Andreas Willi

1. Introduction

1.1. Historical sketch

Greek is an Indo-European language that has been spoken on the Balkan Peninsula since the 2nd millennium BCE. Within the language family, correspondences with Indo-Iranian and Armenian, especially in the area of the morphological system, suggest a central group of languages that underwent innovations after other branches including Italic, Celtic, and Germanic had already separated from the original language. On the Balkan Peninsula, Greek must have come into contact with pre-Indo-European substrate languages, but traces of them can only be seen in the vocabulary (loanwords: e.g. ἀσάμινθος 'bathtub').

Greek is first attested in writing in the 14th and 13th centuries BCE in the "Mycenaean" documents primarily from Crete, the Peloponnese, and central Greece. These are administrative texts (lists of tributes, allocations, etc.) written in the syllabic script known as "Linear B," which is predominantly preserved on clay tablets from the Bronze Age palace archives of sites like Knossos, Mycenae, and Thebes. With the mid-20th-century decipherment of Linear B, Greek became the Indo-European language family attested for the longest stretch of history.

An extensive textual tradition commences, however, only several centuries after the end of the Mycenaean civilization around 1200 BCE. Its prerequisite was the takeover of the alphabet from the Northwest Semitic sphere (§1.2). The earliest, at first still brief, inscriptions date from the 8th century and are thus approximately contemporary with the recording of the Homeric epics, the *Iliad* and *Odyssey*. The latter represent the culmination of a centuries-old tradition of *oral poetry*, as shown by their stylistic and linguistic form (formulaic verse technique, metrically preserved archaisms). At the same time they constitute the most important reference point for Greek literature in the following centuries until well beyond the Classical period. Thus, epic influences are unmistakable for example in Archaic lyric (7th–5th c.) or 5th-century Athenian tragedy.

Our earliest extensively preserved prose texts come from the Classical era of the 5th and 4th centuries BCE. Alongside historians like Herodotus and Thucydides, the Athenian orators (Lysias, Demosthenes, etc.) and philosophers (Plato, Aristotle) are especially important here. Comedy (Aristophanes, Menander) gives us a glimpse of colloquial language, which in view of the nature of the sources is otherwise accessible to us only to a very limited extent through meager inscriptional evidence (graffiti and the like). Papyrus documents referring to everyday matters are not available before the Hellenistic period.

An awareness of the extensive dialectal variety of Greek is indispensable for the cultural understanding of all these texts. Until at least the 4th century every town or region uses its own local dialect, without any one of the dialects being regarded as a general standard. Even so, most literary genres are closely associated with a specific variety. Epic poetry, for instance, is generally Ionic in character, and choral lyric Doric.

On the basis of dialectal isoglosses, only a few of which can be mentioned here, the dialects of the Classical period are divided into four groups: Aeolic, Doric-Northwest Greek, Arcado-Cypriote, and Attic-Ionic. The first two go back to a northern Greek dialect sphere of the 2nd millennium BCE, the others to a southern Greek one. The most important criterion for this distinction is the southern Greek assibilation /ti/ > /si/. By contrast, the change of original (not secondary) /a:/ to /ɛ:/ in Attic-Ionic dates only to the early 1st millennium (e.g. Att.-Ion. δῆμος 'people' vs. Dor. δᾶμος; cf. §2). Within Attic-Ionic, most characteristic of Attic is the geminate consonant $\tau\tau$ in words like θ άλα $\tau\tau$ α 'sea', where Ionic and other dialects have $\sigma\sigma$ (θ άλα $\sigma\sigma$ α).

During the 5th century BCE, Athens established itself as a supraregional power in the Aegean, not least in reaction to the growth of the Persian empire, which was encroaching more and more into regions of Greek settlement in Asia Minor. This development, together with an intensification of inner-Greek trade exchange, led to an increase in dialect contact and mixture. Since Athens at the same time became culturally predominant, Attic spread far beyond its ancestral domain, thereby abandoning its most idiosyncratic traits such as the $\tau\tau$ geminate mentioned above. This "internationalized" Attic is the basis of the "Koine" (i.e., 'common language') into which all the regional dialects gradually merged from the 4th century onward. The Koine tread its own path to victory from the end of that century, in the "Hellenistic" period, with the expansion of the Macedonian empire and its successor states into the Near East and Egypt (Alexandria). By Roman times at the latest, the old local dialects had all but disappeared. Literary and other texts

were now regularly written in the Koine (e.g., the New Testament, and before it the Septuagint), with a more or less strong orientation towards 5th- and 4th-century Classical Attic depending on the level of education of each author and/or their intended audience. Due to the puristic efforts of the "Atticists," Attic increasingly turned into a linguistic yardstick, and because of the cultural significance of the Classical authors Attic has formed the basis of grammatical descriptions of ancient Greek to this day. The following sketch, too, follows this tradition. In addition, however, important developments in the Hellenistic Koine are highlighted, since Greek entered into the orbit of (Late) Old Testament culture in that period.

1.2. Script

Just as each region of Greece in the Archaic and Classical ages had its own dialect (§1.1), so too did each region have its own distinctive version of the alphabet, which was adapted from the Phoenician alphabet probably in the 9th century. All these "local" ("epichoric") alphabets share the important innovation that some of the Semitic consonant letters were reinterpreted as indispensable vowel symbols. Regional divergences especially concern the newly created supplementary letters added at the end of the alphabet for the phonemes /pʰ/, /kʰ/ and the phoneme sequence /ps/.

The Classical alphabet, which was officially introduced in Athens in 403/2 BCE, was originally the epichoric alphabet of the East Ionians of Asia Minor. Characteristic are the addition of Ω for open /ɔ:/ at the end of the alphabet and the reinterpretation of H as open /ɛ:/ instead of earlier /h/, which was possible because East Ionic, unlike most of the other dialects, no longer had a phoneme /h/. After the abandonment of the obsolete letters F (for lost /w/: §2.2) and φ (for velar /k/ before back vowels) the following 24 letters were left. Most of them denote individual phonemes, but a few render phoneme sequences (Z, Ξ , Ψ) (cf. further §2):

 A, α Δ , δ Ε, ε Ζ, ζ $H, \eta \Theta, \theta I, \iota$ $K, \kappa \Lambda, \lambda M, \mu$ /a(:)/ $/t^h/$ /k/ /b/ /g/ /d//e/ /sd/ /ε:/ /i(:)/ /m/ O, o $\Pi, \pi P, \rho$ Σ , σ / ς Τ, τ Υ, υ Φ, φ Χ, χ $\Psi, \psi \quad \Omega, \omega$ /n/ /ks/ /o/ /p/ /r/ /s/ /t/ /ü(:)/ p^h $/k^h/$ /ps/

2. Phonology

2.1. Vowels

In prehistoric times, Greek had five short and five long vowels (/a(:)/, /e(:)/, /i(:)/, /o(:)/, /u(:)/). Until the Classical period, the short-vowel system remained relatively stable, except that /u/ shifted to /u/ in Attic-Ionic.

The long-vowel system not only underwent the corresponding shift of /u:/ to /ü:/ and – again in Attic-Ionic – the change of inherited /a:/ to /ɛ:/ (§1.1, 3.1.3), but also saw the addition of one back and one front vowel by vowel contraction (after loss of intervocalic consonants like *-s-) and compensatory lengthening (e.g. *-Vns- > /-V:s-/). The long vowels /e:/ and /o:/ created in this way were more close than inherited /ɛ:/ and /ɔ:/, so that (including a similarly created new /a:/) a system with five short and seven long vowels resulted (Table 1). Alongside these twelve vowels there are short and long diphthongs, some of which are likewise inherited, while others (especially among the long diphthongs) arose only within Greek:

```
/ai/, /ei/, /oi/, /üi/ (< /ui/)
/au/, /eu/, /ou/
/a:i/, /ɛ:i/, /ɔ:i/
(rare) /a:u/, /ɛ:u/, /ɔ:u/
```

Graphically, /e:/ appears in Classical orthography as EI and /o:/ as OY. This was made possible by the fact that the original diphthongs /ei/ and /ou/, which had always been written EI and OY, monophthongized early to /e:/ and /o:/ respectively, merging with the secondary long vowels. The more open (old) long vowels /ɛ:/ and /ɔ:/, on the other hand, were written with H and Ω (§1.2).

Possibly as a result of the /u:/ > /ü:/ shift, probably already in the 5th century, the new long vowel /o:/ developed into /u:/, so that the long vowels were better distributed on the back axis. There was no corresponding shift in the short vowels, because there was only one *o*-vowel there.

Table 1. Classical Greek vowels

Short		Long		
/i/	/ü/	/i:/	/ü:/	
/€	e/ /o/ /a/	/e:/	/ɛː/ /ɔː/	/o:/

Since on the front axis /i:/ remained unchanged, here there was no empty slot that could have been filled by /e:/. Nevertheless in the 4th century at the latest, /e:/ was raised to /i:/, thus merging with original /i:/. At the same time / ϵ :/ shifted forward, becoming a new /e:/, which ultimately, in the Roman period, likewise became /i:/. Once again a new / ϵ :/ arose at the same time, as the previous diphthong /ai/ monophthongized to / ϵ :/ (while /oi/ became / ϵ :/). The Roman period also saw the definitive loss of distinctive vowel quantity, which accompanied the change from pitch accent to stress accent (§2.3). Still later is the change from / ϵ :/ likewise to /i(:)/, resulting in the Byzantine–Modern Greek vowel system.

For the Hellenistic Koine, then, something like the system in Table 2 can be laid out. The diphthongs /ai/, /oi/, /üi/, /au/, and /eu/ are preserved, while /a:i/, /ɛ:i/, and /ɔ:i/ have become pure long vowels through loss of their second element. They are still written AI, HI, Ω I (Byzantine α , η , ω with "ı subscript"), but the pronunciation is now /a:/, /e:/, /o:/.

2.2. Consonants

During the Classical period the phonological system of Attic included the consonants shown in Table 3. Consonantal /w/, which in earlier times is still attested in various dialects (spelled F), disappeared in the

Short			Long			
/i/	/ü/		/i:/	/ü:/		/u:/
I	Y		I, EI	Y		OY
/	e/	/o/	,	/e:/	/o:/	
F	Ξ	0]	Н	Ω	
	/a/			/a:/		
	A			A		

Table 2. Koine Greek vowels and orthography

Table 3. Classical Greek consonants

stops	/p/, /t/, / k/
	/b/, /d/, /g/
	$/p^{h}/, /t^{h}/, /k^{h}/$
nasals	/m/, /n/
liquids	/l/, /r/
fricatives	/s/, /h/

prehistory of Attic-Ionic, albeit later than its counterpart /y/; /w/ and /y/ were preserved only as the second component of diphthongs.

