original articles

- McCaffrey JA, Hilton S, Mazumdar M et al. Phase II trial of docetaxel in patients with advanced or metastatic transitional-cell carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 1997; 15: 1853–1857.
- Dreicer R, Li S, Manola J et al. Phase 2 trial of epothilone B analog BMS-247550 (ixabepilone) in advanced carcinoma of the urothelium (E3800): a trial of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. Cancer 2007; 110: 759–763.
- Sweeney CJ, Roth BJ, Kabbinavar FF et al. Phase II study of pemetrexed for second-line treatment of transitional cell cancer of the urothelium. J Clin Oncol 2006; 24: 3451–3457.
- Wulfing C, Machiels JP, Richel DJ et al. A single-arm, multicenter, open-label phase 2 study of lapatinib as the second-line treatment of patients with locally advanced or metastatic transitional cell carcinoma. Cancer 2009; 115: 2881–2890.
- Twardowski P, Stadler W, Frankel P et al. Phase II study of aflibercept (VEGF-Trap) in patients (pts) with recurrent or metastatic transitional cell carcinoma (TCC) of the urothelium: A California Cancer Consortium trial. J Clin Oncol 2009; 27: 15s. (Abstr e16030).
- Dreicer R, Li H, Stein M et al. Phase 2 trial of sorafenib in patients with advanced urothelial cancer: a trial of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. Cancer 2009; 115: 4090–4095.
- Gallagher DJ, Milowsky MI, Gerst SR et al. Phase II study of sunitinib in patients with metastatic urothelial cancer. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28: 1373–1379.
- Necchi A, Nicolai N, Guglielmi A et al. Phase II study of pazopanib monotherapy for patients with relapsed/refractory urothelial cancer. Presented at the 35th European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) Congress. Milan, Italy, October 8–12, 2010.
- Milowsky MI, Regazzi AM, Garcia-Grossman IR et al. Final results of a phase II study of everolimus (RAD001) in metastatic transitional cell carcinoma (TCC) of the urothelium. J Clin Oncol 2011; 29: 15s. (Abstr 4606).
- Milowsky MI, Regazzi AM, Garcia-Grossman IR et al. Phase II study of everolimus (RAD001) in metastatic transitional cell carcinoma (TCC) of the urothelium. J Clin Oncol 2011; 29: 7s. (Abstr 245).
- Satoh N, Yamada Y, Kinugasa Y et al. Angiopoietin-1 alters tumor growth by stabilizing blood vessels or by promoting angiogenesis. Cancer Sci 2008; 99: 2373–2379.

- Oka N, Yamamoto Y, Takahashi M et al. Expression of angiopoietin-1 and -2, and its clinical significance in human bladder cancer. BJU Int 2005; 95: 660–663.
- Rosa Al, Gonçalves J, Cortes L et al. The angiogenic factor angiopoietin-1 is a proneurogenic peptide on subventricular zone stem/progenitor cells. J Neurosci 2010; 30: 4573–4584.
- Takahashi N, Kawanishi-Tabata R, Haba A et al. Association of serum endoglin with metastasis in patients with colorectal, breast, and other solid tumors, and suppressive effect of chemotherapy on the serum endoglin. Clin Cancer Res 2001; 7: 524–532.
- Neshat MS, Mellinghoff IK, Tran C et al. Enhanced sensitivity of PTEN-deficient tumors to inhibition of FRAP/mTOR. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001; 98: 10314–10319.
- Cho D, Signoretti S, Dabora S et al. Potential histologic and molecular predictors of response to temsirolimus in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma. Clin Genitourin Cancer 2007; 5: 379–385.
- Oza AM, Elit L, Tsao MS et al. Phase II study of temsirolimus in women with recurrent or metastatic endometrial cancer: a trial of the NCIC clinical trial group. J Clin Oncol 2011; 29: 3278–3285.
- Ellard SL, Clemons M, Gelmon KA et al. Randomized phase II study comparing two schedules of everolimus in patients with recurrent/metastatic breast cancer: NCIC Clinical Trials Group IND.163. J Clin Oncol 2009; 27: 4536–4541.
- Weigelt B, Warne PH, Downward J. PIK3CA mutation, but not PTEN loss of function, determines the sensitivity of breast cancer cells to mTOR inhibitory drugs. Oncogene 2011; 30: 3222–3233.
- Cloughesy TF, Yoshimoto K, Ngiemphu P et al. Antitumor activity of rapamycin in a phase I trial for patients with recurrent PTEN-deficient glioblastoma. PLoS Med 2008; 5: e8.
- Wan X, Harkavy B, Shen N et al. Rapamycin induces feedback activation of Akt signaling through an IGF-1R-dependent mechanism. Oncogene 2007; 26: 1932–1940.

