# Long-term exposure to ambient air pollution and traffic noise and incident hypertension in seven cohorts of the European study of cohorts for air pollution effects (ESCAPE)

Kateryna B. Fuks<sup>1\*</sup>, Gudrun Weinmayr<sup>2</sup>, Xavier Basagaña<sup>3,4,5</sup>, Olena Gruzieva<sup>6</sup>, Regina Hampel<sup>7</sup>, Bente Oftedal<sup>8</sup>, Mette Sørensen<sup>9</sup>, Kathrin Wolf<sup>7</sup>, Geir Aamodt<sup>8</sup>, Gunn Marit Aasvang<sup>8</sup>, Inmaculada Aguilera<sup>10,11</sup>, Thomas Becker<sup>12</sup>, Rob Beelen<sup>13</sup>, Bert Brunekreef<sup>13,14</sup>, Barbara Caracciolo<sup>15,16</sup>, Josef Cyrys<sup>7,17</sup>, Roberto Elosua<sup>18</sup>, Kirsten Thorup Eriksen<sup>9</sup>, Maria Foraster<sup>10,11</sup>, Laura Fratiglioni<sup>15,16,19</sup>, Agneta Hilding<sup>20</sup>, Danny Houthuijs<sup>21</sup>, Michal Korek<sup>6</sup>, Nino Künzli<sup>10,11</sup>, Jaume Marrugat<sup>18</sup>, Mark Nieuwenhuijsen<sup>3,5,18</sup>, Claes-Göran Östenson<sup>20</sup>, Johanna Penell<sup>6</sup>, Göran Pershagen<sup>6</sup>, Ole Raaschou-Nielsen<sup>9</sup>, Wim J.R. Swart<sup>21</sup>, Annette Peters<sup>7,22</sup> and Barbara Hoffmann<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Environmental Epidemiology Group, Institute of Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine, Center for Health and Society, Heinrich-Heine-University of Düsseldorf, University Hospital of Düsseldorf, AG Umweltepidemiologie, P.O. Box 101007, 40001 Düsseldorf, Germany; <sup>2</sup>Institute of Epidemiology and Medical Biometry, Ulm University, Helmholtzstraße 22, D 89081 Ulm, Germany; 3ISGlobal, Centre for Research in Environmental Epidemiology (CREAL). C/ Doctor Aiguader 88, 08003 Barcelona, Spain; <sup>4</sup>Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Plaça de la Mercè, 10, 08002 Barcelona, Spain; <sup>5</sup>Consortium for Biomedical Research in Epidemiology and Public Health (CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública, CIBERESP), Av. Monforte de Lemos, 3-5. Pabellón 11. Planta 0, 28029 Madrid, Spain; Department of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, P.O. Box 210, SE-171 77 Stockholm, Sweden; 7Institute of Epidemiology II, HMGU - Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Institute of Epidemiology II, Ingolstädter Landstr. 1, D-85764 Neuherberg, Germany; <sup>8</sup>Division of Environmental Medicine, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, P.O. Box 4404 Nydalen, N-0403 Oslo, Norway; <sup>9</sup>Diet Genes Environment Unit, Danish Cancer Society Research Center, Strandboulevarden 49, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark; <sup>10</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, P.O. Box 4002, Basel, Switzerland; 11 University of Basel, Petersplatz 1, 4003 Basel, Switzerland; 12 Department of Environmental Science, Aarhus University, Frederiksborgvej 399, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark; 13 Division of Environmental Epidemiology, Institute for Risk Assessment Sciences (IRAS), Utrecht University, P.O. Box 80.178, NL-3508 TD Utrecht, the Netherlands; 14 Julius Center for Primary Care and Health Sciences, University Medical Center Utrecht, Universiteitsweg 100, 3584 CG Utrecht, the Netherlands; <sup>15</sup>Aging Research Center, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Karolinska Institutet, Gävlegatan 16, 113 30 Stockholm, Sweden; 16Stockholm University, Universitetsvägen 10, 114 18 Stockholm, Sweden; 17Environmental Science Center, the University of Augsburg, Universitätsstraße 1a, 86159 Augsburg, Germany; 18 Cardiovascular Epidemiology and Genetics Research Group, Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute (IMIM), Carrer del Dr. Aiguader, 88, 08003 Barcelona, Spain; 19 Stockholm Gerontology Research Center, Gävlegatan 16, 8 trappor, 113 30 Stockholm, Sweden; <sup>20</sup>Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Endocrine and Diabetes Unit, Karolinska Institutet, Karolinska University Hospital, Solna (L1:00), SE-171 76 Stockholm, Sweden; <sup>21</sup>National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), P.O. Box 1, 3720 BA Bilthoven, the Netherlands; and <sup>22</sup>Munich Heart Alliance, Deutsches Zentrum für Herz-Kreislaufforschung e.V. (partner-site Munich), Biedersteiner Strasse 29, 80802 Munich, Germany

Received 4 April 2016; revised 20 July 2016; accepted 11 August 2016; online publish-ahead-of-print 24 October 2016

#### **Aims**

We investigated whether traffic-related air pollution and noise are associated with incident hypertension in European cohorts.