The stops comprise a labial, a dental (alveolar), and a velar series, each with a voiceless, voiced, and voiceless aspirated representative. Only in the Late Hellenistic and Roman period did the voiceless aspirates $/p^h/$, $/t^h/$, $/k^h/$ ($\sim \Phi$, Θ , X) become fricatives (/f/, $/\theta/$, /x/). Also late, but difficult to date, is the shift of the voiced stops to voiced fricatives (/b/, /d/, /g/ ($\sim B$, Δ , Γ) > /v/, $/\delta/$, $/\chi/$).

In addition to the labial and dental nasals with their own letters (M, N) there is a velar nasal [ŋ]. Since this occurs only for /n/ before a velar and possibly for a velar before /m/ (spelled $\Gamma\Gamma$, Γ K, Γ X, or Γ M), it does not have phonemic status.

Likewise, the word-initial voiceless pronunciation of the normally voiced /r/ is only allophonic; since Byzantine times it is graphically reflected by writing P with a *spiritus asper* ("rough breathing," 'P, $\dot{\rho}$; cf. below), whereas early inscriptions occasionally show PH.

The phoneme /s/ is realized as [z] before voiced sounds. For the letter Z, a bi-phonemic pronunciation [zd] (rather than [dz]) is likely until the Classical period. Some time in the 4th century this must have been simplified to [z(z)], so that /z/ too acquired phonemic status in Hellenistic Greek.

Finally, the glottal fricative / h/ occurs only word-initially and in compound forms (e.g. εὕhoρκος /eu-horkos/). While its loss in individual dialects such as East Ionic occurred early ("psilosis"), /h/ persists in the Koine well into the Roman period. However, as soon as the letter H came to be used for /ε:/ and no longer designated /h/ (§1.2), the latter sound was no longer written, until the Alexandrian grammarians developed the *spiritus asper* from an epigraphic variant of H, namely \d (' in $\dot{\alpha}$ -, $\dot{\epsilon}$ - etc.).

Nasals, liquids, (voiceless) stops, and /s/ can also be geminated; the voiceless aspirated geminated stops are written $\Pi\Phi$, $T\Theta$, KX, with only the second element aspirated.

2.3. Accent

Until the Hellenistic period, Greek did not have a stress (intensity, loudness) accent, but a pitch (frequency) accent. This accent can fall on one of the last three syllables of a word if its last syllable is short (i.e. contains a short vowel) or on one of the last two syllables if the last one is long (i.e. contains a long vowel or a diphthong); the placement of the accent for each word is determined paradigmatically. Accents are written in papyri since the Alexandrian period, but systematically only later.

3. Morphology

3.1. Nouns

3.1.1. General

The declension of nouns includes five cases (nominative, genitive, dative, accusative, vocative), three numbers (singular, plural, dual), and three genders (masculine, feminine, neuter). Traces of a separate instrumental, ablative, and locative are only visible as relics (cf. locative adv. οἴκοι 'at home', instrumental Mycenaean-Homeric -pi or -φι e.g. in βίηφι 'with force'). The dual, which was lost early in Ionic, survived in Attic until the 4th century, when it disappeared there too. The genders, as in many modern languages, only partly correspond with the biological sex of an item. To be sure, male beings are mostly masculine and female beings feminine, but inanimate and abstract items are far from always neuter, and e.g. diminutives in -ιον/-ίδιον (§5) are neuter even when they refer to persons (cf. π αιδίον 'child').

Nouns are divided into three declensions according to the final sound of the stem: the first or \bar{a} -declension, the second "thematic" or o-declension, and the third declension. The \bar{a} -declension originally represents a subgroup of the third declension, but in historical times it rather groups with the o-declension, as o-stem masculines, especially in the adjective paradigm, are regularly paralleled by \bar{a} -stem "motionsfeminina" (e.g. masc. δίκαιος, fem. δικαία 'equitable'). Accordingly, the o-declension includes primarily masculines and neuters, and the \bar{a} -declension feminines, but exceptions do occur (e.g. fem. $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{e}\nu$ oç 'maiden'), and within the \bar{a} -declension there is even a special masculine type (§3.1.3). Similarly, in the third declension certain formal types are associated with a specific gender (e.g. masc. $-\tau\eta\rho$, fem. $-\sigma\iota\varsigma$, neut. $-\mu\alpha$; cf. §5), but overall the three genders are balanced here.

3.1.2. o-Declension

Masc. λόγος 'word' and neut. δῶρον 'gift' serve as examples for the o-declension. Masculines and neuters are distinguished only in the nominative (and vocative) singular and the nominative and accusative plural. As in all neuter paradigms, the neuter nominative and accusative are identical. In the plural the nominative forms are also used for the vocative (Table 4). Alongside -οις, the dative plural is sometimes -οισι(ν) (with or without - ν), which predominates in a few non-Attic dialects. A typical feature of Homeric Greek is -οιο for the genitive singular.

Due to some sound changes in Attic-Ionic (esp. -ηo- > - $\epsilon\omega$ -), there is a subgroup of the o-declension known as the "Attic" declension for words like $\nu\epsilon\omega\zeta$ 'temple' (Table 5); because of its irregularity this is lost in Koine Greek.

reek o-declension

	Sg.	Pl.
nom.	λόγ-ος (δῶρ-ον)	λόγ-οι (δῶρ-α)
gen.	λόγ-ου	λόγ-ων
dat.	λόγ-ῳ	λόγ-οις
acc.	λόγ-ον (δῶρ-ον)	λόγ-ους (δῶρ-α)
voc.	λόγ-∈ (δῶρ-ον)	= nom.

Table 5. Classical Greek "Attic" declension

	Sg.	Pl.
nom.	νεώς	ν∈ώ
gen.	νεώ	νεών
dat.	ν€ώ	νεώς
acc.	ν€ών	ν€ώς

3.1.3. ā-Declension

Examples for the \bar{a} -declension are fem. τιμή 'honor' and masc. πολίτης 'citizen' (Table 6). Masculines and feminines are distinct in the nominative and genitive singular, where the masculines have taken over -ς and -ou from the o-stems; and masculines in -της have their own vocative

Table 6. Classical Greek ā-declension

	Sg.	Pl.
nom.	τιμ-ή (πολίτ-ης)	τιμ-αί
gen.	τιμ-ῆς (πολίτ-ου)	τιμ-ῶν
dat.	τιμ-ῆ	τιμ-αῖς
acc.	τιμ-ήν	τιμ-ᾶ΄ς
VOC.	= Nom. (πολîτ-α)	= Nom.

singular. The name " \bar{a} -declension" refers to the stem-final original /a:/ that in Attic-Ionic has mostly become /ε:/ (§2.1). In Attic the change generally does not occur after ϵ , ι , ρ as in χώρ $\bar{\alpha}$ 'land' (gen. χώρ α ς beside τιμῆς etc.). Again the dative plural has a variant - α ισι(ν) (Homeric - η σι(ν)) alongside - α ις. In the genitive plural the typical stem-final - α -/- η - is missing, since - $\hat{\omega}\nu$ is a contraction of - $\hat{\alpha}\omega\nu$.

A subgroup of the \bar{a} -declension is formed by the otherwise identically inflected feminines like $\tau\rho\acute{a}\pi\epsilon\zeta\alpha$ 'table' with short - α and - $\alpha\nu$ in the nominative and accusative singular. Since most of them involve the old suffix *-ya that can form motionsfeminina from consonant stems, this type is especially common in the feminines of adjectives and participles of the third declension (e.g. fem. $\pi\^{a}\sigma\alpha$ 'each' < *pant-ya alongside masc. $\pi\^{a}\varsigma$ with the stem $\pi\alpha\nu\tau$ -).

3.1.4. Third declension

According to the stem-final phoneme, the third declension is divided into consonant stems and vowel stems, each with further subgroups (r-stems, i-stems, u-stems, etc.). The case endings are basically identical for all of them, although this fact is occasionally obscured by sound changes. Thus in the accusative singular the - ν of the vowel stems and the - α of the consonant stems both go back to prehistoric *-n: after a consonant this turned into vocalic *- η > - α . Our examples for the consonant stems are the (masculine) r-stem $\pi\alpha\tau\eta\rho$ 'father', the (feminine) dental stem $\epsilon\lambda\pi\iota\zeta$ 'hope', and the (neuter) s-stem $\gamma\epsilon\nu\sigma\zeta$ 'gender' (whose endings result from vowel contraction after the loss of intervocalic *-s-) (Table 7). The example $\pi\alpha\tau\eta\rho$ shows that, depending on the individual paradigm, the stem can appear in as many as three "ablaut grades" ($\pi\alpha\tau\eta\rho$ -, $\pi\alpha\tau\epsilon\rho$ -, $\pi\alpha\tau\rho(\alpha)$ -). Since the combination of stem-ending + - ς in the nominative singular often results in sound changes (e.g. *-d-s > - ς in $\epsilon\lambda\pi\iota\zeta$), the pure stem can best be seen in the genitive singular.

		(Masc.) <i>r</i> -stem 'father'	(Fem.) dental stem 'hope'	(Neut.) s-stem 'gender'	Endings
sg.	nom.	πατήρ	ἐ λπίς	γένος	-ς/-Ø (neutØ)
	gen.	πατρ-ός	ἐ λπίδ-ος	γένους (< *-es-os)	-0ζ
	dat.	πατρ-ί	<i>ἐ</i> λπίδ-ι	γένει (< *-es-i)	-l
	acc.	πατέρ-α	ἐ λπίδ-α	γένος	-α (neutØ)
	voc.	πάτερ	= nom.	= nom.	$-\emptyset$ (or = nom.)
pl.	nom./voc.	πατέρ-ες	ἐλπίδ-ες	γένη (< *-es-a)	-ες (neut α)
-	gen.	πατέρ-ων	ἐλπίδ-ων	γενῶν (< *-es-ōn)	-ων
	dat.	πατρά-σι	ἐλπί-σι	γένεσι (< *-es-si)	-σι(ν)
	acc.	πατέρ-ας	<i>ἐ</i> λπίδ-ας	γένη (< *-es-a)	-ας (neutα)

Table 7. Classical Greek third declension consonant stems

The model paradigms for the vowel stems are the (feminine) *i*-stem πόλις 'city', the (masculine) *u*-stem πῆχυς 'cubit', and the diphthong stem βασιλεύς 'king'. The original situation is obscured here, too, by the loss of intervocalic *-*y*- (*i*-stems) or *-*w*-. Moreover Attic in particular has undergone some profound changes (while other dialects display, for example, the more transparent πόλι-ος in the gen. sg.).

In the later Koine the accusatives in $-\alpha$ were often clarified into $-\alpha\nu$, and the accusative plural was harmonized with the nominative plural where the two had still differed in Classical Greek (i.e. $-\epsilon \zeta$ for $-\alpha \zeta$).