Annals of Oncology 23: 2670–2675, 2012 doi:10.1093/annonc/mds059 Published online 3 April 2012

Prognosis after high-dose chemotherapy followed by autologous stem-cell transplantation as first-line treatment in primary CNS lymphoma—a long-term follow-up study

B. Kasenda^{1,2}, E. Schorb¹, K. Fritsch¹, J. Finke¹ & G. Illerhaus^{1*}

¹Department of Hematology and Oncology, University Medical Center Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany; ²Basel Institute for Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland

Received 23 October 2011; revised 10 January 2012; accepted 25 January 2012

Background: High-dose chemotherapy followed by autologous stem-cell transplantation (HCT–ASCT) is a promising approach in eligible patients with primary central nervous system lymphoma (PCNSL). We report long-term data of patients who were treated according to HCT–ASCT containing protocols.

*Correspondence to: Dr G. Illerhaus, Department of Hematology and Oncology, University Medical Center Freiburg, Hugstetterstrasse 55, 79106 Freiburg, Germany. Tel: +49-(0)761-270-37850; Fax: +49-(0)761-270-73570; E-mail: gerald.illerhaus@ uniklinik-freiburg.de

© The Author 2012. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society for Medical Oncology. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com

original articles

Patients and methods: We analyzed survival and relapse rates in 43 (<67 years) immunocompetent patients with newly diagnosed PCNSL being treated according to two different high-dose methotrexate-based protocols followed by high-dose carmustine/thiotepa (BCNU/TT) plus ASCT (±whole brain irradiation). Analysis was conducted for all patients (intention-to-treat) and those patients who actually received HCT–ASCT (per-protocol).

Results: Thirty-four patients achieved complete remission, of those 12 relapsed (35%), while 6 of them relapsed 5 years after diagnosis. After a median follow-up of 120 months, median overall survival (OS) was reached after 104 months. Two- and 5-year OS was 81% and 70% and 2- and 5-year event-free survival (EFS) was 81% and 67%, respectively. In per-protocol analysis (N = 34), 5-year OS and EFS was 82% and 79%, respectively. HCT–ASCT associated related mortality was not observed.

Conclusions: Sequential high-dose MTX containing chemotherapy followed by high-dose carmustine/thiotepa plus ASCT (±whole brain irradiation) is safe and leads to high survival rates in eligible patients with newly diagnosed PCNSL. **Key words:** autologous stem-cell transplantation, carmustine, high-dose chemotherapy, PCNSL, primary CNS lymphoma, thiotepa

introduction

Primary central nervous system lymphoma (PCNSL) is an aggressive extra-nodal non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL), which exclusively invades the central nervous system (CNS) compartment. PCNSL accounts for 3%–4% of all primary brain tumors and 4%–6% of extra-nodal lymphomas. Compared with systemic NHL, the prognosis is poor [1–3].

The introduction of high-dose methotrexate (HD-MTX)based chemotherapy with or without whole brain radiotherapy (WBRT) has markedly improved prognosis over the last decades. HD-MTX combined with HD-cytarabine (HD-AraC) followed by WBRT is currently regarded standard treatment [4]. However, regarding the close association between WBRT and neurotoxicity, which can have a tremendous impact on quality of life in long-term survivors, the optimal dose and schedule of WBRT with regard to balancing survival benefit and neurotoxicity is still under debate and requires further systematic evaluation [5–7]. Relapse after achieving complete remission (CR) remains a substantial problem and it is estimated that more than half of patients who achieve CR will relapse [8]. Site of relapse is mostly the CNS, but systemic sites are also reported thus salvage regimens vary strongly not only depending on tumor but also on patient-specific characteristics [9–11].