# Methods and results

We included seven cohorts of the European study of cohorts for air pollution effects (ESCAPE). We modelled concentrations of particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter  $\leq$ 2.5  $\mu m$  (PM<sub>2.5</sub>),  $\leq$ 10  $\mu m$  (PM<sub>10</sub>), >2.5, and  $\leq$ 10  $\mu m$  (PM<sub>coarse</sub>), soot (PM<sub>2.5</sub> absorbance), and nitrogen oxides at the addresses of participants with land use regression. Residential exposure to traffic noise was modelled at the facade according to the EU Directive 2002/49/EC. We assessed hypertension as (i) self-reported and (ii) measured (systolic BP  $\geq$  140 mmHg or diastolic BP  $\geq$  90 mmHg or intake of BP lowering medication (BPLM). We used Poisson regression with robust variance

Institution where the meta-analysis and manuscript writing was performed: Working group of environmental epidemiology in aging and allergies, IUF-Leibniz Research Institute for Environmental Medicine, Auf'm Hennekamp 50, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany

Published on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. All rights reserved. © The Author 2016. For Permissions, please email: journals.permissions(@joup.com.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel:/Fax: +49-211-8116105, Email: kateryna.fuks@uni-duesseldorf.de

estimation to analyse associations of traffic-related exposures with incidence of hypertension, controlling for relevant confounders, and combined the results from individual studies with random-effects meta-analysis.

Among 41 072 participants free of self-reported hypertension at baseline, 6207 (15.1%) incident cases occurred within 5–9 years of follow-up. Incidence of self-reported hypertension was positively associated with PM<sub>2.5</sub> (relative risk (RR) 1.22 [95%-confidence interval (CI):1.08; 1.37] per 5  $\mu$ g/m³) and PM<sub>2.5</sub> absorbance (RR 1.13 [95% CI:1.02; 1.24] per  $10^{-5}$ m $^{-1}$ ). These estimates decreased slightly upon adjustment for road traffic noise. Road traffic noise was weakly positively associated with the incidence of self-reported hypertension. Among 10 896 participants at risk, 3549 new cases of measured hypertension occurred. We found no clear associations with measured hypertension.

#### **Conclusion**

Long-term residential exposures to air pollution and noise are associated with increased incidence of self-reported hypertension.

#### **Keywords**

Hypertension • Air pollution • Particulate matter • Nitrogen oxides • Road traffic noise • Meta-analysis

# Introduction

Exposure to outdoor air pollution is related to higher cardiovascular disease risk. It has been suggested that air pollution exposure might also be linked to hypertension, a major global risk factor for premature morbidity and mortality, thus possibly mediating part of the deleterious effect of air pollution on cardiovascular disease. Short-term increases in air pollution levels can raise blood pressure (BP) rapidly, as reported in observational and experimental studies. In contrast, the evidence on long-term effects of air pollution on BP or hypertension is scarce and heterogeneous, with a clear lack of prospective studies. Long-term exposure to air pollution was associated with an increase in systolic and/or diastolic BP in a few recent cross-sectional studies. 2-7 However, results were inverse in a study with a large Danish cohort.8 Positive associations of air pollution with incident 9,10 and prevalent 4,11 hypertension were reported in some, but not all studies.<sup>5,6,8,12</sup> In our recent cross-sectional meta-analysis of 15 European cohorts we observed a weak positive association between high residential traffic exposure and BP in participants not taking BP lowering medication (BPLM), and an elevated odds ratio for prevalent hypertension. However, there were no consistent associations of air pollutants and BP.<sup>13</sup>

Long-term noise exposure shares many sources with outdoor air pollution and has the potential to confound air pollution estimates. Positive associations between road traffic noise exposure and hypertension have been reported in a meta-analysis of 27 observational studies. <sup>14</sup> Despite the close relation of ambient noise and air pollution, few studies so far have taken noise exposure into account when investigating the effects of air pollution. <sup>5,6,8,13,15,10</sup>

In the present study, we investigated associations between long-term exposure to ambient air pollution, traffic indicators, and road traffic noise with the incidence of hypertension in seven European cohorts participating in the European study of cohorts for Air Pollution Effects (ESCAPE, http://www.escapeproject.eu; 16 Sept 2016).

# **Methods**

#### **Cohort studies**

This analysis was a part of the ESCAPE Project, which investigated the long term effects of exposure to air pollution on human health in Europe.