		(Fem.) <i>i</i> -stem 'city'	(Masc.) <i>u</i> -stem 'cubit'	Diphthong stem 'king'	Endings
sg.	nom. gen. dat. acc. yoc.	πόλι-ς πόλεως πόλει πόλι-ν πόλι	πῆχυ-ς πήχεως πήχει πῆχυ-ν πῆχυ	βασιλεύ-ς βασιλέως (< *-ēw-os) βασιλεῦ (< *-ēw-i) βασιλέ-ᾶ (< *-ēw-a) βασιλεῦ	-ς/-Ø (neutØ) -ος -ι -ν/-α (neutØ) -Ø
pl.	nom./voc.	πόλεις (< *-ey-es)	πήχεις	βασιλῆς/-εῖς (< *-ēw-es)	-ες (neut α)
	gen.	πόλε-ων	πήχε-ων	βασιλέ-ων (< *-ēw-ōn)	-ων
	dat.	πόλε-σι	πήχε-σι	βασιλεῦ-σι (< *-eu-si)	-σι(ν)
	acc.	πόλεις	πήχεις	βασιλέ-āς (< *-ēw-as)	$-(\alpha)\zeta$ (neut. $-\alpha$)

Table 8. Classical Greek third-declension vowel stems

3.2. Adjectives

The declension of the adjectives corresponds for the most part to that of the nouns. As a counterpart to o-stem masculines and neuters we find \bar{a} -stem feminines (§3.1.1), but in compounds separate feminine forms occur with some frequency only in post-Classical times.

In addition to the basic form there are a comparative and a superlative. Regular comparatives use the suffix -τερος (δίκαιος 'just' \rightarrow δικαιότερος 'more just'), but many lexemes have instead an older formation with -(ί)ων (neut. -(ί)ον) (καλός 'beautiful' \rightarrow καλλίων 'more beautiful'). This is inflected as an n-stem, but in the accusative singular masculine and feminine and in the nominative and accusative plural (all genders) there are also archaic s-stem forms (-(ί)ω and -(ί)ους instead of -(ί)ονα and -(ί)ονες/-(ί)ονας).

The comparative in -τερος goes with a superlative in -τατος (δικαιότατος 'most just', also elative 'very just'), the comparative in -(ί)ων with a superlative in -ιστος (κάλλιστος 'most beautiful'). Some adjectives have suppletive forms of comparison (i.e. comparatives and superlatives from etymologically unrelated stems: e.g. ἀγαθός 'good' \rightarrow βελτίων/βέλτιστος 'better/best'). In the Koine the use of the superlative decreases, and the comparative then functions also as superlative/elative.

3.3. Pronouns

3.3.1. Article

The definite article \dot{o} , $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \dot{o}$ goes back to an old demonstrative pronoun. Its oblique cases are formed from a stem $\tau o - / \tau \eta -$ and are inflected like o-stems (masc. and neut.) and \bar{a} -stems (fem.) (thus gen. sg. $\tau o \hat{v}$, $\tau \hat{\eta} \zeta$, $\tau o \hat{v}$, etc.). A stem without the initial dental appears not only in the nominative singular masculine and feminine, but also in the nominative plural $(o \hat{v}$, $a \hat{v}$, but neut. $\tau \dot{a}$).

The article precedes its head. If there is a modifier, it comes either in between, or else with repetition of the article after the head (ὁ ἀγαθὸς ἄνθρωπος οr ὁ ἄνθρωπος ὁ ἀγαθός 'the good man'). The repetition is often suppressed, however, with attributive genitives (ὁ βωμὸς τῶν θεῶν 'the altar of the gods'). The article is also used on familiar or recently mentioned proper names (ὁ Σωκράτης) and in combination with demonstrative pronouns (§3.3.2). By contrast, it is not used on predicate nominals.

Greek does not have an indefinite article. Indefiniteness can be signaled with the indefinite pronoun $\tau\iota\varsigma$, $\tau\iota$, and later also with the number $\epsilon\iota\varsigma$, $\mu\iota\alpha$, $\epsilon\nu$ 'one' (§3.4).

3.3.2. Demonstrative pronouns

The pronoun ὅδε, ἤδε, τόδε (inflected like the article + -δε) kataphorically points forward and is used for near deixis ('this here'). However, in post-Classical times ὅδε is ousted by the more general deictic οὖτος, αὕτη, τοῦτο (with oblique o-stem τουτο- in the masc. and neut. and \bar{a} -stem ταυτη- in the fem., but nom.pl. οὖτοι, αὖται, ταῦτα), which regularly points back to something that has been mentioned ('this') and may sometimes, but not always, be assigned to middle deixis. Far deixis is signaled by ἐκεῖνος, ἐκείνη, ἐκεῖνο ('that'). All these pronouns occur with the article in "predicative" position (ὅδε ὁ ἄνθρωπος 'this person').

3.3.3. Relative pronouns

The simple relative pronoun is ὅς, ἥ, ὅ, which takes the inflection of the o-stems and \bar{a} -stems respectively (gen. sg. οὖ, ἣς, οὖ, etc.). In addition, there is an indefinite generalizing relative pronoun ὅστις, ῆτις, ὅτι 'who/ whatever', a compound of ὅς, ῆ, ὅ + indefinite τις, τι (§3.3.4; thus gen. sg. οὖτινος, οὖτινος, οὖτινος, etc.; also gen. sg. ὅτου, dat. sg. ὅτω and nom.-acc. neut. pl. ἄττα). This is also used when the speaker cannot or will not further specify an antecedent (e.g. σοφός ἐστιν ὅστις ἔφασκε 'wise is he who said', but σοφός ἐστιν ὁ ἀνὴρ ὃν ὁρᾶς 'wise is the man whom you see'). In the Koine, ὅστις increasingly replaces simple ὅς.

3.3.4. Interrogative and indefinite pronouns

The interrogative pronoun masc./fem. $\tau i \zeta$, neut. τi is used substantivally ('who?, what?') and adjectivally ('which?'). In indirect questions $\delta \tau \iota \zeta$ (§3.3.3) can be used as an alternative. The inflection of $\tau i \zeta$, τi is based on a consonant stem $\tau \iota \nu$ - (gen. sg. $\tau i \nu \circ \zeta$, dat. sg. $\tau i \nu \iota$, nom. pl. $\tau i \nu \in \zeta$, etc.; alongside gen. sg. $\tau \circ \hat{\nu}$, dat. sg. $\tau \circ \hat{\nu}$).

The indefinite pronoun τις, τι is formally identical with the interrogative pronoun, except that it is unstressed/enclitic (ἄνθρωπός τις 'any person', substantivally τις 'someone').

The proportion interrogative τ i ς : indefinite τ i ς : relative δ ς : generalizing relative or indirect interrogative δ σ τ i ς is equally found among the pronominal adverbs; cf. e.g.

ποῦ 'where?'	που 'somewhere'	οὖ 'where'	ὄπου 'where(ever)'
πότε 'when?'	ποτε 'at some time'	ὄτε 'when'	ὁπότε 'when(ever)'

3.3.5. Personal pronouns

Personal pronouns (Table 9) are only used in the nominative when they are stressed (e.g. contrastive); elsewhere the person-marking inherent in the verb endings suffices. In the singular each of the other cases has both an enclitic and a stressed form, the latter of which is also used after prepositions.

The oblique forms of αὐτός, αὐτή, αὐτό are used as a third-person anaphoric pronoun, which otherwise means 'self/same' (attributive ὁ αὐτὸς ἄνθρωπος 'the same person', predicative ὁ ἄνθρωπος αὐτός 'the person himself').

There are also reflexive pronouns compounded with the stem αὐτο-(e.g. acc. 1sg. ἐμαυτόν/-ήν, 2sg. $\sigma(\varepsilon)$ αυτόν/-ήν, 3sg. ἑαυτόν/-ήν, 1pl. ἡμᾶς αὐτούς, 2pl. ὑμᾶς αὐτούς, 3pl. ἑαυτούς or σ Φᾶς αὐτούς). In the Koine, especially in the plural, the third person gradually replaces the other persons (ὁρῶμεν ἑαυτούς 'we see ourselves' instead of ἡμᾶς αὐτούς). The possessive pronouns are ἐμός 'my', σ ος 'thy', ἡμέτερος 'our', ὑμέτερος 'your', but already in the Classical period, and especially later, when unstressed the genitive of the enclitic personal pronouns tends to be used instead (ὁ φίλος μου 'my friend' beside ὁ ἐμὸς φίλος). The third person corresponds: ὁ φίλος αὐτοῦ 'his friend'.

3.4. Numbers

Whereas the ordinal numbers inflect as o-stem or \bar{a} -stem adjectives, the cardinals are declinable only from '1' to '4' (also in combinations:

	First Person		Second Person	
	Sg.	Pl.	Sg.	Pl.
nom.	ἐγώ ἐμοῦ, μου	ἡμ∈ῖς ἡμῶν	σύ σοῦ, σου	ύμ€ῖς ύμῶν
gen. dat. acc.	έμοί μοι έμέ με	ημων ήμιν ήμας	σοί σοι σέ σε	ύμιν ύμας

Table 9. Classical Greek personal pronouns

Table 10. Classical Greek numbers

	Cardinals	Ordinals
' 1'	masc. εἷς (neut. ἕν), ἑνός, ἑνί, ἕνα (neut. ἕν), fem. μία, μιᾶς, μιᾶ, μίαν	πρῶτος
'2'	δύο, δυοῖν (later δύο/δυῶν), δυοῖν (later δυσί), δύο	δεύτερος
' 3'	τρεῖς (neut. τρία), τριῶν, τρισί, τρεῖς (neut. τρία)	τρίτος
'4'	τέτταρ-ες (neut α), - $\omega\nu$, - σ ι, - α ς (neut α)	τέταρτος
' 5'	πέντε	πέμπτος
'6'	έ ξ	έ κτος
'7'	ὲ πτά	ἕ βδομος
'8'	ὀκτώ	ὄγδοος
'9'	ἐννέα	ἔ νατος
'10'	δέκα	δέκατος

e.g. τρεῖς/τρία καὶ δέκα or, especially in the Hellenistic period, δέκα τρεῖς/τρία '13', εῖς/μία/ ἔν καὶ εἴκοσι '21', etc.), and in the hundreds (e.g. διακόσιοι/-αι/-α '200') and thousands (e.g. χίλιοι/-αι/-α '1000'). The word for '1' is unique in distinguishing three genders (Table 10).

3.5. Verbs

3.5.1. General

The conjugation of the verb is so complex that the presentation here must be especially condensed. Most of the categories can be traced back to the Indo-European proto-language, but Greek has also innovated to an extent (e.g. passive forms) and, post-Classically, restructured or abandoned (e.g. rise of a periphrastic future, loss of the optative and perfect).