Several single-arm phase II studies have shown that HDchemotherapy followed by autologous stem-cell transplantation (HCT-ASCT) is feasible and leads to promising results in eligible PCNSL patients at first diagnosis, progression, or relapse [12]. The rational of using HCT-ASCT in PCNSL treatment includes the administration of maximum tolerable doses of cytostatics to overcome drug resistance and to reach therapeutic drug concentrations in chemotherapy sanctuaries, especially the CNS compartment. HCT-ASCT is an established standard in hematologic malignancies but is still regarded as an experimental approach in first-line therapy of PCNSL; therefore, data about long-term outcome (5 years and longer) are rare. We previously reported pilot and phase II trials (respective N = 30, N = 13) with newly diagnosed PCNSL being treated according to HCT-ASCT containing protocols with and without WBRT, showing high remission rates and 5-year survival of >80% after HCT-ASCT [13, 14]. The purpose of

this analysis is to provide long-term outcome data of these PCNSL patients regarding survival and patterns of relapse.

patients and methods

study population and therapy

We analyzed 43 untreated patients who were diagnosed with PCNSL from 1998 to 2006 and included into two different prospective singlearm studies. Both study protocols (Illerhaus et al. 2006, herein after study I; Illerhaus et al. 2008, herein after study II) contained HCT-ASCT as first-line therapy, respectively (supplementalFigure S1, available at Annals of Oncology online). The protocol details and results are described elsewhere [13, 14]. In summary, respective three (study I) and four courses (study II) of i.v. MTX (8 g/m²) were applied as induction therapy. For stem-cell mobilization, cytarabine $(3 \text{ g/m}^2/3 \text{ h})$ and thiotepa (40 mg/m²) were administered on consecutive days (study I, one cycle and study II, two cycles), followed by recombinant granulocyte-stimulating factor for stem-cell harvest. Conditioning regimen consisted of carmustine 400 mg/m² and thiotepa (2×5 mg/kg in study I; 4 × 5 mg/kg in study II), followed by ASCT (both studies). In study I, hyperfractionated WBRT started 1 month after ASCT as per-protocol; in study II, WBRT was restricted to patients who did not completely responded to chemotherapy. In study I, all patients who did not respond to induction therapy were treated off study; in contrast, the strategy in study II was to allow all patients who did not respond to induction treatment to proceed to HCT and ASCT.

evaluating response

Baseline magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans with gadolinium were obtained in all patients before initiating therapy. All patients have been observed longitudinally with regular brain MRI scans at regular intervals. Criteria for response evaluation followed the recommended guidelines [15].

statistical analysis

Overall survival (OS) was defined as time from diagnosis to death due to any cause. Event-free survival (EFS) was defined as time from diagnosis to progression/relapse of disease or death due to any cause whichever occurred first (patients not meeting these events of interest regarding OS and EFS were censored). In a first step, in order to update each previous reported study (I and II), we conducted an intention-to-treat analysis (including all patients intended to receive HCT–ASCT) to calculate survival and relapse rates as done in the previous publications. We separately analyzed those patients who actually received HCT–ASCT in both studies (per-protocol



analysis). In a second step, we pooled the patient data from both studies in order to reach more robust estimates for prognostic analysis, survival rates, and relapse rates. Intention-to-treat analysis and per-protocol analysis were also conducted for the pooled data set. We used the Kaplan–Meier product limit method to calculate survival rates. In the pooled analysis, we investigated the impact of age and Karnofsky Performance Score (KPS) (both as continuous variables) on survival using Cox regression models. The probability of death due to PCNSL for all patients who received HCT– ASCT was estimated using cumulative incidence rates, where death due to PCNSL and death due to other cause were considered to be competing risks. Median follow-up was estimated using the reversed Kaplan–Meier method [16]. Statistical analysis and creation of the plots were carried out using the program R version 2.13.1 (the R foundation of statistical computing, http:// www.r-project.org/).

