as redox cofactors, ERFAD should be able to provide the electrons for the reduction of the active-site cysteines in ERdj5. While we do not have direct evidence that ERFAD is a reductase for ERdj5, this will be an obvious working hypothesis to guide future experiments. Further cell biological and biochemical studies will be aimed at improving mechanistic insight into the function of ERFAD.

## ***Materials and Methods***

### **Primers and plasmids**

The ERFAD cDNA clone IMAGE3873448 (9629-g17) was acquired from the I.M.A.G.E. consortium. The plasmids pcDNA3, pcDNA5-FRT and pOG44 were obtained from Invitrogen. The RI<sub>332</sub> construct was a gift from N. E. Ivessa, University of Vienna and the NS1  $\kappa$ LC construct was a gift from L. Hendershot, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital. The following plasmids were constructed as described in the Supplemental Materials and Methods: pcDNA3/ERFAD-HA, pcDNA5-FRT/ERFAD-HA, pRSETminiT/His-ERFAD and pcDNA3/HA-NS1  $\kappa$ LC.

### **Antibodies**

Antibodies against the following proteins and peptide tags were used: actin (Sigma), BiP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), eIF2 $\alpha$  and eIF2 $\alpha$ -phosphate (Cellular Signalling), ERdj5 (Abcam), ERp57 (gift from A. Helenius, ETH Zurich), anti-GFP (Invitrogen), HA (12CA5, gift from M. Peter, ETH Zurich and 16B12, Covance), tetra-His (Qiagen), Hsp47 (Stressgen), myc (9E10, Covance), OS-9 (Novus), p97 (30) and p112 (Biomol), PDI (Stressgen), ribophorin (gift from N. E. Ivessa, University of Vienna), SEL1L (gift from H. Ploegh, Whitehead Institute, Cambridge, MA), TMX3 (31) and ubiquitin (Dako). The secondary anti-rabbit and anti-mouse IgG coupled to horseradish peroxidase were obtained from Pierce and the Alexa Fluor 594 anti-mouse IgG from Invitrogen. A polyclonal serum against ERFAD was generated by immunizing rabbits with the full length denatured His-ERFAD protein expressed in *E.coli*. The obtained 1F6 antiserum was affinity purified (32) and used for Western blotting. For immunoprecipitations, the polyclonal anti-peptide serum SG2480 generated by immunizing rabbits with the C-terminal peptide of ERFAD (CGPLAQSVDNKEEL) was used.

## **Cell lines**

HEK293-TCR $\alpha$ -GFP cells were a gift of R. Kopito, Stanford University. Stable cell lines were either generated using the Flp-In system from Invitrogen (A11, ERFAD-HA in HEK293-FRT, selected with 0.1 mg/ml hygromycin B) or by calcium phosphate transfection of HEK293 cells with pcDNA3/ERFAD-His-FLAG (3B2B), pcDNA3/RI<sub>332</sub> (HEK293-RI<sub>332</sub>), pcDNA3/myc-J-chain (HEK293-myc-J-chain) or pcDNA3/HA-NS $\kappa$ LC (HEK293-HA-NS $\kappa$ LC) and subsequent selection with 1 mg/ml geneticin. All cells were cultured in modified Eagle medium alpha (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (LabForce AG). Stable cells were additionally supplemented with the respective antibiotic.

## **ERFAD-His-FLAG expression and purification**

ERFAD-His-FLAG was purified from 3B2B cells adapted to suspension growth in spinner flasks. Cells were grown to a density of  $1.5 \times 10^6$  cells/ml and harvested by centrifugation. The pellet was washed with PBS and resuspended in lysis buffer (TBS (50 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl) containing 1 % Triton X-100). The cleared lysate was applied onto an M2-FLAG affinity matrix (Sigma). The matrix was washed with 100 bed volumes of lysis buffer followed by TBS and eluted with 0.1 mg/ml FLAG peptide in TBS. ERFAD-containing fractions were pooled, concentrated on a 0.5 ml spin filter (MWCO 30 kDa) and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Absorption spectra were recorded on a Lambda 35 UV/Vis spectrometer (Perkin Elmer). The fluorescence of FAD was analyzed by the method of Faeder and Siegel (18) using a LS55 fluorimeter (Perkin Elmer).