There are three persons, three numbers (singular, plural, dual: but on the dual cf. §3.1.1), four moods (indicative, subjunctive, optative, imperative), seven tenses (present, future, imperfect, aorist, perfect, pluperfect, and the rare future perfect), and three voices ("diatheses"; active, middle, passive). The multiplicity of forms is somewhat reduced in that the imperfect and pluperfect appear only in the indicative, the future and future perfect have neither subjunctive nor imperative, the perfect of the subjunctive and optative is mostly periphrastic, and the passive and middle are distinct only in the aorist and future (with the passive expanding in the Koine at the expense of the middle). Also part of the verbal paradigm are (a) an infinitive and a participle in the present, future, aorist, and perfect of each of the voices and (b) one verbal adjective in $-\tau \acute{o}$ c, which usually expresses a possibility ($\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{e} \iota \iota \acute{o}$ 'educable'),

and another in $-\tau \acute{\epsilon}o\varsigma$, to express a necessity ($\pi\alpha\iota\delta\epsilon\upsilon\tau \acute{\epsilon}o\varsigma$ 'one who must be educated').

The verbs are divided into a "thematic" (in -ω) and an "athematic" (in -μι) class. Their endings differ principally in the singular: the stem of the thematic verbs originally ends with an -ϵ/o- vowel but synchronically this vowel often merges with the endings proper (cf. π αιδεύ-ο-μεν 'we educate' vs. δείκνυ-μεν 'we show').

To conjugate a verb one must know its "principal parts," which are derivable only to a limited extent (in entirely regular verbs). These are the present stem, the active and middle future stem, the active and middle aorist stem, the active perfect stem, the mediopassive perfect stem, and the passive aorist stem.

3.5.2. Present and imperfect

The thematic and athematic present appear in Table 11A. The athematic presents (of which those in $-\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ constitute the largest group) are much less common than the thematic ones. However, a few frequent verbs are inflected athematically, such as the "root presents" (comprising only root + ending without suffixes) $\epsilon \dot{\iota}$ - $\mu \dot{\iota}$ 'to be' (see below) and $\theta \eta$ - $\mu \dot{\iota}$ 'to say', and the "reduplicated" presents $\delta \dot{\iota}$ - $\delta \omega$ - $\mu \iota$ 'to give', $\tau \dot{\iota}$ - $\theta \eta$ - $\mu \iota$ 'to put', and $\dot{\iota}$ - $\sigma \tau \eta$ - $\mu \iota$ 'to set up' (with a reduplication syllable containing - ι - before the root). Their archaic nature is still seen in the distinct ablaut grades of the active singular and plural (e.g. 1sg. $\delta \dot{\iota} \delta \omega$ - $\mu \iota$ vs. 1pl. $\delta \dot{\iota} \delta \sigma$ - $\mu \epsilon \nu$; cf. - $\nu \bar{\nu}$ - vs. - $\nu \nu$ - in $\delta \epsilon \dot{\iota} \kappa \nu \nu \mu \iota$). Especially in the Hellenistic period, athematic verbs are entirely or partly thematized (e.g. - $\nu \dot{\nu} \omega$ instead of - $\nu \nu \mu \iota$, $\dot{\iota} \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ instead of $\ddot{\iota} \sigma \tau \mu \mu \iota$).

The imperfect is also formed on the present stem (Table 11B). At the front, as in the other past tenses (indicative aorist and pluperfect), comes the "augment," which is realized before a consonant as $\dot{\epsilon}$ -, and before an initial vowel as its lengthening (e.g. $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\pi\dot{\iota}\zeta\omega$ 'to hope' \rightarrow imperf. $\mathring{\eta}\lambda\pi\iota\zeta\sigma\nu$, $\mathring{\alpha}\gamma\omega$ 'to lead' \rightarrow imperf. $\mathring{\eta}\gamma\sigma\nu$ with $/\epsilon$:/ < /a:/). The imperfect also carries the "secondary endings," which originally were distinguished from the "primary endings" of the present only in that they had no final *-i. Synchronically, however, this relationship is barely recognizable any more. In thematic verbs with vowel-final stems ("contract verbs" in $-\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, $-\dot{\alpha}\omega$, $-\dot{\omega}\omega$), the result of the contraction of this vowel with the ending is a somewhat distinct inflection that is, however, regular when the relevant contraction rules are taken into account (e.g. $\alpha + \epsilon/\eta = \bar{\alpha}$, thus 3sg. imperf. $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\tau\dot{\iota}\mu\alpha-\epsilon\varsigma > \dot{\epsilon}\tau\dot{\iota}\mu\bar{\alpha}\varsigma$ from $\tau\iota\mu\dot{\alpha}\omega$ 'to honor'; $\epsilon + o = o\upsilon$, thus 1pl. pres. $\pi o\iota\dot{\epsilon}-o\mu\epsilon\nu > \pi o\iotao\hat{\iota}\mu\epsilon\nu$ from $\pi o\iota\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ 'to make').

Table 11. Classical Greek present-stem verb inflection

		Тнематіс	'to educate'	Athematic 'to show'	
		Active	Middle-passive	Active	Middle-passive
			A. Present		
sg.	1st 2nd	παιδεύ-ω παιδεύ-εις	παιδεύ-ομαι παιδεύ-η/-ει	δείκνῦ-μι δείκνῦ-ς	δείκνυ-μαι δείκνυ-σαι
pl.	3rd 1st 2nd	παιδεύ-ει παιδεύ-ομεν παιδεύ-ετε	παιδεύ-εται παιδευ-όμεθα παιδεύ-εσθε	δείκνῦ-σι(ν) δείκνυ-μεν δείκνυ-τε	δείκνυ-ται δεικνύ-μεθα δείκνυ-σθε
inf.	3rd	παιδεύ-ουσι(ν) παιδεύ-ειν	παιδεύ-ονται παιδεύ-εσθαι	δεικνύ-ᾶσι(ν) δεικνύ-ναι	δείκνυ-νται δείκνυ-σθαι
part.	masc. fem.	παιδεύ-ων, -οντος παιδεύ-ουσα, -ούσης	παιδευ-όμενος παιδευ-ομένη	δεικνῦ-ς, -ντος δεικνῦ-σα, -σης	δεικνύ-μενος δεικνυ-μένη
	neut.	παιδεῦ-ον, -οντος	παιδευ-όμενον	δεικνύ-ν, -ντος	δεικνύ-μενον
			B. Imperfect		
sg.	1st 2nd 3rd	ἐ-παίδευ-ον ἐ-παίδευ-ες ἐ-παίδευ-ε(ν)	ἐ-παιδευ-όμην ἐ-παιδεύ-ου ἐ-παιδεύ-ετο	ἐ-δείκνῦ-ν ἐ-δείκνῦ-ς ἐ-δείκνῦ	ἐ-δεικνύ-μην ἐ-δείκνυ-σο ἐ-δείκνυ-το
pl.	1st 2nd 3rd	έ-παιδεύ-ομεν έ-παιδεύ-ετε έ-παίδευ-ον	ἐ-παιδευ-όμεθα ἐ-παιδεύ-εσθε ἐ-παιδεύ-οντο	ἐ-δείκνυ-μενἐ-δείκνυ-τεἐ-δείκνυ-σαν	ε-δεικνύ-μεθαἐ-δείκνυ-σθεἐ-δείκνυ-ντο
			C. Imperative		
sg.	2nd 3rd 2nd 3rd	παίδευ-ε παιδευ-έτω παιδεύ-ετε παιδευ-όντων (παιδευ-έτωσαν)	παιδεύ-ου παιδευ-έσθω παιδεύ-εσθε παιδευ-έσθων (παιδευ-έσθωσαν)	δείκνῦ δεικνύ-τω δείκνυ-τε δεικνύ-ντων	δείκνυ-σο δεικνύ-σθω δείκνυ-σθε δεικνύ-σθων
				'to	put'
			D. Subjunctive		
sg.		παιδεύ-ω, -ῃς, -ῃ	παιδεύ-ωμαι, -η, -ηται	τιθ-ῶ, -ῆς, -ῆ	τιθ-ῶμαι, -ῆ, -ῆται
pl.		παιδεύ-ωμεν, -ητε, -ωσι(ν)	παιδευ-ώμεθα, -ησθε,-ωνται	τιθ-ῶμ∈ν, -ῆτ∈, -ῶσι(ν)	τιθ-ώμεθα, -ῆσθε, -ῶνται
			E. Optative		
sg.		παιδεύ-οιμι, -οις, -οι	παιδευ-οίμην, -οιο, -οιτο	τιθ-είμην, -€ῖο, -€ῖτο	τιθ-είην, -είης, -είη
pl.		παιδεύ-οιμεν, -οιτε, -οιεν	παιδευ-οίμεθα, -οισθε, -οιντο	τιθ-εῖμεν/ -είημεν, -εῖτε/ -είητε, -εῖεν/ -είησαν	τιθ-είμεθα, -εῖσθε,-εῖντο

		Indicative		Subjunctive	Optative	Imperative
		Present	Imperfect	Present	Present	Present
sg.	1st	€ἰμί	ἦν, older ἦ (ἤμην)	ώ	ϵἴην	
	2nd	۔	ἦσθα (ἦς)	ής	ϵἴης	ἴσθι
	3rd	ἐστί	ήν	ή	ϵ íη	ἔ στω
pl.	1st	, εσμέν	ἦμεν (ἤμεθα)	ὧμ€ν	εἶμεν/εἴημεν	
•	2nd	ἐ στ έ	ἦ(σ)τ∈	ἦτ∈	εἶτε/εἴητε	ἔ στ∈
	3rd	€ἰσί(ν)	ἦσαν	ຜ <mark>້</mark> σι(ν)	εἷεν/εἴησαν	ἔστων/ὄντων (ἕστωσαν)

Table 12. Conjugation of εἰμί 'to be'

There is an imperative (Table 11C; Hellenistic innovations in parentheses) for the second and third person (e.g. παιδευέτω 'he must educate!').

The subjunctive (Table 11D) is characterized by long-vowel ("primary") endings, which were transferred from the thematic inflection to the athematic early on. Where the indicative has $-\eta$ -/- ω - (1sg. act., 2sg. mid.-pass.), it does not differ from the subjunctive.

The optative, lastly (Table 11E), is marked by a diphthong before the endings (of the "secondary" set, except in the 1sg. act.). Thematic verbs have forms with -oι-, athematic ones with -oι(η)-, -αι(η)-, or -ει(η)- according to the vowel of the verb root (e.g. $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon$ ίην 'I would put' from $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon$ -, διδοίην 'I would give' from διδο-, ἱσταίην 'I would set up' from ἱστα-). Since the verbs in -νυμι have been assimilated to the thematic verbs in the subjunctive and optative (subj. δεικνύ-ω, opt. δεικνύ-οιμι), τ ίθημι 'to put' is used here as an example of the athematic inflection. Its subjunctives are again explained by vowel contraction (e.g. 1sg. $\tau\iota\theta\hat{\omega}$ <* $\tau\iota\theta\hat{\epsilon}$ - ω).