results

patients' characteristics

Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

study I

After a median follow-up of 40 months, 0/30 patients are still alive. Median survival was reached after 04 months. Five- and 0-year OS estimates were 67% [95% confidence interval (CI) 52% to -86%] and 42% (95% CI 28% to -65%) (Figure 1). EFS estimates after 5 and 0 years were 67% (95% CI 52% to 86%) and 40% (95% CI 25% to 62%), respectively. In the per-protocol analysis, median survival was reached after 22 months. Five- and 0-year OS probability was 83% (95% CI 69%-00%) and 56% (95% CI 39%-8%) and EFS probability after 5 and 0 years was 83% (95% CI 69%-00%) and 52% (95% CI 35%-77%), respectively.

study II

After a median follow-up of 72 months, 9/3 patients are still alive. The median survival was not reached at the time of last follow-up. Both 2- and 5-year OS estimates were 77% (95% CI 57%–00%) (Figure 2). Two- and 5-year EFS estimates were 77% (95% CI 57%–00%) and 70% (95% CI 48%–00%), respectively. In the per-protocol population, 8/ patients are still alive. Both 2- and 5-year OS estimates were 82% (95% CI

Table 1. Patients' basic characteristics

Characteristics	Study I	Study II	All
	(N = 30)	(N = 3)	(N = 43)
Sex			
Female	5	8	13
Male	25	5	30
KPS (%)			
Median	70	90	80
Range	30-100	30-100	30-100
Age (years)			
Median	55	54	54
Range	27-64	38-67	27-67
Completed HCT-ASCT	23	11	34

KPS, Karnofsky Performance Score; HCT–ASCT, high-dose chemotherapy followed by autologous stem-cell transplantation.





Figure 1. Overall survival study I (N = 30). HCT–ASCT, high-dose chemotherapy followed by autologous stem-cell transplantation.



Figure 2. Overall survival study II (N = 3). HCT–ASCT, high-dose chemotherapy followed by autologous stem-cell transplantation.

62%-00%); 2- and 5-year EFS estimates were 82% (95% CI 62%-00%) and 73% (95% CI 5%-00%), respectively.

pooled analysis of the whole cohort

In the entire intention-to-treat group (N = 43), after a followup of 0 months, the median OS was reached at 04 months. Two- and 5-year OS was 8% (95% CI 7%–94%) and 70% (95% CI 57%–85%), respectively (supplemental Figure S2, available at *Annals of Oncology* online). Two- and 5-year EFS was 8% (95% CI 70%–94%) and 67% (95% CI 55%–83%), respectively. In the per-protocol analysis, the median OS was reached after 22 and median EFS after 04 months. The estimated 5-year OS and EFS was 82% (95% CI 7%–96%) and 79% (95% CI 67%–94%), respectively. In multivariate Cox regression analysis, neither age [hazard ratio (HR) = .55, 95% CI 0.95–2.55, P = 0.08] nor KPS (HR = .04, 95% CI 0.83–.30, P = 0.7284) had a significant impact on survival. However, the HR and the rather small P value for the coefficient age suggest a trend that with higher age the survival probability decreases.

relapse from CR

Of those patients achieving CR, in study I, after the last followup, additional four patients relapsed (all together 0/25; 40%, 95% CI 22%–6%). Of those, only one was successfully salvaged with a second HCT–ASCT and is ongoing CR. Regarding the per-protocol population from study I, the overall relapse rate was lower (7/22; 32%, 95% CI 0.5%–0.55%). In study II, previously one patient was reported to suffer from relapse (CNS and systemic) after achieving CR. Now, one additional female patient relapsed (altogether 2/9; 22%, 95%, CI 4%– 60%), but she was successfully salvaged by immunopolychemotherapy (rituximab, MTX, lomustine, and procarbazine).

In the entire cohort, 2 of 34 patients who achieved CR relapsed (35%; 95% CI 20%–54%) and of those, six relapsed 5 years after diagnosis (8%; 95% CI 7%–35%). The relapse rate in the per-protocol population was lower, here, only 9 of 30 patients who achieved CR relapsed (30%; 95% CI 5%–50%). Figure 3 illustrates the cumulative incidence function of the probability to die of PCNSL with other causes of death as competing risk in the per-protocol population. The estimated risk of death due to PCNSL after 5 years was 5% compared with 3% of death due to other cause.