### **RT-PCR analysis, Western blotting, cell fractionation, endoglycosidase H (EndoH) digests and immunofluorescence**

Total RNA was isolated (GenElute Total RNA kit, Sigma), the concentration adjusted and mRNA reverse transcribed (Enhanced avian reverse transcriptase kit, Sigma). PCR reactions with primers specific for ERFAD (for: aagaagccaacaccaacc; rev: actcctccaggtactcaaa) and actin (for: ggacttcgagcaagatgg; rev: agcactgtgttgcgctacag) were performed and analyzed on 1% agarose gels. All other methods were performed as described previously (31), except for the immunofluorescence on endogenous ERFAD where cells were fixed in methanol for 5 min at -20°C.

### **Transfections and siRNA-mediated knockdown**

Cells were transfected by the calcium phosphate transfection method. For siRNA-mediated knockdown, four siRNAs against ERFAD and a non-silencing control siRNA (QIAGEN) were generated against the target sequences provided in the Supplemental Material and Methods.

### **MG132, zVAD-fmk, and cycloheximide incubations**

MG132 (Sigma, 50 mM stock in DMSO) was used at a final concentration of 5  $\mu$ M in DMEM without FCS. zVAD-fmk (Sigma, 10 mM stock in DMSO) was used at a final concentration of 25  $\mu$ M in DMEM without FCS. Cycloheximide (Sigma, 10 mg/ml stock in water) was used at a final concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/ml in MEM $\alpha$  + 10% FCS.

### **Metabolic labeling and immunoprecipitations**

Pulse-chase experiments with [<sup>35</sup>S] Express protein labeling mix (PerkinElmer) and immunoprecipitations from cell lysates were performed as described (33), with the



exception that before lysis cells were treated with 20 mM NEM in PBS on ice to block free cysteines. The following IP lysis buffer was used for native immunoprecipitation: 50 mM HEPES/NaOH pH 7.2, 50 mM NaCl, 125 mM K-acetate, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM EDTA, 3 % glycerol, 1% NP-40. Quantification was performed on phosphorimager scans using the ImageQuant software (GE Healthcare).

### **DSP crosslinking**

Cells were washed twice with icecold PBS and then incubated with 1 mM DSP (Pierce) in PBS for 20 min. The crosslinking reaction was stopped with 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and 20 mM NEM.

### ***Acknowledgements***

We thank all members of the Ellgaard lab, K. Hendil and J. Winther for helpful discussions. We also thank A.M. Lauridsen, A. Kyburz, C. Ashiono and M. Nielsen for excellent technical assistance. We thank R. Aebersold, Institute of Molecular Systems Biology, ETH Zurich, for help with mass spectrometry. We are grateful to A. Helenius, N. E. Ivessa, R. Kopito, M. Peter, L. Hendershot and H. Ploegh for sharing reagents, and the Institute of Biochemistry, ETH Zurich for support. Funding was obtained from the Novo Nordisk Foundation, the Danish Natural Science Research Council and the Novartis Stiftung. J.R. and B.B. are fellows of the Boehringer Ingelheim Fonds and C. A.-H. is a fellow of the Swiss National Science Foundation.