We included seven European cohorts from five countries with information on hypertension status for at least two time points (e.g. baseline and follow-up examination) and the most important potential confounders. The population-based cohorts were: Oslo health study (HUBRO, Norway); Stockholm diabetes preventive program (SDPP; Sweden); the Swedish national study of aging and care in Kungsholmen (SNAC-K; Sweden); the Diet, Cancer, and Health cohort (DCH, Denmark); the Heinz Nixdorf risk factors, evaluation of coronary calcification, and lifestyle study (HNR, Germany); the Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg (KORA, Germany); and Registre Gironí del Cor—Girona's heart registry (REGICOR, Spain). These cohorts have been described previously. Work in all cohorts was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and with all local ethical requirements.

#### **Exposure assessment**

Ambient air pollution concentrations were assessed according to the standard ESCAPE procedure.  $^{16,17}$  In short, for each area under study, concentrations of PM $_{10}$ , PM $_{\rm coarse}$  (calculated as PM $_{10}$  minus PM $_{2.5}$ ), PM $_{2.5}$ , and PM $_{2.5}$  absorbance (blackness of the PM $_{2.5}$  exposed filter, determined by measurement of light reflectance, as a marker for soot and black carbon), were measured in 20 sites, and concentrations of nitrogen oxides (NO $_{2}$ , NO $_{x}$ ) were measured in 40 sites in three separate 2-week periods (to cover different seasons) between 2008 and 2011. Adjusted average annual concentrations were calculated for each site based on continuous measurements taken at one background site over a whole year. Using several traffic and land use variables (e.g. traffic, industry, port activity, residential density), derived from Europe-wide and local Geographic Information System databases, area-specific land use regression models were developed for each pollutant and applied to each participant's residential address.

We obtained the area-specific average daily road traffic noise levels as the A-weighted day-evening-night indicator ( $L_{\rm den}$ ). It was modelled at the residential façades of the participants addresses according to the EU Directive 2002/49/EC, as described previously. <sup>13</sup>

In addition to modelled air pollution and noise, we assessed traffic indicators for the home addresses of participants, including (i) total traffic load on major road fragments (defined as roads with traffic intensities > 5000 vehicles/day) within a 100 m radius around the residence ('traffic load'); and (ii) traffic intensity on the nearest road ('traffic intensity').

#### **Outcome assessment**

We investigated two outcomes in those free of disease at baseline incident self-reported hypertension and incident measured hypertension. Self-reported hypertension status was obtained at two time points in all

Table I	Description of	personal o	characteristics of	7 Euro	pean co	horts at baseline
---------	----------------	------------	--------------------	--------	---------	-------------------

Variable	HUBRO	SDPP	SNAC-K	DCH	HNR	KORA	REGICOR
Baseline (years)	2000–2001	1992–1994, 1996–1998	2001–2004	1993–1997	2000–2003	1994–1995, 1999–2001	2003–2006
n (total) <sup>a</sup>	16 430	7535	1945	36 829	4507	5177	1931
, ,							
Systolic BP (mmHg; mean ± SD)	$130.4 \pm 17.9$	$122.8 \pm 15.9$	$142.3 \pm 19.7$	$140.4 \pm 20.6$	$133.1 \pm 20.8$	$129.4 \pm 18.6$	125.3 ± 19.0
Diastolic BP (mmHg; mean $\pm$ SD)	$75.0 \pm 11.3$	$77.0 \pm 10.0$	$81.9 \pm 10.4$	$83.4 \pm 10.6$	$81.4 \pm 10.9$	$80.6 \pm 10.7$	$78.3 \pm 10.3$
BPLM intake (%)	12.1%	5.8%	24.5%	13.0%	35.4%	16.0%	21.0%
Self-reported hypertension (%)	_	_	26.9%	17.1%	42.8%	33.3%	30.8%
Measured hypertension (%)	32.5%	24.0%	69.3%	55.2%	56.9%	37.2%	36.0%
Men (%)	44.4%	38.5%	41.6%	47.1%	50.1%	48.2%	48.2%
Age (years; mean ± SD)	$48.0 \pm 15.2$	$47.1 \pm 4.9$	$71.1 \pm 9.5$	$56.68 \pm 4.3$	$59.6 \pm 7.8$	$49.4 \pm 12.8$	55.3 ± 11.5
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; mean $\pm$ SD)	$25.6 \pm 4.1$	$25.7\pm4.0$	$25.7 \pm 3.9$	$26.0 \pm 4.1$	$27.9 \pm 4.6$	$27.1 \pm 4.4$	26.6 ± 4.1
Area-level SES (%; mean ± SD)	$1.8 \pm 0.7$	$21.4 \pm 2.9$	$2.1 \pm 0.2$	$2.3 \pm 0.9$	$12.5 \pm 3.5$	$27.9 \pm 18.3$	7.9 ± 3.2
Current smoker (%)	25.9%	26.1%	13.6%	37.0%	23.2%	22.3%	20.7%
Passive smoking (%)	24.6%	_	65.8%	82.1%	36.2%	41.0%	-
High alcohol consumption <sup>b</sup> (%)	6.8%	48.0%	60.1%	62.1%	23.0%	46.6%	42.3%
Low education <sup>c</sup> (%)	16.9%	25.9%	20.7%	30.2%	10.7%	10.0%	31.7%
Employed (%)	74.2%	91.7%	29.8%	79.0%	40.5%	61.7%	63.2%
Type 2 diabetes mellitus (%)	3.7%	1.6%	8.4%	2.2%	13.5%	4.1%	13.5%
Coronary heart disease <sup>d</sup> (%)	4.1%	0.4%	4.9%	4.0%	10.5%	5.2%	3.6%