Cumulative incidence in per-protocol population



Figure 3. Cumulative incidence rates of death due to primary central nervous system lymphoma with death due to other cause as competing risk in the per-protocol population (N = 34).

original articles

long-term survivors (over 5 years)

The characteristics of 28 patients who survived 5 years and longer after diagnosis are summarized in supplemental Table S (available at Annals of Oncology online). Of those, only two who experienced a relapse were successfully salvaged and are still alive (patient 20 and 23). One female patient (44 years of age) developed late onset neurotoxicity during follow-up. She was irradiated because of only obtaining partial remission after HCT-ASCT (patient 25). One patient died due to severe neurotoxicity (patient 2). Altogether, six patients relapsed after >5 years. During first-line treatment, five of these six patients received HCT-ASCT according to study protocol (one refused WBRT after HCT-ASCT, patient 20) and one patient (patient 8) was only irradiated due to renal failure developed after the second HD-MTX application (stable disease at that time, CR after WBRT). In these five patients who received HCT-ASCT, first CR was observed (i) during induction treatment with HD-MTX (N = 2, patients 5 and 20), (ii) after HCT-ASCT (N = 1, patient 0), and (iii) after WBRT (N = 2, patients 2 and 3). There were no apparent differences compared with all other patients regarding histology.

WBRT versus no-WBRT

We dichotomized our cohort in patients who received WBRT (N = 30, for consolidation N = 26, for salvage N = 4) and those who did not (N = 3) to describe the association between WBRT and clinical apparent neurotoxicity. Of those eight (9%) patients who developed neurotoxicity during the entire follow-up, all were irradiated [N = 7 (as planned in study I), N = (from study II due to partial response (PR) after HCT–ASCT)]. None of the patients who solely underwent HCT–ASCT developed neurotoxicity. Furthermore, regarding efficacy, patients who received HCT–ASCT without consolidating WBRT (N = 0; two from study I, eight from study II) achieved a response rate of 00% (nine CR, one PR); 2- and 5-year OS rates were 80% (95% CI 59 to 00) and 70% (95% CI 47 to 00), respectively.

discussion

The present study provides long-term data of patients with newly diagnosed PCNSL who were treated according to our previously published HCT–ASCT containing protocols [3, 4]. So far, eight studies (including ours) reported outcome after HCT–ASCT containing regimens for first-line treatment in PCNSL patients [3, 4, 7–22] (supplementalTable S2, available at *Annals of Oncology* online). Median follow-up ranged between 5 and 63 months (number of patients, 6–30) and conditioning regimens as well as survival rates varied strongly among the studies. To our best knowledge, no other study has yet reported comparable long-term data as reported in the present analysis regarding the treatment approach using HCT– ASCT in newly diagnosed PCNSL and for the population up to 65 years, no superior outcome data were published.

According to a recent review, only few studies reached a median OS of 60 months or longer [1]. Recently published long-term follow-up data of the 'Bonn Protocol' (median follow-up 00 months for surviving patients) show a median OS of 54 months for the whole study population, but the median

original articles

OS for patients younger than 60 years (N = 30) has not been reached yet [23]. Another recent publication reports a median OS of 33 months after a median follow-up of 83 months; however, this prospective multicenter trial was stopped due to toxicity and slow accrual [24]. These examples reflect the still existing heterogeneity of outcomes which may be caused by several differences regarding treatment protocols, study design (single center versus multicenter), type of analysis (retrospective versus prospective), age limit but also baseline risk factors. In fact, besides age and KPS, which are both wellestablished clinical prognostic factors, several other factors such as serological markers but also pharmacokinetic parameters of MTX have been proposed to potentially identify risk groups [25-27], but most of these findings still lack external validation from larger cohorts. Another cause of the observed heterogeneity is of course random variability due to the relatively small numbers of patients. However, with all these limitations, the now achieved median OS of 04 months for patients up to 65 years in our cohort is encouraging. Unfortunately, late relapses still occurred and one additionally needs to consider the fact that patients regarded eligible for this aggressive treatment approach are still a selected subpopulation and do not represent the majority of PCNSL patients. Especially elderly patients above 65 years who comprise about 50% of PCNSL patients are mostly not eligible for HCT-ASCT and are referred to other treatment regimens [28], but thorough clinical baseline risk evaluation beyond age should be done and if elderly patients have a good performance status, they maybe also considered as candidates for HCT-ASCT.