The athematic conjugation of ϵ ἰμί 'to be' (Table 12) is important (inf. ϵ ἶναι, part. masc. ἄν, ὅντος, fem. οὖσα, οὔσης, neut. ὄν, ὄντος). Hellenistic forms, which to an extent prefigure the later transfer of the verb into the middle (ϵ ἰμί \rightarrow ϵ ἶμαι), are again parenthesized.

3.5.3. Aorist

In the productive "sigmatic" aorist (Table 13) an element $-\sigma(\alpha)$ - follows the verbal root (but the $-\sigma$ - is sometimes obscured: e.g. $\mathring{\eta}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\iota\lambda\alpha$ 'I announced' with $-\epsilon\iota\lambda$ - < *-els- from the pres. $\mathring{\alpha}\gamma\gamma\acute{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\omega$). The endings are similar to the thematic secondary endings, but they have $-\alpha$ - in place of $-\epsilon$ /o- except in the 3sg. active. As an aspectual category (§4.2.2), the aorist also has (unaugmented) modal forms, infinitives, and participles. The

Table 13. Classical Greek agrist-stem verb inflection

		Active	Middle
INDICAT	IVE		
sg.	1st	ἐ-παίδ∈υ-σα	ἐ-παιδ∈υ-σάμην
	2nd	ἐ-παίδευ-σα ς	ἐ-παιδεύ-σω
	3rd	- -παίδευ-σε(ν)	-παιδεύ-σατο
pl.	1st	-παιδεύ-σαμεν	ἐ-παιδ∈υ-σάμ∈θα
-	2nd	ἐ-παιδεύ-σατ ε	ἐ-παιδεύ-σασθε
	3rd	-ἐ-παίδ∈υ-σαν	-παιδεύ-σαντο
IMPERA	ΓIVE		
sg.	2nd	παίδευ-σον	παίδευ-σαι
	3rd	παιδευ-σάτω	παιδευ-σάσθω
pl.	2nd	παιδεύ-σατε	παιδεύ-σασθε
-	3rd	παιδευ-σάντων (παιδευ-σάτωσαν)	παιδευ-σάσθων (πσάσθωσαν)
Subjunctive		παιδεύ-σω, -σης etc.	παιδεύ-σωμαι, -ση etc.
OPTATIVE		παιδεύ-σαιμι, -σαις (-σειας), -σαι (-σειε(ν)), -σαιμεν, -σαιτε, -σαιεν (-σειαν)	παιδευ-σαίμην, -σαιο, -σαιτο, -σαίμεθα, -σαισθε, -σαιντο
Infinitive		παιδ∈ῦ-σαι	παιδεύ-σασθαι
Partici	PLE		
	masc.	παιδεύ-σᾶς, -σαντος	παιδευ-σάμενος
	fem.	παιδεύ-σασα, -σάσης	παιδευ-σαμένη
	neut.	παιδεῦ-σαν, -σαντος	παιδευ-σάμενον

subjunctive endings are identical with those of the present, but otherwise here too, except in the infinitive active and the 2sg. imperative, the "alpha-thematic" system described above holds. Note that in Attic the parenthesized variants of the optative prevail.

The aorist passive stem (Table 14) is marked by $-(\theta)\eta$ -, to which in the indicative the active athematic secondary endings are added (without $-\theta$ - e.g. $\dot{\epsilon}$ -κόπ- η - ν from κόπτω 'to strike').

Instead of a sigmatic aorist, many verbs have an (older) thematic or "strong" aorist, whose inflection to a great extent corresponds to that of the thematic imperfect or the non-indicative moods of the present. However, its stem is different from the present stem (e.g. pres. βάλλ-ω 'to throw' with imperf. $\mathring{\epsilon}$ -βαλλ-ον, but aor. indic. act. $\mathring{\epsilon}$ -βαλλ-ον, subj. βάλ-ω, opt. βάλ-οιμι, imv. 2sg. βάλ-ε, inf. βαλ-ε $\mathring{\epsilon}$ ν, etc.). Especially common are the aorists $\mathring{\epsilon}\mathring{\epsilon}$ πον 'I said' (suppletive of the pres. $\lambda \mathring{\epsilon}$ γω) and middle $\mathring{\epsilon}$ γενόμην 'I became' (from pres. $\gamma \mathring{\epsilon}$ γνομαι or suppletive of $\varepsilon \mathring{\epsilon}$ μ $\mathring{\epsilon}$; but Hellenistic Greek has pass. $\mathring{\epsilon}$ γενήθην instead). In later stages of the language, fusion with the "alpha-thematic" inflection is typical (at first 3pl. $\varepsilon \mathring{\epsilon}$ παν in place of $\varepsilon \mathring{\epsilon}$ πον, later 2sg. $\widetilde{\epsilon}$ γραψες 'you wrote' in place of $\widetilde{\epsilon}$ γραψας from γράφω, etc.).

Table 14. Classical Greek agrist passive stem

έ-παιδεύ-θην, -θης etc.
παιδευ-θῶ, -θῆς etc.
παιδευ-θείην, -θείης etc.
παιδ∈υ-θῆναι
παιδεύ-θητι, -θήτω
παιδεύ-θητε, -θέντων (-θήτωσαν)
παιδευ-θείς, -θέντος
παιδευ-θεῖσα, -θείσης
παιδευ-θέν, -θέντος

Much rarer are the mostly intransitive "root aorists" (unsuffixed verbal root + ending), whose inflection is similar to that of the passive aorist in $-\theta\eta\nu$ (1sg. indic. $\tilde{\epsilon}$ - $\beta\eta$ - ν 'I went', subj. $\beta\omega$, opt. $\beta\alpha$ ($\eta\nu$, etc.).

3.5.4. Future

The future (Table 15) must have arisen at least in part from an aorist subjunctive. It likewise has the tense marker -σ-, followed by the thematic (primary) endings.

The formation of the passive generally resembles the passive aorist, with the tense marker -σ- combined with -(θ)η-. However, it uses middle endings (1sg. π αιδευ-θή-σομαι), just as elsewhere the future in Classical Attic, less so in the Koine, often has middle forms (e.g. φεύξομαι 'I will flee' beside pres. φεύγω, ἔσομαι 'I will be' beside εἰμί).

A future without -σ-, which looks like a present in -έω, is found with verb roots ending in liquids or nasals (e.g. μένω 'to stay', fut. μενῶ) and with verbs ending in -ίζω (e.g. νομίζω 'to think', fut. νομιῶ). This formation originated in roots like καλε- 'to call', in which intervocalic

Table 15. Classical Greek future

	Active	Middle
Indicative	παιδεύ-σω, -σεις etc.	παιδεύ-σομαι, -ση /-σει etc.
Optative	παιδεύ-σοιμι, -σοις etc.	παιδευ-σοίμην, -σοιο etc.
Infinitive	παιδεύ-σειν	παιδεύ-σεσθαι
Participle	παιδεύ-σων etc.	παιδευ-σόμενος etc.

-σ- regularly disappeared (*καλέ-σω > καλέω > καλῶ) and was not restored as in παιδεύσω (by analogy with cases like δείξω = *δείκ-σω 'I will show'). The Koine here regularizes (καλέσω, νομίσω, etc.).

3.5.5. Perfect, pluperfect, and future perfect

The perfect (Table 16A) has (a) in the active a special set of endings that despite similarities with the alpha-thematic endings of the agrist are different in origin; (b) in the most productive type, and again only in the active, a stem-forming suffix -k-; and (c) throughout a reduplication syllable containing the vowel -€-, in which normally the initial consonant of the root is repeated $(C_x \in -C_x \dots)$. If this is aspirated, the unaspirated counterpart appears (e.g. $\tau \acute{\epsilon} - \theta \eta - \kappa \alpha$ 'I have put'). In roots beginning with more than one consonant (except stop plus liquid clusters like $\kappa\lambda$ -, $\delta\rho$ -) or with $\hat{\rho}$ -, simple $\hat{\epsilon}$ - is used (e.g. $\tilde{\epsilon}$ -κτι-κα 'I have founded' from κτίζω), and with an initial vowel the reduplication syllable is the same as the (lengthened) augment (e.g. $\eta \chi \alpha$ 'I have led' from $\alpha \chi \omega$). The reduplicated perfect stem is also found in the future perfect and the pluperfect (the latter still being augmented in Classical times) (Table 16B). In the middle/passive, perfect and pluperfect take the athematic primary and secondary endings respectively. The moods of the perfect and the active future perfect are formed periphrastically with the corresponding forms of ϵἰμί + perfect participle (πεπαιδευκώς ὧ/εἴην/έσομαι, etc.). Occasional periphrastic forms also occur elsewhere already in Classical times.

Table 16. Classical Greek perfect and pluperfect

Active	Middle-Passive
A. Peri	FECT
πε-παίδευ-κα, -κας, -κε(ν), -καμεν, -κατε, -κᾶσι(ν) (later -καν)	πε-παίδευ-μαι, -σαι, -ται, -μεθα, -σθε, -νται
Infinitive	
πε-παιδευ-κέναι	πε-παιδεῦ-σθαι
Participle	
masc. πε-παιδευ-κώς, -κότος	πε-παιδευ-μένος, -μένη, -μένον
femκυία, -κυίας	
neutκός, -κότος	
B. Plupe	RFECT
$\dot{\epsilon}$ -πε-παιδεύ-κη/-κειν, -κης/-κεις, -κει(ν), -κε(ι)μεν, -κε(ι)τε, -κε(ι)σαν	ἐ-πε-παιδεύ-μην, -σο, -το, -μεθα, -σθε, -ντο

Roots ending with a dental or guttural do not have a stem-forming suffix -k-; instead these sounds are usually aspirated (e.g. $\tau \acute{\epsilon}$ - $\tau \alpha \chi$ - α 'I have arranged' from $\tau \alpha \gamma$ -). Other, sometimes very old, intransitive perfects belong to a "strong" type without -k-, but with root ablaut (e.g. $\pi \acute{\epsilon}$ - $\tau \alpha \iota$ 'I have trusted' from middle pres. $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \iota \theta \iota \mu \iota$, $\gamma \acute{\epsilon}$ - $\gamma \iota \nu \iota$ 'I have become' beside later $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu \eta \mu \alpha \iota$ from pres. $\gamma \acute{\iota} \gamma \nu \iota \iota \mu \iota$ 'to become' or suppletive from $\epsilon \iota \iota \mu \iota$ 'to be').

4. Syntax

4.1. Case syntax

The nominative is used for the subject of a clause and for attributes and predicate nominals agreeing with it. The vocative is used for address, often in combination with the particle $\hat{\omega}$.