SD, standard deviation; BP, blood pressure; BPLM, BP lowering medication; BMI, body mass index; SES, socio-economic status.

seven cohorts. Measured hypertension was assessed as either systolic BP  $\geq$ 140 mmHg, diastolic BP  $\geq$ 90 mmHg, or current intake of BPLM, as described elsewhere.  $^{13}$  Information on measured hypertension at baseline and follow-up was available in five cohorts (SNAC-K, SDPP, HNR, KORA, and REGICOR). Intake of BPLM was additionally analysed as a separate outcome. We assessed agreement of incident self-reported and measured hypertension as the number of participants with concordant hypertension status in the subgroup of those participants who were free of self-reported and measured hypertension at baseline.

#### Statistical analyses in cohorts

The analyses were performed in each cohort separately, using a uniform statistical protocol and centrally developed analysis codes. Cohortspecific results were pooled with a centrally conducted meta-analysis. Since the exact time of hypertension diagnosis was not available, we estimated the association between exposures and incident hypertension with Poisson regression and present the estimates as relative risk (RR). We used robust variance estimation to avoid variance overestimation, as Poisson regression is applied to binary data. Exposures were entered in the analysis as linear predictors. The main adjustment set was defined a priori and included baseline variables for age, sex, education, economic activity (full time employed, part time employed, retired, homemaker, unemployed), body mass index (BMI), smoking status, pack-years of smoking, passive smoking, total alcohol consumption, wine consumption, physical activity, family history of hypertension (if available), and arealevel socio-economic status (SES). Two-exposure models with simultaneous inclusion of individual air pollutants and noise were conducted to adjust for mutual confounding. Extensive sensitivity analyses were conducted to examine the robustness of the results towards different model specifications and outcome definitions (see section 'Cohort-specific sensitivity analyses' in Supplemental Material).

#### **Meta-analysis**

We performed the random effects meta-analysis of the cohort specific effect estimates based on the DerSimonian and Laird method. <sup>18</sup> Inclusion of cohorts in the meta-analysis was based on data availability. We defined a *P*-value of the Cochran's Q-test <0.05 or  $l^2 >$ 50% as an indication of heterogeneity. All analyses were conducted with STATA 10.0–12.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) and R 2.13.1 (www.r-project.org; 16 Sept 2016).

#### **Results**

The baseline characteristics of the study populations including all participants with repeated information on self-reported or measured hypertension are presented in *Table 1*. Mean age ranged from 47 years (SDPP) to 71 years (SNAC-K), percentage of men from 38% to 50% and mean systolic BP from 122.8 mmHg (SDPP) to 142.3 mmHg (SNAC-K). The prevalence of self-reported hypertension ranged from 17.1% (DCH) to 42.8% (HNR). The prevalence of measured hypertension was lowest in SDPP (24.0%) and highest in SNAC-K (69.3%). The baseline characteristics of the sub-cohorts without prevalent hypertension cases, included in the analyses with incident self-reported or measured hypertension, differed from the respective complete cohorts: participants were slightly younger, had lower BP and BMI values, were more highly educated, smoked less and had a

a Only participants with full information on exposure variables, measured, hypertension and main covariates at baseline are presented. Prevalent hypertension cases included.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>More than six drinks/week.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Primary school or less.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Defined as personal history of myocardial infarction or angina pectoris.

Variable	HUBRO	SDPP	SNAC-K	DCH	HNR	KORA	REGICOR
Follow-up (years; mean ± SD)	8.8 ± 0.3	8.9 ± 1.1	5.0 ± 1.4	5.4 ± 0.3	5.1 ± 0.3	8.2 ± 1.3	5.7 ± 0.6
Incident self-reported hypertension							
n (population under risk)	4642	4211	1094	24 181	2205	3402	1337
Cumulative crude incidence (%)	8.9%	14.7%	22.9%	13.8%	21.8%	26.8%	15.3%
Crude incidence rate per year (%)	1.0%	1.7%	4.6%	2.6%	4.3%	3.3%	2.7%
Incident measured hypertension							
n (population under risk)	_	4196	457	_	1759	3250	1234
Cumulative crude incidence (%)	_	41.3%	40.0%	_	36.2%	22.8%	20.8%
Crude incidence rate per year (%)	_	4.6%	8.0%	_	7.1%	2.8%	3.6%
Incident intake of blood pressure lower	ing medication						
n (population under risk)	6149	5167	1119	24 020	2588	4350	1524
Cumulative crude incidence (%)	14.1%	15.1%	21.5%	9.5%	25.9%	21.8%	15.1%