It is known that substantial proportions of patients who achieve CR experience relapse mostly during the first 2 years after diagnosis [9]. Nayak et al. recently published comprehensive data from 378 PCNSL patients; of those, 268 achieved a CR and 230 of them relapsed (86%; 95% CI 8%-90%). With regard to the narrow CI, these data give a rather good estimate of the true relapse rate. The authors further report that relapses after 5 years in CR were rare but did occur in 3.7% [8]. Compared with the overall relapse rate, our data compare favorably well with the data reported by Nayak et al.; however, because recurrence occurred later, our rate of patients who relapsed after 5 years is higher (8%) and only one of our patients with such late relapse has successfully been salvaged with a second HCT-ASCT containing a busulfan conditioning regimen and is in ongoing CR [29]. It seems that even after an upfront aggressive treatment approach, such as HCT-ASCT, some clonal malignant cells persist within the organism and patients are still at risk for relapse even after 5 years and longer.

Although WBRT is effective in disease control, the risk for short- and long-term neurotoxicity as well as the low positive impact on OS has recently questioned its role in first-line therapy [5, 7], but data from the recent randomized noninferiority trial need to be taken with care since it was under powered and the induction treatment mainly based on HD-MTX monotherapy [7, 30]. In fact, dose-reduced WBRT (23.4 Gy) is reported to be not associated with neurocognitive decline but still excellent disease control for patients achieving CR after treatment according to the R-MPV regimen (rituximab, MTX, procarbazine, and vincristine) [3]. On the other hand, Bessel et al. [32] reported compromised disease control after reducing the WRBT dose from 45 to 30.6 Gy, but the comparison between these two cohorts is difficult since induction polychemotherapies varied. As previously reported, the rate of clinical apparent neurotoxicity in our first study was relatively high (6.7%) in patients receiving both, HCT-ASCT and hyperfractionated WBRT [3]. Unfortunately, the rate in patients from study I increased during long-term follow-up to 23.3%. One limitation of this analysis was the lack of prospective standardized testing for neurocognition at baseline and during follow-up, thus the reported risk for neurotoxicity might even be underestimated. However, in study II, in order to decrease the risk of neurotoxicity without lacking efficacy, we improved the protocol by adding another cycle of cytarabine/thiotepa before stem-cell harvest and by doubling the thiotepa cumulative dose $(4 \times 5 \text{ mg/kg})$ within the conditioning regimen. In contrast to the earlier study, all patients were supposed to proceed to HCT-ASCT irrespective of their response to HD-MTX. The OS of study II was similar to the previous study with obligatory WBRT and we observed only one female patient developing severe neurotoxicity after being irradiated because of PR after chemotherapy. Of note, the 3year OS prognosis (82%) of the per-protocol analysis of study II is comparable to that estimated for patients suffering from systemic aggressive diffuse large cell b-cell lymphoma who were at low to intermediate risk (3-year OS 8%) and treated in the rituximab era [33]. Granted treatment-related mortality (TRM) is an issue to be considered when HCT-ASCT is applied in first-line therapy, but in both studies, we observed no deaths in association with HCT-ASCT. Additionally, following a systematic review of HCT-ASCT in systemic lymphoma, estimated TRM of 6% was not increased compared with standard chemotherapy [34]. Therefore, basing on our data, not only with regard to the lower risk of neurotoxicity but also the systemic approach of eliminating residual lymphoma cells in all possible chemotherapy sanctuaries including the cerebrospinal fluid, HCT-ASCT could be an effective alternative to WBRT as consolidation therapy. Nevertheless, results from our national multicenter trial that has recently finished recruiting (N = 8)need to be awaited. In this trial, rituximab was added to the induction treatment and WBRT was restricted to those who did not achieve CR after HCT-ASCT (NCT00647049) in accordance to study II.