### ***References***

1. Anelli, T. & Sitia, R. (2008) Protein quality control in the early secretory pathway. *EMBO J* 27:315-327.

2. Kincaid, M. M. & Cooper, A. A. (2007) ERADicate ER stress or die trying. *Antioxid Redox Signal* 9:2373-2387.
3. Nakatsukasa, K. & Brodsky, J. L. (2008) The recognition and retrotranslocation of misfolded proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum. *Traffic* 9:861-870.
4. Kikkert, M., Doolman, R., Dai, M., Avner, R., Hassink, G., van Voorden, S., Thanedar, S., Roitelman, J., Chau, V., & Wiertz, E. (2004) Human HRD1 is an E3 ubiquitin ligase involved in degradation of proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum. *J Biol Chem* 279:3525-3534.
5. Mueller, B., Lilley, B. N., & Ploegh, H. L. (2006) SEL1L, the homologue of yeast Hrd3p, is involved in protein dislocation from the mammalian ER. *J Cell Biol* 175:261-270.
6. Lilley, B. N. & Ploegh, H. L. (2005) Multiprotein complexes that link dislocation, ubiquitination, and extraction of misfolded proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum membrane. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102:14296-14301.
7. Mueller, B., Klemm, E. J., Spooner, E., Claessen, J. H., & Ploegh, H. L. (2008) SEL1L nucleates a protein complex required for dislocation of misfolded glycoproteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105:12325-12330.
8. Christianson, J. C., Shaler, T. A., Tyler, R. E., & Kopito, R. R. (2008) OS-9 and GRP94 deliver mutant alpha1-antitrypsin to the Hrd1-SEL1L ubiquitin ligase complex for ERAD. *Nat Cell Biol* 10:272-282.
9. Gauss, R., Jarosch, E., Sommer, T., & Hirsch, C. (2006) A complex of Yos9p and the HRD ligase integrates endoplasmic reticulum quality control into the degradation machinery. *Nat Cell Biol* 8:849-854.
10. Carvalho, P., Goder, V., & Rapoport, T. A. (2006) Distinct ubiquitin-ligase complexes define convergent pathways for the degradation of ER proteins. *Cell* 126:361-373.
11. Denic, V., Quan, E. M., & Weissman, J. S. (2006) A luminal surveillance complex that selects misfolded glycoproteins for ER-associated degradation. *Cell* 126:349-359.
12. Quan, E. M., Kamiya, Y., Kamiya, D., Denic, V., Weibezahn, J., Kato, K., & Weissman, J. S. (2008) Defining the glycan destruction signal for endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation. *Mol Cell* 32:870-877.

13. Ushioda, R., Hoseki, J., Araki, K., Jansen, G., Thomas, D. Y., & Nagata, K. (2008) ERdj5 is required as a disulfide reductase for degradation of misfolded proteins in the ER. *Science* 321:569-572.
14. Ye, Y., Meyer, H. H., & Rapoport, T. A. (2001) The AAA ATPase Cdc48/p97 and its partners transport proteins from the ER into the cytosol. *Nature* 414:652-656.
15. Rabinovich, E., Kerem, A., Frohlich, K. U., Diamant, N., & Bar-Nun, S. (2002) AAA-ATPase p97/Cdc48p, a cytosolic chaperone required for endoplasmic reticulum-associated protein degradation. *Mol Cell Biol* 22:626-634.
16. Suzuki, T., Park, H., Hollingsworth, N. M., Sternglanz, R., & Lennarz, W. J. (2000) PNG1, a yeast gene encoding a highly conserved peptide:N-glycanase. *J Cell Biol* 149:1039-1052.
17. Richly, H., Rape, M., Braun, S., Rumpf, S., Hoegge, C., & Jentsch, S. (2005) A series of ubiquitin binding factors connects CDC48/p97 to substrate multiubiquitylation and proteasomal targeting. *Cell* 120:73-84.
18. Faeder, E. J. & Siegel, L. M. (1973) A rapid micromethod for determination of FMN and FAD in mixtures. *Anal Biochem* 53:332-336.
19. de Virgilio, M., Weninger, H., & Ivessa, N. E. (1998) Ubiquitination is required for the retro-translocation of a short-lived luminal endoplasmic reticulum glycoprotein to the cytosol for degradation by the proteasome. *J Biol Chem* 273:9734-9743.
20. Tsao, Y. S., Ivessa, N. E., Adesnik, M., Sabatini, D. D., & Kreibich, G. (1992) Carboxy terminally truncated forms of ribophorin I are degraded in pre-Golgi compartments by a calcium-dependent process. *J Cell Biol* 116:57-67.
21. Cattaneo, M., Otsu, M., Fagioli, C., Martino, S., Lotti, L. V., Sitia, R., & Biunno, I. (2008) SEL1L and HRD1 are involved in the degradation of unassembled secretory Ig-micro chains. *J Cell Physiol* 215:794-802.
22. Okuda-Shimizu, Y. & Hendershot, L. M. (2007) Characterization of an ERAD pathway for nonglycosylated BiP substrates, which require Herp. *Mol Cell* 28:544-554.
23. Bernasconi, R., Pertel, T., Luban, J., & Molinari, M. (2008) A dual task for the Xbp1-responsive OS-9 variants in the mammalian endoplasmic reticulum:

- inhibiting secretion of misfolded protein conformers and enhancing their disposal. *J Biol Chem* 283:16446-16454.
24. Hosokawa, N., Wada, I., Nagasawa, K., Moriyama, T., Okawa, K., & Nagata, K. (2008) Human XTP3-B Forms an Endoplasmic Reticulum Quality Control Scaffold with the HRD1-SEL1L Ubiquitin Ligase Complex and BiP. *J Biol Chem* 283:20914-20924.
  25. Kitzmuller, C., Caprini, A., Moore, S. E., Frenoy, J. P., Schwaiger, E., Kellermann, O., Ivessa, N. E., & Ermonval, M. (2003) Processing of N-linked glycans during endoplasmic-reticulum-associated degradation of a short-lived variant of ribophorin I. *Biochem J* 376:687-696.
  26. Elkabetz, Y., Shapira, I., Rabinovich, E., & Bar-Nun, S. (2004) Distinct steps in dislocation of luminal endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation substrates: roles of endoplasmic reticulum-bound p97/Cdc48p and proteasome. *J Biol Chem* 279:3980-3989.
  27. Forster, M. L., Sivick, K., Park, Y. N., Arvan, P., Lencer, W. I., & Tsai, B. (2006) Protein disulfide isomerase-like proteins play opposing roles during retrotranslocation. *J Cell Biol* 173:853-859.
  28. Schelhaas, M., Malmstrom, J., Pelkmans, L., Haugstetter, J., Ellgaard, L., Grunewald, K., & Helenius, A. (2007) Simian Virus 40 depends on ER protein folding and quality control factors for entry into host cells. *Cell* 131:516-529.
  29. Wahlman, J., DeMartino, G. N., Skach, W. R., Bulleid, N. J., Brodsky, J. L., & Johnson, A. E. (2007) Real-time fluorescence detection of ERAD substrate retrotranslocation in a mammalian in vitro system. *Cell* 129:943-955.
  30. Hartmann-Petersen, R., Wallace, M., Hofmann, K., Koch, G., Johnsen, A. H., Hendil, K. B., & Gordon, C. (2004) The Ubx2 and Ubx3 cofactors direct Cdc48 activity to proteolytic and nonproteolytic ubiquitin-dependent processes. *Curr Biol* 14:824-828.
  31. Haugstetter, J., Blicher, T., & Ellgaard, L. (2005) Identification and characterization of a novel thioredoxin-related transmembrane protein of the endoplasmic reticulum. *J Biol Chem* 280:8371-8380.
  32. Perides, G., Plagens, U., & Traub, P. (1986) Protein transfer from fixed, stained, and dried polyacrylamide gels and immunoblot with protein A-gold. *Anal Biochem* 152:94-99.

33. Appenzeller-Herzog, C., Nyfeler, B., Burkhard, P., Santamaria, I., Lopez-Otin, C., & Hauri, H. P. (2005) Carbohydrate- and conformation-dependent cargo capture for ER-exit. *Mol Biol Cell* 16:1258-1267.