The accusative stands for the direct object, whether affected (ὁδὸν ὁράω 'to see a path'), effected (ὁδὸν ποιέω 'to make a path'), or – also with intransitive verbs – an inner object (ὁδὸν εἶμι 'to follow a path'; similarly with substantivized neuter adjectives: δεινὰ ὑβρίζω 'to commit outrageous sacrilege'). Some verbs are construed with double accusative (e.g. αἰτέω τινά τι 'to ask someone for something'). The accusative further expresses extension in space or time (e.g. τρεῖς ἡμέρας πλέω 'to sail for three days') and also occurs as a free accusative of relationship with adjectives, participles, or intransitive verbs (ἀλγέω τοὺς πόδας 'to have pain in the feet', καλὸς τοὺς πόδας 'beautiful as regards the feet').

The genitive marks possession in the widest sense (belongings, characteristics, material, etc.: e.g. τριῶν ἡμερῶν ὁδός 'a journey of three days'), and hence, as a "partitive" genitive, the assemblage/group to which something belongs (e.g. τίς ἡμῶν; 'who of us?'; post-Classically ἐξ 'out of' + gen. instead). The genitive is also partitive with verbs of participating, touching, governing, etc., and with verbs of perception, where Greek likes to emphasize the fact that the object is only partially affected (Περικλέους ἀκούω 'to heed Pericles', οἴνου πίνω 'to drink (some) wine', τῶν Βοιωτῶν ἄρχω 'to rule over the Boeotians'). The prehistoric syncretism of the ablative (§3.1.1) with the genitive explains the ablatival use of the genitive with verbs of separation (later often ἀπό/èξ 'from/out of' + gen.) and in comparisons (καλλίων ἵππου 'more beautiful than a horse'; but also καλλίων ἢ ἵππος).

The dative indicates the indirect object, but also occurs as a free dative of advantage ('to do something for someone'). This is the basis of its use for the agent of an action in the passive perfect, while in other tenses

of the passive the preposition ὑπό + genitive is usually used instead. Once again because of prehistoric case syncretism, the dative further assumes the functions of the earlier instrumental and locative; to the former belong the dative of manner (τούτω τῷ τρόπω 'in this way'), the instrumental dative (λίθοις βάλλω 'to pelt with stones'), and the datives of motive (εὐνοίᾳ ποιέω τι 'to do something out of good will') and measure (πολλῷ καλλίων 'much more beautiful'); and to the latter the temporal dative (ταύτη τῆ ἡμέρᾳ 'on this day'). Actual locations usually require a preposition (e.g. ἐν 'Αθήναις 'in Athens'), but government relationships of prepositions that arose from syntactically free adverbs still reflect the ancestral assignment of cases. Thus "ablatival" prepositions like παρά 'from' and ἐξ 'out of' take the genitive, "locatival" prepositions like παρά 'at, near' and ἐν 'in' take the dative, and "directional" ones like παρά 'along, toward' and εἰς 'into' take the accusative.

Starting in the Koine, a striking increase in prepositional syntagms can be observed (cf. above on the genitive). This is especially noticeable in the Late Roman–Byzantine period in the dative, which in Modern Greek is replaced by the genitive or by $\epsilon l \varsigma$ + accusative. The periphrasis of the instrumental dative with $\epsilon \nu$ + dative in Biblical Greek, on the other hand, may be due to Semitic influence.

4.2. Syntax of the verb

4.2.1. Voice

The active and passive voices are used much as in English. The passive occurs above all when the agent of the action cannot or is not wanted to be specified, or is less relevant. Certain verbs that are strictly speaking intransitive like $\alpha \rho \chi \omega$ + genitive 'to rule over' can also be passivized.

The middle implies a particular involvement of the subject of the verb in the action. With some verbs (esp. of personal hygiene: e.g. λούομαι 'to wash oneself') a directly reflexive relationship (identity of agent and patient) can be represented, but the reflexive pronoun (§3.3.5) is normally used for this purpose. More commonly, the middle expresses indirect reflexivity, in which a patient distinct from the agent is present (as direct object), but the agent is the beneficiary of the action (e.g. παρασκευάζομαι πλοῖον 'I am preparing a ship (for myself)'). Similarly middle are verbs with a causative meaning, where the agent alters his/her own mental or physical state or where the subject non-agentively undergoes an alteration of his/her state (στρέφω 'to turn (something)' vs. στρέφομαι 'to turn oneself', ἐκπλήττω 'to frighten (someone)' vs. ἐκπλήττομαι '(intr.)

to be frightened'). Similar semantic relationships are also found in "deponent" verbs that have no active forms (e.g. αἰσθάνομαι 'to perceive').

4.2.2. Tense and aspect

The present indicative is used not only for specific and general/habitual statements about the present of the speech act, but also for atemporal utterances. It occurs in a stylistically marked fashion with non-stative verbs as a historical present, where the visualization underscores the narrative relevance of the event portrayed in this way.

The perfect also has present reference, primarily describing the current state of the subject as the result of a past action (e.g. πέποιθα 'to be convinced' as the result of past πείθομαι 'to become convinced', τέθνηκα 'to be dead' from ἀποθνήσκω 'to die'). Since from the Classical period on transitive-active perfects could also increasingly be formed, in which the resulting state concerns not only the subject but also the object (e.g. γέγραφα ἐπιστολήν lit. 'I am one who has written a letter' > 'I have written a letter'), in the Hellenistic period the perfect developed gradually into a narrative past tense, which eventually became synonymous with the aorist and was ousted by it.

The specific or general future (from the point of view of the speech act) is indicated by the future (or the future perfect in the case of states whose present reference is expressed by the perfect). In the Classical period only rarely, but in the Hellenistic period more commonly, a paraphrase with $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ + infinitive can be used instead ('to be about to'; only late $\theta \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ " $\nu \alpha$ lit. 'to want'). The Koine also knows a colloquial futuric present.

The relationship between the past tenses (indicative) aorist and imperfect is aspectually determined. The "complexive" (or "perfective," but unrelated to the perfect) aspect of the aorist stem contrasts with the "non-complexive"/"imperfective" aspect of the present stem (pres., imperf.). The aorist expresses such past actions as are apprehended in their entirety, without their internal development being of any importance. This does not necessarily presuppose punctuality: a clause like ὁ πατὴρ ἔτη τριάκοντα ἄκησε ἐν ᾿Αθήναις 'the father lived in Athens for thirty years' with the aorist ἄκησε of the durative verb οἰκέω is perfectly grammatical. In context, an "ingressive" interpretation of the aorist of durative verbs often results (e.g. pres. βασιλεύω 'I am king', aor. ἐβασίλευσα 'I became king').

The imperfect (and the pluperfect as "imperfect of the perfect"), in contrast, is used for a "progressive presentation," in which the action is

perceived in its individual steps or as a development. Thus the imperfect not only describes former states or repeated events, but also portrays background events pictorially, within or following which a (foreground) event takes place. A special case is represented by the *imperfectum de conatu*, in which an imperfect like $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\dot{l}\delta\sigma v$ is to be interpreted as 'he offered' (not 'he gave (repeatedly)').

Purely aspectual – and hence without past reference – is the use of the aorist stem in the rare "gnomic aorist" (for generalized maxims), in the non-indicative moods, and in the infinitives and participles (cf. also §4.2.4, 4.2.5).

4.2.3. Moods

The subjunctive originally represents an action as subjectively expected or expectable. In clauses of command (neg. $\mu\dot{\eta}$) the 1st person is used for demands on the self ($\mu\dot{\eta}$ toῦτο λέγωμεν 'let us not say that'), while the negated 2nd person of the aorist subjunctive replaces a negated aorist imperative ("prohibitive" subjunctive: 'do not begin to ...'). The "deliberative" subjunctive in questions expresses the hesitant thought of the speaker (τί λέγωμεν; 'what should we say?', ποῦ τις φύγη; 'where can/ should one flee?').

The optative, *qua* mood of possibility, is found in main clauses in wishes assumed to be fulfillable (with or without $\epsilon \tilde{t}\theta \epsilon/\epsilon \tilde{t}$ γάρ, neg. μή; e.g. μὴ γένοιτο ταῦτα 'hopefully that will not happen!'), but above all as the "potential" optative with ἄν (negative οὐ) to present possible actions (λέγοι τις ἄν 'one might say') or to formulate politely mitigated assertions (ὥρα ἄν ϵἴη 'it must be time'). As the optative disappeared in the Hellenistic period, it was replaced here by e.g. an indicative future.

The imperative is the mood of command (neg. μή). However, expressions of demand can also be formulated otherwise, depending on the pragmatic situation (e.g. $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}/\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$ + infinitive 'one must', verbal adjective in -τέος, potential formulations like λέγοις ἄν 'you could speak = please

speak', où $\mu \dot{\eta}$ + indicative future or subjunctive for emphatically negated future statements, etc.).

The use of moods in subordinate clauses is similar to that in main clauses, but it is also partly determined by the type of subordinate clause (cf. §4.3.2). After secondary tenses (indicative aorist, imperfect, pluperfect, historical present), a subordinate clause may contain an "oblique" optative instead of an indicative or subjunctive (but this optative too disappears in the Koine). Similarly, in the Classical period the "prospective" subjunctive is restricted to subordinate clauses (with $\alpha\nu$, in part merged into the conjunction: $\epsilon \vec{\iota} + \alpha\nu = \dot{\epsilon}\alpha\nu$, $\delta\tau\epsilon + \alpha\nu = \delta\tau\alpha\nu$, etc.); it refers to a future or general state of affairs (e.g. $\delta\sigma\tau\iota\zeta$ $\alpha\nu$ $\tauo\hat{\iota}\tau$ 0 $\tauo\iota\hat{\iota}\sigma\eta$ 0, $\zeta\eta\mu\iota\omega\theta\hat{\iota}\sigma\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota/\zeta\eta\mu\iotao\hat{\iota}\tau$ 1 whoever does this will be punished'). For the "iterative" optative in subordinate clauses see §4.3.2.

4.2.4. Infinitive

Infinitives and infinitive constructions occur as independent clauses only exceptionally (e.g. as jussive infinitives in legal language). They are normally obligatory constituents depending on (a) verbs of wishing, desiring, commanding/prohibiting, ability, etc.; impersonal expressions like $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}/\chi \rho \hat{\eta}$ 'one must'; and adjectives of ability and quality (e.g. δεινὸς λέγειν 'skilled in speech', βούλομαι ἀπελθεῖν 'I want to depart') ("dynamic infinitive," neg. μή); and (b) verbs of thinking and speaking, where they imply the actual or supposed factuality of the event less explicitly than subordinate clause constructions e.g. with ὅτι 'that' ("declarative infinitive," neg. οὐ: e.g. ἔφη ἀφικέσθαι 'he said he (himself) had come'; for ὅτι see §4.3.2). While in the dynamic infinitive the use of the agrist or present variant is aspectually determined, and the infinitive future does not occur (present βούλομαι δειπνεῖν vs. aorist βούλομαι δειπνήσαι 'I want to eat' according to how greatly the act of eating is of interest: §4.2.2), in the declarative infinitive the infinitive agrist mostly conveys anteriority, the infinitive present simultaneity, and the infinitive future, futurity. Already in the Koine the dynamic infinitive is in many places encroached upon by a purpose clause with $i\nu\alpha$ + subjunctive, and the declarative infinitive is increasingly replaced by ὅτι (later πῶς).