4.3%

1.8%

5.1%

2.7%

2.6%

Exposure	HUBRO (n=16 430)	SDPP (n=7535)	SNAC-K (n=1945)	DCH (N = 36 829)	HNR (n=4507)	KORA (n=5177)	REGICOR (n=1931)
PM <sub>2.5</sub> [μg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	9.0 ± 1.3	6.6 ± 1.2	8.0 ± 1.3	11.3 ± 0.9	18.4 ± 1.1	13.6 ± 0.9	15.0 ± 1.6
$PM_{coarse} [\mu g/m^3]$	$4.0 \pm 2.0$	$6.3 \pm 2.4$	$8.7 \pm 4.8$	$5.6 \pm 1.0$	10.1 ± 1.6	$6.2 \pm 1.1$	15.1 ± 2.4
$PM_{10} [\mu g/m^3]$	$13.5 \pm 3.1$	$13.7 \pm 3.2$	$16.5 \pm 6.0$	$17.1 \pm 1.9$	$27.8 \pm 1.9$	$20.3 \pm 2.4$	$32.3 \pm 3.9$
PM <sub>2.5</sub> absorbance [μg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	$1.2 \pm 0.3$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$0.8 \pm 0.2$	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	$1.6 \pm 0.4$	$1.7 \pm 0.2$	$2.3 \pm 0.7$
$NO_2 \left[\mu g/m^3\right]$	$20.8 \pm 7.9$	$8.4 \pm 1.7$	$17.4 \pm 4.8$	$16.3 \pm 7.0$	$30.3 \pm 4.9$	$18.7 \pm 3.8$	37.1 ± 13.3
$NO_x [\mu g/m^3]$	$38.1 \pm 15.2$	$14.4 \pm 3.3$	$33.5 \pm 12.5$	$26.6 \pm 18.3$	50.8 ± 11.9	$32.5 \pm 7.2$	66.2 ± 28.2
Traffic intensity [10 <sup>3</sup> vehicles/day]	$2.5 \pm 5.1$	$0.9 \pm 1.6$	$3.8 \pm 9.4$	$3.0 \pm 7.2$	_	$1.6 \pm 3.4$	$4.7 \pm 6.9$
Traffic load[ $10^6$ vehicles $\times$ m/day]	$0.8 \pm 1.8$	$0.1 \pm 0.4$	$2.3 \pm 3.7$	$1.2 \pm 2.3$	$1.0 \pm 2.3$	$0.4 \pm 1.1$	$1.7 \pm 2.3$
L <sub>den</sub> [dB], median (interquartile range)	55.7 (10)	Not available	66.5 (9.0)	57.6 (9.3)	52.5 (14.3)	53.9 (8.2)	66.9 (6.2)

Only participants with full information on exposure variables, measured, hypertension and main covariates at baseline are presented. Prevalent hypertension cases included.

lower prevalence of coronary heart disease (CHD) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM; see Supplementary material online, *Tables S1 and S2*).

Crude incidence rate per year (%)

1.6%


1.7%

We included 41 072 participants from all seven cohorts in the analysis of incident self-reported hypertension and 10 896 participants from five cohorts (SNAC-K, SDPP, HNR, KORA, REGICOR) in the analysis of incident measured hypertension (*Table 2*). Overall, 6207 (15.1%) incident cases of self-reported hypertension and 3549 (32.6%) incident cases of measured hypertension were identified. We observed a moderate to almost complete agreement (69.6–84.5%) of cases with self-reported and measured hypertension in the different cohorts. 74 to 98.6% of incident cases of self-reported hypertension were also identified as new cases of BPLM intake (see Supplementary material online, *Table S3*).

We found higher average levels of air pollution in the central and southern European study areas (HNR, KORA, and REGICOR) than in the Scandinavian study areas (HUBRO, SDPP, SNAC-K, DCH; *Table 3*). Traffic and noise exposures were highest in SNAC-K and REGICOR. The distribution of exposures in the sub-cohorts was similar to that in the total study populations at baseline (see Supplementary material online, *Tables S4* and *S5*).

Air pollutants correlated weakly (Spearman's  $\rho$  0.3–0.5) with traffic indicators, except for SNAC-K and DCH, where moderate correlations up to 0.65 were observed (see Supplementary material online, *Table S6*). Air pollutants and traffic indicators correlated weakly to moderately (Spearman's  $\rho$  0.5–0.7) with road traffic noise, except for REGICOR which had higher correlations (Spearman's  $\rho$  > 0.7).