## ***Figure legends***

**Fig. 1:** ERFAD is a novel ER flavoprotein. (A) Domain organization of the ERFAD protein. The two dinucleotide-binding motifs of the GXGXXG-type for FAD and NADPH binding are shown aligned with the corresponding motifs in GR, TR and a consensus motif (amino acid residues: h = hydrophobic, o = polar/charged, + = positively charged, n = neutral). The sequence positions of the five N-glycosylation sites and the six cysteines in ERFAD are depicted. (B) RT-PCR analysis of ERFAD. Total RNA was isolated from different human tissue culture cells, reverse transcribed and amplified with primers specific for ERFAD and actin. HeLa: cervical epithelial carcinoma; Huh7, HepG2: hepatocellular carcinoma; CF-PAC-1: pancreatic adeno carcinoma; A375, Meljuso: melanoma; HT1080: fibrosarcoma breast cancer; OVCAR3, SKOV3: ovarian epithelial carcinoma; LRB003, LRB010: embryonic stem cells (C) Purified recombinant ERFAD-His-FLAG visualized by Coomassie staining. (D) Absorption spectra of purified ERFAD-His-FLAG. The two peaks at 370 nm and 450 nm are indicative of the flavin cofactor. The inset shows the complete spectrum including the protein peak at 280 nm. (E) Glycosylation and oxidation state of human ERFAD. Lysates from HEK293 cells were treated as indicated, and analyzed by Western blotting against endogenous ERFAD. Asterisk, background band; CHO, N-glycans. (F) Subcellular fractionation of HEK293 cells. After isolation and sodium carbonate extraction of crude membranes, followed by ultracentrifugation through a sucrose cushion, the distribution of ERFAD, ERp57 (a soluble ER protein) and TMX3 (an ER membrane protein) was visualized by Western blotting. Asterisk, background band. (G) Immunofluorescence microscopy of ERFAD in HEK293 cells. Cells were fixed and stained with anti-ERFAD (1F6, red) and anti-Hsp47 (green). A merged image is shown in the right panel.

**Fig. 2:** ERFAD interacts with the ERAD components SEL1L and OS-9. (A) Immunoprecipitation of ERFAD-HA. Cells stably expressing ERFAD-HA (A11) and control cells (FRT) were [<sup>35</sup>S] pulse-labeled for 16 hours, Triton X-100 lysates immunoprecipitated with anti-HA (16B12 and 12CA5), and samples separated by reducing SDS-PAGE. The position of a co-immunoprecipitating 90 kDa band is indicated by arrowheads. (B) Immunoprecipitation of ERFAD-HA with 16B12, undigested (lane 1) and digested (lane 2) with EndoH. The position of the protein identified by mass spectrometry – SEL1L – is indicated. CHO, N-glycans. (C) SEL1L co-immunoprecipitates with ERFAD-HA. Immunoprecipitations from lysates of A11 or HEK293 cells were performed with anti-HA, and subsequently analyzed by Western blotting as indicated. Asterisk, background band. (D) ERFAD-HA co-immunoprecipitates with SEL1L. Immunoprecipitations from lysates of A11 cells were performed with anti-SEL1L and subsequently analyzed by Western blotting with anti-HA, anti-SEL1L (contrast-enhanced blot included to better see the input) and anti-p112 as a specificity control. Asterisk, background band. (E) Endogenous ERFAD and SEL1L co-immunoprecipitate upon crosslinking with DSP. After incubation with DSP, ERFAD was immunoprecipitated from [<sup>35</sup>S] pulse-labeled HEK293 cells. The immunoprecipitate was either analyzed directly (lanes 1 and 4) or re-immunoprecipitated with antibodies against SEL1L (lane 2 and 3). For comparison an anti-SEL1L immunoprecipitate is loaded in lane 5. Samples were analyzed by reducing SDS-PAGE, which resolves the thiol-cleavable crosslink between ERFAD and SEL1L. (F) OS-9.1 and 9.2 co-precipitate with ERFAD-HA. Immunoprecipitations from A11 or HEK293 cell lysates were performed with anti-HA, and analyzed by Western blotting with antibodies against OS-9 and the HA tag.