When and only when the subject of the declarative infinitive is different from the subject of the superordinate verb, an *Accusativus cum Infinitivo* construction is used in Classical Greek, with the subject of the infinitive appearing in the accusative (e.g. ἔλεγε τὸν πολίτην ἀφικέσθαι

'he said that the citizen had arrived'). If this construction is passivized, a *Nominativus cum Infinitivo* results (e.g. ὁ πολίτης ἀφικέσθαι ἐλέγετο 'it was said that the citizen had arrived').

The substantivized infinitive with article is already found in the Classical period, but becomes particularly common in (literary) Hellenistic Greek. When combined with prepositions it can even replace entire subordinate clauses; its subject too is in the accusative (e.g. $\pi\rho\delta$ τοῦ ἀναβαίνειν τοὺς μάρτυρας 'before the arrival of the witnesses = before the witnesses arrive'). Worth mentioning, finally, is the infinitive of purpose after verbs like δίδωμι 'to give' (e.g. δίδωμί σοι τοῦτο φαγεῖν 'I give you this to eat').

4.2.5. Participles

Participles or participial constructions occur obligatorily after verbs of knowing and perceiving, and in part also after verbs of showing and announcing (e.g. τὸν Μῆδον ἴσμεν/ὁρῶμεν ἐλθόντα 'we know/see that/how the Medes are coming' = Accusativus cum Participio; also Nominativus cum Participio when the subject of the participle and the finite verb is the same: ὁρῶμεν ἀδύνατοι ὄντες 'we see that we are powerless'); after verbs of emotion (e.g. χαίρω διαλεγόμενος ὑμῦν 'I am happy to talk to you'); after verbs that express the manner of being in a given state (e.g. τυγχάνεις παρών 'you happen to be present'); and after "phasal" verbs (e.g. ἄρχομαι/ παύομαι λέγων 'I begin/stop speaking').

Even more common are *Participia coniuncta*. Such participles modify another concordant clause component, and various semantic shadings are possible; these are sometimes clarified by added particles (causal ἄτε/ ὡς, concessive καίπερ, purpose ὡς + future participle, etc.; thus e.g. ἀκούσας ταῦτα ὁ ᾿Αστυάγης τοὺς Μήδους ὥπλισε 'after/because he had heard this, Astyages armed the Medes'). The "genitive absolute" is related, in which a construction of a noun/pronoun + participle in the genitive that is not otherwise anchored in the clause is used for adverbial expansion (τῶν Μήδων ἀποθανόντων οἱ Ἑλληνες ἔχαιρον 'after/when/because the Medes had died, the Greeks rejoiced'). Present participles convey simultaneity, aorist participles anteriority, and future participles posteriority with respect to the superordinate verb.

Like adjectives, participles can also modify a substantive (οἱ νῦν ὄντες ἄνθρωποι 'today's people', lit. 'the now being people'; also substantivized, e.g. ὁ ἄρχων 'the ruling = ruler'). Paraphrases of finite verbs using participles + εἰμί 'to be' or ἔχω 'to have' are still exceptional in the Classical period (except in the perfect: §3.5.5).

4.3. Clause structure

4.3.1. Concord, word order, coordination

Subject and predicate (including a predicate noun) agree, as far as possible, in person, number, case, and gender. The peculiar use of a finite verb in the singular with a subject in the neuter plural is very old $(\tau\alpha\hat{\nu}\tau\alpha \kappa\alpha\lambda\hat{\alpha} \epsilon\sigma\tau\nu)$ 'this is beautiful'). In nominal clauses the copula $\epsilon\dot{\nu}\mu$ is often omitted, especially in impersonal expressions like $\alpha\dot{\nu}\alpha\rho\dot{\nu}$ ($\dot{\nu}\alpha\tau\nu$) 'it is shameful'.

Within a clause the order of components is "free" in the sense that, say, neither the verb nor the subject always comes first. The following order of pragmatic constituents can be considered basic for Classical Greek: (1) Topic (= information that serves as an orientation framework), (2) Focus (= new information), (3) Verb (if distinct from (1) or (2)), (4) Other elements. Enclitics tend to occur in second position in the clause. However, because they often depend on the verb, the order Verb – Subject – Object becomes increasingly set during the Hellenistic period.

The concatenation of clause elements and entire clauses is only rarely asyndetic; more often one or several clause- and discourse-structuring particles are used ($\kappa\alpha$ i 'and', (μ έν-)δέ '(on the one hand) but (on the other hand)', σ 0ν 'thus', τ 0ννν 'therefore', τ 0 'accordingly', etc.).

4.3.2. Subordination

As subordinate clauses we find subject and object clauses that are required by a verb phrase, attributive clauses (relative clauses), and adverbial clauses as free complements. Among the former are assertive clauses following verbs of saying, perceiving, etc., which are usually introduced by ὅτι οτ ὡς ΄that, how' (+ mood of an assertion or oblique optative: §4.2.3) (e.g. εἶπεν ὅτι ψεύδεται/ψεύσαιτο 'he said that he was lying'), clauses of desire following verbs of caring (with ὅπως (μή) + indicative future, or in the Classical period more rarely subjunctive; e.g. ἐπιμέλεσθαι 'to see to it that') and following verbs of fearing (e.g. φοβεῖσθαι μή/μὴ οὐ + subjunctive/oblique optative 'to fear that/lest'), and dependent interrogative clauses (+ mood of an independent question or oblique optative: e.g. ἡποροῦμεν ὅ τι ποιῶμεν/ποιοῖμεν 'we did not know what we should do').

Among adverbial clauses are causal clauses (conjunctions include ὅτι, διότι 'because', ἐπεί, ἐπειδή 'since', neg. οὖ), consecutive clauses (ὥστε + indicative (neg. οὖ) for actual consequences, ὥστε + infinitive (neg. μή) for potential consequences), purpose clauses (ἵνα, ὅπως (μή) + subjunctive/oblique optative), conditional and concessive clauses, and temporal clauses.

 ϵi + indicative

imperfect/aorist

IRREALIS

J F			
	Protasis ('if')	Apodosis ('then')	
Indefinite Prospective	εἰ + indicative ἐάν + subjunctive	mood according to type of statement indicative future (or imperative) = future	
Potential	ει + optative	indicative present = general (potential) optative $+ \tilde{\alpha} \nu$	

(counterfactual) indicative imperfect/aorist + ἄν

Table 17. Classical Greek conditional clause types

Among conditional clauses (conjunction ϵi 'if' or έαν, neg. μή) and the parallel concessive clauses (conjunction ϵi /έὰν καί 'although', καὶ ϵi /έάν 'even if') the types shown in Table 17 appear according to the degree of certainty involved. The prospective construction is also found in future or general temporal clauses (conjunctions e.g. ὅτε (ὁπότε) or ὅταν (ὁπόταν) 'when, whenever', ἐπεί/ἐπειδή or ἐπάν/ἐπειδάν 'when, after', ἔως (ἄν) 'until', ἀφ' οὖ 'since', ἐν ῷ 'while'), as in ὅταν τις ἀποθάνη, πάντες λυποῦνται 'when someone dies, all are saddened'. Temporal clauses referring to the past, on the other hand, are in the indicative, or with repeated events in the iterative optative (ὁπότε τις ἀποθάνοι, πάντες ἐλυποῦντο 'whenever someone died, all were saddened'). The conjunction πρίν 'before' operates like the other temporal conjunctions after negative main clauses, but after positive ones it requires an infinitive construction (τοῦτο ἐγένετο πρὶν πάντας παρεῖναι 'this happened before all were there').

Similar to the adverbial clauses are relative clauses with conditional, causal, consecutive, or purpose (subordinate) meaning; thus, for example, conditional relative clauses too can have an indefinite, prospective, potential, or counterfactual function. However, purpose relative clauses require in the Classical period the indicative future, not, as later, the subjunctive of purpose clauses. Relative clauses without such nuances are construed like main clauses. The attraction of the relative pronoun to the case of its antecedent is quite common (e.g. σὺν τοῖς θησαυροῖς οἷς (instead of οῦς) ὁ πατὴρ κατέλιπε 'with the treasures that my father left behind'). Occasionally the antecedent itself is incorporated into the relative clause (e.g. τούτους ἐποίει ἄρχοντας ἡς κατεστρέφετο χώρας 'these he made rulers of the land that he conquered').

5. Word formation

Only a few particularly productive types of the many derivational patterns can be mentioned here. Deverbals include the *nomina agentis* in

-της, -του (alongside fem. -τρια/-τρίς: e.g. ποιητής/ποιήτρια 'poet(ess)' from ποιέω 'to make'), which replace older -τήρ/-τωρ; the nomina actionis in -σις, -σεως (also -(σ)μός), which are popular in periphrases like μάθησιν ποιεῖσθαι = μανθάνειν 'to learn'; and the nomina rei actae in -μα, -ματος (ποίημα 'poem'). Denominals include the abstracts in -ία/-εία (ἀδικία 'injustice' from ἄδικος, also deverbal beside verbs in -έω: ναυμαχία 'sea battle' next to ναυμαχέω); -(ό)της, -(ό)τητος fem. (μελανότης 'blackness' from μελαν-); -σύνη (δικαιοσύνη 'justice' from δίκαιος); and -ική (ἡητορική 'rhetoric' from ἡήτωρ 'orator'), the latter representing the substantivized feminine of one of the many denominal adjectives in -ικός. From the Classical period on, -ικός competes with the older but still productive relational suffix -ιος (-αιος, -ειος); and in the form -τικός (which originally belonged to nouns in -της), it is also used deverbally (διαλεκτικός from διαλέγομαι 'to converse').

Further important adjectival suffixes are -ινος on adjectives of material, which in part replaces older $-\epsilon$ ος > -οῦς (e.g. ξύλινος 'wooden'); the poetic $-\epsilon$ ις, $-\epsilon$ ντος, which indicates abundance of something (ῥοδόεις 'rich in roses'); and -ώδης, -ώδους (ἀνδρώδης 'manly' from ἀνήρ 'man'); important substantival suffixes are -ίς, -ίδος (Hellenistically also -ισσα) for motionsfeminina (in place of the old *-ya: §3.1.3), esp. in the suffix combination -τρίς (see above and cf. αὐλητρίς 'flute girl' from αὐλέω 'to play the flute'); denominal -της, -του in names of professions and -ίτης/-ιάτης in ethnonyms (ναύτης 'sailor' from ναῦς 'ship', 'Αβδηρίτης 'man from Abdera'); -ιον, -ίδιον, -άριον, -ύλλιον, and -ίσκος in diminutives (οἰκίδιον 'little house' from οἶκος); and the aforementioned -τήρ, which survives in names of tools (λαμπτήρ 'lamp, torch' from λάμπτω 'to shine'), and from which -τήριον especially in names of locations is derived (δεσμωτήριον 'prison'). Based on adjectives are adverbs in -ως (καλῶς 'beautifully').