Sequential HD-MTX-based chemotherapy followed by carmustine/thiotepa-containing HCT-ASCT is a promising treatment option leading to remarkable median survival rates of almost 9 years in eligible patients. The role of HCT-ASCT compared with WBRT as consolidation in first-line therapy is currently under investigation in an international randomized trial (NCT00920), which also evaluates three different combinations of induction treatments for efficacy and safety. With the improvement of chemotherapy protocols for PCNSL, another question that should be addressed in the future is whether HCT-ASCT is really superior to a potent immunopolychemotherapy combination or may be deferred and saved as an option in case of relapse.

funding

This study was not funded by external resources.

disclosure

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

- 1. Morris PG, Abrey LE. Therapeutic challenges in primary CNS lymphoma. Lancet Neurol 2009; 8: 581–592.
- Panageas KS, Elkin EB, DeAngelis LM et al.. Trends in survival from primary central nervous system lymphoma, 975–999: a population-based analysis. Cancer 2005; 04: 2466–2472.
- 3. Carrabba MG, Reni M, Foppoli M et al.. Treatment approaches for primary CNS lymphomas. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2010; 11: 1263–1276.
- Ferreri AJ, Reni M, Foppoli M et al.. High-dose cytarabine plus high-dose methotrexate versus high-dose methotrexate alone in patients with primary CNS lymphoma: a randomised phase 2 trial. Lancet 2009; 374: 1512–1520.
- Omuro A, Taillandier L, Chinot O et al.. Primary CNS lymphoma in patients younger than 60: can whole-brain radiotherapy be deferred? J Neurooncol 2010; 104: 323–330.
- Ferreri AJ, DeAngelis L, Illerhaus G et al.. Whole-brain radiotherapy in primary CNS lymphoma. Lancet Oncol 2011; 12: 118–119; author reply 119–120.
- Thiel E, Korfel A, Martus P et al.. High-dose methotrexate with or without whole brain radiotherapy for primary CNS lymphoma (G-PCNSL-SG-): a phase 3, randomised, non-inferiority trial. Lancet Oncol 2010; 11: 1036–1047.
- Nayak L, Hedvat C, Rosenblum MK et al.. Late relapse in primary central nervous system lymphoma: clonal persistence. Neuro Oncol 2010; 13: 525–529.
- Jahnke K, Thiel E, Martus P et al.. Relapse of primary central nervous system lymphoma: clinical features, outcome and prognostic factors. J Neurooncol 2006; 80: 159–165.
- 10. Fischer L, Thiel E, Klasen HA et al.. Prospective trial on topotecan salvage therapy in primary CNS lymphoma. Ann Oncol 2006; 17: 1141–1145.
- Soussain C, Hoang-Xuan K, Taillandier L et al.. Intensive chemotherapy followed by hematopoietic stem-cell rescue for refractory and recurrent primary CNS and intraocular lymphoma: Societe Francaise de Greffe de Moelle Osseuse-Therapie Cellulaire. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26: 2512–2518.
- Ferreri AJ, Crocchiolo R, Assanelli A et al.. High-dose chemotherapy supported by autologous stem cell transplantation in patients with primary central nervous system lymphoma: facts and opinions. Leuk Lymphoma 2008; 49: 2042–2047.
- Illerhaus G, Marks R, Ihorst G et al.. High-dose chemotherapy with autologous stem-cell transplantation and hyperfractionated radiotherapy as first-line treatment of primary CNS lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 2006; 24: 3865–3870.
- Illerhaus G, Muller F, Feuerhake F et al.. High-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem-cell transplantation without consolidating radiotherapy as firstline treatment for primary lymphoma of the central nervous system. Haematologica 2008; 93: 147–148.
- Abrey LE, Batchelor TT, Ferreri AJ et al.. Report of an international workshop to standardize baseline evaluation and response criteria for primary CNS lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 2005; 23: 5034–5043.
- Schemper M, Smith TL. A note on quantifying follow-up in studies of failure time. Control Clin Trials 1996; 17: 343–346.
- Brevet M, Garidi R, Gruson B et al.. First-line autologous stem cell transplantation in primary CNS lymphoma. Eur J Haematol 2005; 75: 288–292.
- Colombat P, Lemevel A, Bertrand P et al.. High-dose chemotherapy with autologous stem cell transplantation as first-line therapy for primary CNS lymphoma in patients younger than 60 years: a multicenter phase II study of the GOELAMS group. Bone Marrow Transplant 2006; 38: 417–420.