Asterisk, background band. (G) Endogenous ERFAD and ERdj5 co-immunoprecipitate. ERFAD was immunoprecipitated from [<sup>35</sup>S] pulse-labeled HEK293 cells with anti-ERFAD (SG2480). The immunoprecipitate was either analyzed directly (lane 1) or re-immunoprecipitated with anti-ERdj5 (lane 2). As a control, pre-immune serum was used instead of anti-ERFAD (lanes 3 and 4). In another experiment, ERdj5 was immunoprecipitated from pulse-labeled HEK293 cells and either analyzed directly (lane 5) or immunoprecipitated with anti-ERFAD (lane 6). Samples were analyzed by reducing SDS-PAGE. Arrowhead, ERFAD. (H) Endogenous ERFAD and ERdj5 co-immunoprecipitate. Immunoprecipitations from lysates of HEK293 cells were performed with anti-ERFAD (1F6) or pre-immune serum and subsequently analyzed by Western blotting with anti-ERdj5 and anti-ERFAD. Asterisk, background band. (I) Numerous proteins immunoprecipitate with endogenous ERFAD upon DSP crosslinking. Immunoprecipitates of ERFAD after treatment with increasing concentrations of DSP were either analyzed reducing or non-reducing. Arrows indicate proteins that coprecipitate with ERFAD upon crosslinking.

**Fig. 3:** ERFAD knockdown stabilizes the ERAD model substrate RI<sub>332</sub>. (A) Decay of RI<sub>332</sub> upon ERFAD knockdown. HEK293 cells stably expressing RI<sub>332</sub> were transfected with ERFAD siRNA#1 (#1) and non-silencing control siRNA (c). 72 hours after transfection cells were pulse labeled for 20 minutes and chased for the indicated times. SDS lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-ribophorin. (B) Quantification of three independent RI<sub>332</sub> decay experiments (RI<sub>332</sub> signal normalized to full length RI). \*\*\*, p<0.005 and \*, p<0.5 (C) Ratio of the glycosylated ER form of RI<sub>332</sub> versus the deglycosylated cytosolic form of RI<sub>332</sub> upon



ERFAD knockdown. ERFAD-silenced and control HEK-RI<sub>332</sub> cells were pulse-labeled for 20 minutes and chased for 3 hours in the presence of MG132. SDS lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-ribophorin and half of the eluate was PNGaseF treated. The two glycosylation states of RI<sub>332</sub> are indicated. (D) Quantification of three independent experiments as performed in (C). \*\*, p<0.05 (E) Co-immunoprecipitation of ERFAD and RI<sub>332</sub>. HEK293-RI<sub>332</sub> cells were pulse-labeled for 5 hours in the presence of MG132. Anti-ERFAD immunoprecipitates were either analyzed directly (lane 1) or re-immunoprecipitated with anti-ERFAD (lane 2) or anti-ribophorin (lane 3). In lanes 4-7 SDS lysates from cells labeled in the presence or absence of zVAD-fmk (+MG132) were immunoprecipitated with anti-ribophorin with or without subsequent PNGaseF digest to allow the assignment of the three different forms of RI<sub>332</sub> (RI<sub>332</sub>+CHO, RI<sub>332</sub>-CHO and \*). The arrowhead indicates the minor fraction of deglycosylated RI<sub>332</sub> (RI<sub>332</sub>-CHO) interacting with ERFAD. For a contrast-enhanced version see Fig. S6A.

**Fig. 4:** The knockdown of ERFAD decreases the cellular amount of polyubiquitinated proteins. (A) Accumulation of polyubiquitinated proteins upon MG132 treatment. HEK293 cells were either left untreated or treated with MG132, lysates were adjusted by a BCA assay to the same protein concentration and analyzed by Western blotting with anti-ubiquitin. (B) Accumulation of polyubiquitinated proteins upon ERFAD knockdown. HEK293 cells were transfected with ERFAD siRNA#1 and non-silencing control siRNA (c). Cells were lysed 72 hours after transfection, lysates were adjusted to the same concentration and analyzed by Western blotting with anti-ERFAD, anti-ubiquitin and anti-actin. (C) Quantification of three independent experiments performed as described in (B) and plotted as percent of control.