Several air pollutants were associated with incidence of self-reported hypertension (*Figure 1*). The RR estimates in the meta-analysis of seven studies were 1.22 [95%-confidence interval (CI): 1.08;1.37] per 5  $\mu$ g/m³ PM<sub>2.5</sub> and 1.13 [1.02;1.24] per 10  $^{-5}$ m  $^{-1}$  PM<sub>2.5</sub> absorbance (*Table 4*). We also found non-significantly elevated point estimates for PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>coarse</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, traffic load, and L<sub>DEN</sub> with incidence of self-reported hypertension (*Table 4*, *Figure 1*, and see Supplementary material online, *Figures S1–S5*). The association of PM<sub>2.5</sub> with incident self-reported hypertension was robust to the inclusion of a random intercept for area, additional covariates, short-term air pollution exposure, and to the exclusion of participants who changed their address during follow-up (see Supplementary material online, *Figure S6*). The association of PM<sub>2.5</sub> absorbance was weaker after adjustment for area-level SES, stronger after adjustment for short-term air pollution, and unchanged with other



**Figure 1** Random-effects meta-analysis of the associations of  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$  absorbance, traffic load and  $L_{den}$  at baseline with measured and self-reported incidence of hypertension at follow-up.

Filled marks = incident measured hypertension as outcome; open marks = incident self-reported hypertension. Relative risk (with 95% confidence interval) per given increase in exposure concentration is presented. Estimates were adjusted for age, sex, education, economic activity, body mass index, smoking status, pack-years of smoking, passive smoking, total alcohol consumption, wine consumption, physical activity, family history of hypertension, and area-level SES.

model specifications. Observed effect estimates did not change materially when we restricted the meta-analysis to studies with available data on measured hypertension, which restricted the analysis to 12 249 participants (see Supplementary material online, *Table S7*).

Measured incident hypertension was not related to long-term exposure to air pollution, traffic indicators, or road traffic noise. Heterogeneity of effects between studies was only apparent for PM<sub>2.5</sub> absorbance (*Table 4* and *Figure 1*). Similarly to the main analyses, we found no associations when using alternative definitions of measured hypertension in sensitivity analyses, although a few RRs were elevated when the 160 mmHg cut-off value was used to define hypertension (see Supplementary material online, *Table S8*).

We observed elevated RRs for the associations between the following pollutants and incident intake of BPLM: 1.15 [0.99; 1.34] per 5  $\mu g/m^3$  of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, 1.08 [0.96, 1.22] per 10 -5m<sup>-</sup>L of PM<sub>2.5</sub> absorbance,

1.08 [1.01; 1.15] per  $4 \times 10^6$  vehicles  $\times$  m/day traffic load, and 1.05 [0.99; 1.10] per 10 dB(A) road traffic noise (*Table 4*).

In two-exposure models, in which an air pollutant and noise exposure were included simultaneously, the estimated RRs for self-reported hypertension only slightly decreased for the PM pollutants (e.g. to 1.20 [1.05, 1.37] for PM $_{2.5}$  and 1.10 [0.98, 1.24] for PM $_{2.5}$  absorbance; see Supplementary material online, Figure S7). The estimates for nitrogen oxides and traffic indicators remained unchanged. For measured hypertension, the estimated RRs for PM exposures increased after adjustment for road traffic noise, while the estimates for nitrogen oxides and traffic indicators were mostly unchanged. After adjustment for PM $_{2.5}$ , the estimated RRs for road traffic noise were unchanged for measured hypertension and closer to null for self-reported hypertension (see Supplementary material online, Figure S7).

Table 4 Pooled estimates of the association of air pollution, traffic indicators and road traffic noise with incidence of measured and self-reported hypertension, and incident intake of blood pressure lowering medication (BPLM)

Exposure Incident measured hypertension					Inci	dent self-reported	Inc	Incident BPLM use				
	n	RR (95%-CI)	l <sup>2</sup>	p <sub>het.</sub>	n	RR (95%-CI)	l <sup>2</sup>	p <sub>het.</sub>	n	RR (95%-CI)	l <sup>2</sup>	p <sub>het.</sub>
PM <sub>2.5</sub> [5 μg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	5 <sup>a</sup>	0.97 (0.80, 1.17)	42.24	0.14	7 <sup>d</sup>	1.22 (1.08, 1.37)	0.00	0.89	5 <sup>a</sup>	1.15 (0.99, 1.34)	0.00	0.71
PM <sub>coarse</sub> [5 μg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	5	0.97 (0.92, 1.03)	0.00	0.53	7	1.07 (0.98, 1.16)	24.24	0.24	5	1.03 (0.95, 1.13)	16.91	0.31
PM <sub>10</sub> [10 μg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	5	0.99 (0.87, 1.12)	34.19	0.19	7	1.07 (0.97, 1.18)	16.55	0.30	5	1.01 (0.91, 1.12)	0.00	0.84
PM <sub>2.5</sub> absorbance [10 <sup>-5</sup> m <sup>-1</sup> ]	5	0.93 (0.77, 1.13)	57.26	0.05	7	1.13 (1.02, 1.24)	0.00	0.80	5	1.08 (0.96, 1.22)	0.00	0.64
NO <sub>2</sub> [10 μg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	5	0.97 (0.91, 1.03)	0.00	0.81	7	1.03 (0.99, 1.07)	0.00	0.81	5	1.02 (0.96, 1.09)	0.00	0.58
NO <sub>x</sub> [20 μg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	5	0.96 (0.91, 1.01)	0.00	0.98	7	1.03 (0.98, 1.08)	18.90	0.29	5	0.99 (0.93, 1.07)	17.77	0.30
Traffic load $[4 \times 10^6]$ vehicles $\times$ m/day]	5	1.01 (0.94, 1.09)	0.00	0.96	7	1.04 (0.99, 1.08)	0.00	0.68	5	1.08 (1.01, 1.15)	0.00	0.96
Traffic intensity [5000 vehicles/day]	4 <sup>b</sup>	0.95 (0.90, 1.00)	0.00	0.71	6 <sup>e</sup>	1.01 (0.98, 1.03)	16.54	0.31	4 <sup>b</sup>	0.98 (0.92, 1.04)	29.37	0.24
L <sub>den</sub> [per 10 dB]	4 <sup>c</sup>	0.99 (0.94, 1.04)	0.15	0.39	6 <sup>f</sup>	1.03 (0.99, 1.07)	6.08	0.38	4 <sup>c</sup>	1.05 (0.99, 1.10)	0.00	0.97