An old verbal suffix *-y(e/o)-, as originally added to stems in -ιδ-/-αδ-, constitutes the basis for the verbs in -ίζω/-άζω (< *-id-ye/o-, *-ad-ye/o-: e.g. ἐλπίζω 'to hope' from ἐλπίς 'hope'). These suffixes can then appear on the most diverse noun stems and express all sorts of semantic relations: cf. δειπνίζω 'to host' (from δεῖπνον 'meal'), περσίζω 'to speak in Persian, to behave like a Persian', or ἀκοντίζω 'to throw a spear' (from ἄκων 'spear'). Substantives likewise underlie most vocalic verbs in -έω (κοσμέω 'to adorn' from κόσμος 'order, adornment'), -άω (τιμάω 'to honor' from τιμή 'honor'), -όω (δουλόω 'to enslave' from δοῦλος 'slave'), and -εύω (παιδεύω 'to educate' from παῖς 'child').

Finally, one may note the ease with which compounds are formed. Among them we find determinative compounds, in which the first component specifies a nominal second component (e.g. $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\iota}-\theta\epsilon\sigma\zeta$ 'demigod' from $\ddot{\eta}\mu\iota(\sigma\nu\zeta)$ 'half' and $\theta\epsilon\dot{\sigma}\zeta$ 'god'); possessive compounds, which express a property that someone/something has $(\pi\sigma\lambda\nu-\dot{\alpha}\rho\gamma\nu\rho\sigma\zeta$ 'possessing

much silver' from πολύς 'much' and ἄργυρος 'silver'; also compounds like ἄδικος 'unjust', lit. 'possessing no justice (δίκη)'); and verbal governing compounds with a verbal first or second component that "governs" the other component (e.g. as object: φερέ-νικος οτ νικη-φόρος 'bringing victory' from νίκη 'victory' and φέρω 'to bring'). Verbs are derived from nominal compounds on the models already described (e.g. ψευδο-μάρτυς 'false witness' \rightarrow ψευδο-μαρτυρέω 'to bear false witness'). True verbal compounds, meanwhile, are only formed from verb + (in Homeric Greek often still free-standing) preposition (= "preverb") (e.g. εἰσ-φέρω 'to carry in').

Further Reading

Grammars

- Blass, Friedrich, and Albert Debrunner. 1961. A Greek Grammar of the New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature, trans. Robert W. Funk. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Blass, Friedrich, Albert Debrunner, and Friedrich Rehkopf. 1990. *Grammatik des neutestamentlichen Griechisch*, 17th ed. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Bornemann, Eduard, and Ernst Risch. 1978. *Griechische Grammatik*, 2nd ed. Frankfurt am Main: Diesterweg.
- Goodwin, William Watson. 1930. *A Greek Grammar*, 3rd ed. rev. by Charles Burton Gulick. Boston: Ginn.
- Heilmann, Luigi, and Alfredo Ghiselli. 1963. *Grammatica storica della lingua greca*. Turin: SEI. Jannaris, Antonius N. 1897. *An Historical Greek Grammar Chiefly of the Attic Dialect as Written and Spoken from Classical Antiquity down to the Present Time*. London: Macmillan.
- Kühner, Raphael, Friedrich Blass, and Bernhard Gerth. 1890–1904. *Ausführliche Grammatik der griechischen Sprache*, 3rd ed. 4 vols. Hanover: Hahn.
- Mayser, Edwin, and Hans Schmoll. 1923–34. *Grammatik der griechischen Papyri aus der Ptolemäerzeit*, 2nd ed. 3 vols. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Meier-Brügger, Michael. 1992. *Griechische Sprachwissenschaft*. 2 vols. Berlin/New York: de Gruyter.
- Rix, Helmut. 1992. *Historische Grammatik des Griechischen: Laut- und Formenlehre.* Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft.
- Schwyzer, Eduard, and Albert Debrunner. 1939–50. *Griechische Grammatik*. 2 vols. Munich: Beck.
- Sihler, Andrew L. 1995. New Comparative Grammar of Greek and Latin. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Smyth, Herbert Weir. 1984. *Greek Grammar*, 3rd ed. rev. by Gordon M. Messing. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Thackeray, Henry St. J. 1909. A Grammar of the Old Testament in Greek according to the Septuagint. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dictionaries

- Bauer, Walter, Kurt Aland, and Barbara Aland. 1988. *Griechisch-deutsches Wörterbuch zu den Schriften des Neuen Testaments und der frühchristlichen Literatur*, 6th ed. Berlin/New York: de Gruyter.
- Beekes, Robert S. P. 2010. Etymological Dictionary of Greek. 2 vols. Leiden: Brill.
- Chantraine, Pierre. 1968–1980. Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue grecque: Histoire des mots. 2 vols. Paris: Klincksieck.
- Frisk, Hjalmar. 1960–1972. *Griechisches etymologisches Wörterbuch*. 3 vols. Heidelberg: Winter. Liddell, Henry George, Robert Scott, and Henry Stuart Jones. 1968. *A Greek-English Lexicon*, 9th ed. Rev. supp. by P. G. W. Glare (ed.), 1996. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Introduction

- Adrados, Francisco Rodríguez. 2005. *A History of the Greek Language: From Its Origins to the Present,* trans. Francisca Rojas del Canto. Leiden: Brill.
- Bakker, Egbert J. (ed.). 2010. A Companion to the Ancient Greek Language. Malden, Mass./ Oxford: Blackwell.
- Browning, Robert. 1983. *Medieval and Modern Greek*, 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bubeník, Vit. 1989. Hellenistic and Roman Greece as a Sociolinguistic Area. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Buck, Carl Darling. 1955. The Greek Dialects. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Cassio, Albio Cesare (ed.). 2008. Storia delle lingue letterarie greche. Florence: Le Monnier.
- Christidis, Anastasios-F. (ed.). 2007. A History of Ancient Greek: From the Beginnings to Late Antiquity. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Colvin, Stephen. 2007. A Historical Greek Reader: Mycenaean to the Koiné. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Heubeck, Alfred. 1979. Schrift. (Friedrich Matz and Hans-Günter Buchholz (eds.), Archaeologia Homerica 3/X.) Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Hiersche, Rolf. 1970. Grundzüge der griechischen Sprachgeschichte bis zur klassischen Zeit. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- Hoffmann, Otto, Albert Debrunner, and Anton Scherer. 1969. *Geschichte der griechischen Sprache*, rev. ed. 2 vols. (Sammlung Göschen.) Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Horrocks, Geoffrey C. 2010. *Greek: A History of the Language and its Speakers*, 2nd ed. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Jeffery, Lilian H. 1990. *The Local Scripts of Archaic Greece*, 2nd ed. ed. Alan W. Johnson. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Meillet, Antoine. 1965. *Aperçu d'une histoire de la langue grecque*, 7th ed. by Olivier Masson. Paris: Klincksieck.
- Palmer, Leonard R. 1980. *The Greek Language*. Atlantic Highlands, N.J.: Humanities Press. Repr. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press, 2000.

- Schmitt, Rüdiger. 1991. Einführung in die griechischen Dialekte, 2nd ed. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft.
- Thumb, Albert. 1901. *Die griechische Sprache im Zeitalter des Hellenismus*. Strasburg: Trübner. Thumb, Albert, Eduard Kieckers, and Anton Scherer. 1932–1959. *Handbuch der griechischen Dialekte*, 2nd ed. 2 vols. Heidelberg: Winter.
- Wachter, Rudolf. 1996. "Alphabet, II: Das griechische Alphabet." In: Hubert Cancik and Helmuth Schneider (eds.), *Der Neue Pauly: Enzyklopädie der Antike*, 1: 537–547. Stuttgart: Metzler.
- Woodard, Roger D. 1997. *Greek Writing from Knossos to Homer*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Phonology

- Allen, W. Sidney. 1987. *Vox Graeca: The Pronunciation of Classical Greek*, 3rd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bubeník, Vit. 1983. The Phonological Interpretation of Ancient Greek: A Pandialectal Analysis.

 Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Devine, Andrew M., and Laurence D. Stephens. 1994. *The Prosody of Greek Speech*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Lejeune, Michel. 1972. Phonétique historique du mycénien et du grec ancien. Paris: Klincksieck.
- Karvounis, Christos. 2008. *Aussprache und Phonologie im Altgriechischen*. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft.
- Probert, Philomen. 2003. A New Short Guide to the Accentuation of Ancient Greek. London: Bristol Classical Press.
- Teodorsson, Sven-Tage. 1974. *The Phonemic System of the Attic Dialect* 400–340 B.C. Göteborg: University of Göteborg, Institute of Classical Studies.
- Teodorsson, Sven-Tage. 1977. *The Phonology of Ptolemaic Koine*. Göteborg: Acta Universitatis Gothoburgensis.

Morphology

Chantraine, Pierre. 1961. Morphologie historique du grec, 2nd ed. Paris: Klincksieck.

Duhoux, Yves. 2000. *Le verbe grec ancien*: Éléments de morphologie et de syntaxe historiques, 2nd ed. Louvain-la-Neuve: Peeters.

Syntax

Cooper, Guy L., III. 1998–2002. Attic Greek Prose Syntax. 2 vols. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

- Denniston, John D. 1954. The Greek Particles, 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Dik, Helma. 1995. Word Order in Ancient Greek: A Pragmatic Account of Word Order Variation in Herodotus. Amsterdam: Gieben.
- Dover, Kenneth J. 1960. Greek Word Order. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Goodwin, William W. 1889. Syntax of the Moods and Tenses of the Greek Verb, 2nd ed. London: Macmillan.
- Humbert, Jean. 1960. Syntaxe grecque, 3rd ed. Paris: Klincksieck.
- Rijksbaron, Albert. 2002. The Syntax and Semantics of the Verb in Classical Greek: An Introduction, 3rd ed. Amsterdam: Gieben.
- Wackernagel, Jacob. 1926–1928. Vorlesungen über Syntax mit besonderer Berücksichtigung von Griechisch, Lateinisch und Deutsch, 2nd ed. 2 vols. Basel: Birkhäuser.
- Wackernagel, Jacob. 2009. Lectures on Syntax: With Special Reference to Greek, Latin, and Germanic, ed. and trans. David R. Langslow. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Word formation

Chantraine, Pierre. 1933. *La formation des noms en grec ancien*. Paris: Champion.

Debrunner, Albert. 1917. *Griechische Wortbildungslehre*. Heidelberg: Winter.

Risch, Ernst. 1974. *Wortbildung der homerischen Sprache*, 2nd ed. Berlin/New York: de Gruyter.