- Montemurro M, Kiefer T, Schuler F et al.. Primary central nervous system lymphoma treated with high-dose methotrexate, high-dose busulfan/thiotepa, autologous stem-cell transplantation and response-adapted whole-brain radiotherapy: results of the multicenter Ostdeutsche Studiengruppe Hamato-Onkologie OSHO-53 phase II study. Ann Oncol 2007; 18: 665–671.
- Abrey LE, Moskowitz CH, Mason WP et al.. Intensive methotrexate and cytarabine followed by high-dose chemotherapy with autologous stem-cell rescue in patients with newly diagnosed primary CNS lymphoma: an intent-to-treat analysis. J Clin Oncol 2003; 21: 4151–4156.
- Cheng T, Forsyth P, Chaudhry A et al.. High-dose thiotepa, busulfan, cyclophosphamide and ASCT without whole-brain radiotherapy for poor prognosis primary CNS lymphoma. Bone Marrow Transplant 2003; 31: 679–685.
- Yoon DH, Lee DH, Choi DR et al.. Feasibility of BU, CY and etoposide (BUCYE), and auto-SCT in patients with newly diagnosed primary CNS lymphoma: a single-center experience. Bone Marrow Transplant 2011; 46: 105–109.
- Juergens A, Pels H, Rogowski S et al.. Long-term survival with favorable cognitive outcome after chemotherapy in primary central nervous system lymphoma. Ann Neurol 2010; 67: 182–189.
- 24. Ghesquieres H, Ferlay C, Sebban C et al.. Long-term follow-up of an ageadapted C5R protocol followed by radiotherapy in 99 newly diagnosed primary CNS lymphomas: a prospective multicentric phase II study of the Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes de l'Adulte (GELA). Ann Oncol 2010; 21: 842–850.
- Hottinger AF, Iwamoto FM, Karimi S et al.. YKL-40 and MMP-9 as serum markers for patients with primary central nervous system lymphoma. Ann Neurol 2011; 70: 163–169.
- 26. Levy O, Deangelis LM, Filippa DA et al.. Bcl-6 predicts improved prognosis in primary central nervous system lymphoma. Cancer 2008; 112: 151–156.
- Joerger M, Huitema AD, Krahenbuhl S et al.. Methotrexate area under the curve is an important outcome predictor in patients with primary CNS lymphoma: A pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic analysis from the IELSG no. 20 trial. Br J Cancer 2010; 102: 673–677.
- Fritsch K, Kasenda B, Hader C et al.. Immunochemotherapy with rituximab, methotrexate, procarbazine, and lomustine for primary CNS lymphoma (PCNSL) in the elderly. Ann Oncol 2011; 22: 2080–2085.
- Kasenda B, Schorb E, Fritsch K et al.. Primary CNS lymphoma-radiation-free salvage therapy by second autologous stem cell transplantation. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2010; 17: 281–283.
- DeAngelis LM. Radiotherapy: has the role of WBRT in primary CNS lymphoma been settled?. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2011; 8: 196–198.
- Shah GD, Yahalom J, Correa DD et al.. Combined immunochemotherapy with reduced whole-brain radiotherapy for newly diagnosed primary CNS lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 2007; 25: 4730–4735.
- Bessell EM, Lopez-Guillermo A, Villa S et al.. Importance of radiotherapy in the outcome of patients with primary CNS lymphoma: an analysis of the CHOD/BVAM regimen followed by two different radiotherapy treatments. J Clin Oncol 2002; 20: 231–236.
- Ziepert M, Hasenclever D, Kuhnt E et al.. Standard International prognostic index remains a valid predictor of outcome for patients with aggressive CD20 + B-cell lymphoma in the rituximab era. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28: 2373–2380.
- 34. Greb A, Bohlius J, Schiefer D et al.. High-dose chemotherapy with autologous stem cell transplantation in the first line treatment of aggressive non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008; (1): CD004024.