Results are presented per given exposure increment. Estimates were adjusted for age, sex, education, economic activity, body mass index, smoking status, pack-years of smoking, passive smoking, total alcohol consumption, wine consumption, physical activity, family history of hypertension, and area-level socio-economic status.

In a subgroup analysis we observed higher RRs with PM2.5 for both self-reported and measured hypertension RRs were elevated in participants with CHD or T2DM, although with high imprecision of the effect estimate in the groups with the disorder. Further, the self-reported hypertension RR was higher in more educated participants (see Supplementary material online, Figure S8).

## **Discussion**

In this multicentre study including seven European cohorts, long-term residential exposure to  $PM_{2.5}$  air pollution and high traffic load was positively associated with incident self-reported hypertension and incident intake of BPLM. These associations did not change substantially after adjustment for road traffic noise. Road traffic noise itself was weakly associated with incident self-reported hypertension and intake of BPLM, but estimates were attenuated after adjustment for  $PM_{2.5}$ .

In this large prospective study on environmental exposures and incident hypertension, we estimated an increase in risk for self-reported hypertension of 22% per 5  $\mu$ g/m³ increase in PM<sub>2.5</sub>. This is in line with prior findings from Coogan et al.<sup>10</sup> in a cohort of 4204 black women living in Los Angeles, but is higher than the finding of Chen et al.<sup>9</sup> in a sample of 35 303 Ontario residents. Our results also corroborate the positive longitudinal association of high traffic load at the residence with BPLM intake that we previously observed in our cross-sectional investigation in 15 ESCAPE cohorts.<sup>13</sup> The size of the effect is similar to that associated with having a high BMI (RR 1.24 (95%-Cl: 1.12, 1.36) per standard deviation, as reported in a study with normotensive adults), thereby underscoring the importance of the observed association.<sup>19</sup>

In the present study we observed relevant differences in the results, with positive associations found for self-reported hypertension and incident intake of BPLM, but no associations found for measured hypertension using the standard INCVII cut-off values. Outcome misclassification might be a possible explanation as the overlap of cases of self-reported and measured hypertension varied substantially across cohorts. We defined measured hypertension as a combined outcome based on a one-time BP measurement during the study centre visit and/or a reported intake of BPLM. A one-time office-based BP measurement in the framework of a cohort study is subject to large intra-individual variability. This variability, as well as the potential misclassification of medication as anti-hypertensive, if indeed the indication was otherwise, might have led to a low specificity of the measured hypertension definition with many false positives. Supporting this notion of low specificity, we observed elevated RRs when applying a cut-off value of 160 mmHg. In contrast to measured hypertension, self-reported hypertension or intake of BPLM varies less and will lead to fewer false positive cases. This can potentially explain the positive effects for self-reported hypertension and incident BPLM as well as some of the inconsistencies between prior studies, some of which have reported positive associations with hypertension, 4,9-11 while others found null effects. 5,6,8,12

The effects of  $PM_{2.5}$  air pollution on incident hypertension remained stable after adjustment for road traffic noise. These findings are similar to our previous meta-analysis of 15 ESCAPE cohorts, in which adjustment for road traffic noise did not affect the cross-sectional associations between air pollution or traffic indicators and arterial BP.<sup>13</sup> Adjustment for noise led to no or only minor changes in the associations with hypertension in most studies.<sup>5,8,10,13,15</sup>

RR, relative risk; CI, confidence interval;  $p_{het}$ , P-value for test for heterogeneity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>SNAC-K, SDPP, HNR, KORA, REGICOR.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>SNAC-K, SDPP, KORA, REGICOR.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>SNAC-K, HNR, KORA, REGICOR.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>DCH, HUBRO, SNAC-K, SDPP, HNR, KORA, REGICOR.

<sup>°</sup>DCH, HUBRO, SNAC-K, SDPP, KORA, REGICOR,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup>DCH, HUBRO, SNAC-K, HNR, KORA, REGICOR.

However, negative confounding of  $NO_x$  associations with BP/hypertension by traffic noise was reported in an analysis of the REGICOR study in Spain.<sup>6</sup>

In contrast, the positive relationship between road traffic noise and self-reported hypertension was attenuated after adjustment for PM<sub>2.5</sub> in our study. A small decrease and loss of statistical significance for the association between noise and BP/hypertension upon adjustment for PM<sub>2.5</sub> was reported by Babisch et al. 15 in the cross-sectional analysis of the KORA study. Three other European studies have shown positive associations between railway and road traffic noise and hypertension/BP, which were robust to adjustment for ambient nitrogen oxide concentrations, but no information on adjustment for particles is given. 20–22 Our air pollution-sensitive noise findings should be interpreted with caution. First, in contrast to ambient air pollution, noise measured at the façade and indoor exposure to traffic-related noise can vary substantially across and within populations. Window technology, location of bed rooms relative to streets, hearing impairment and personal behaviour like ventilation habits or the use of ear plugs can result in misclassification of noise exposure if the assessment is solely based on outdoor façade values. Foraster et al.<sup>20</sup> found that associations between air pollution and traffic noise, and prevalent hypertension and systolic BP were more consistent and less affected by mutual adjustment when noise exposure was estimated as indoor noise levels, taking housing characteristics and coping strat-

The suggested biological mechanisms for the cardiovascular effects of air pollution include the elicitation of local and systemic inflammation and oxidative stress, autonomic imbalance, and endothelial dysfunction. Road traffic noise, on the other hand, is a stressor affecting the endocrine system and the autonomic nervous system, therefore, it is possible that air pollution and noise affect different pathophysiologic pathways, or at least, not completely overlapping ones.

One of the limitations of our study is that study-specific differences in outcome assessment might have led to heterogeneity between individual studies. Moreover, measured hypertension was not available for all studies. We could not validate the cases of measured or selfreported hypertension with physician diagnosis in the individual cohorts. The number of participants in the analysis with measured hypertension was about 10 000 participants, which is four times smaller than in the analysis with self-reported hypertension, and it is possible that we did not have sufficient power to detect an association with measured hypertension given the high variance of BP measurements. Selection bias due to a healthy survivor effect should be considered, as we used follow-up data covering 9 years, and possible losses to followup could have included those with incident hypertension. The exposure assessment was conducted in 2008–2011, while cohorts were incepted in 1992-2003. We assume, however, that spatial contrasts were stable over time, as has been shown previously.<sup>23</sup>

Our study has a number of strengths. It is one of the first and up to now the largest study, to investigate prospectively the mutual effect of air pollution and road traffic noise on incidence of hypertension, simultaneously. The large multicentre study, with well characterized prospective cohorts, identical protocols for exposure assessment, outcome definition, and statistical analysis, enabled a high degree of harmonization. We used diverse populations with different baseline risks for hypertension, allowing us to extrapolate the results of our study more widely than most single centre studies can. We were able

to investigate incidence of hypertension, using alternative definitions of hypertension and to compare the results.

In conclusion, our results show that long-term exposure to  $PM_{2.5}$  air pollution and high traffic load are positively associated with incident self-reported hypertension and incident BPLM intake, respectively, and that these associations are not confounded by road traffic noise. We also found supporting evidence that outdoor road traffic noise is associated with self-reported hypertension and intake of BPLM. Given the ubiquitous presence of air pollution, these results, if confirmed, have important public health consequences and call for more stringent air quality regulations.

# Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at European Heart Journal online.

## **Funding**

Cohort-specific funding and acknowledgements:

**HUBRO:** The data collection was conducted as part of the Oslo Health Study 2000-2001 in collaboration with the Norwegian Institute of Public Health. The authors would like to thank Jon Wickmann for his efforts to coordinate the Oslo group and to assure the quality of exposure assessment in the HUBRO cohort.

**SDPP:** The financial support for the combined work with the Stockholm studies was provided by the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, the Swedish Heart-Lung Foundation and the Swedish Council for Working Life and Social Research. The study was supported by grants from Stockholm County Council, Swedish Council for Working Life and Social Research, Swedish Research Council, Swedish Diabetes Foundation, Novo Nordisk Scandinavia.

**SNAC-K:** The financial support for the combined work with the Stockholm studies was provided by the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, the Swedish Heart-Lung Foundation and the Swedish Council for Working Life and Social Research.

**DCH:** The Danish Cancer Society contributed funding to establish the Diet Cancer and Health study.

**HNR:** We thank all study participants and the dedicated personnel of the Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study. We gratefully acknowledge the collaboration with K.-H. Jöckel, D. Grönermeyer, R. Seibel, K. Mann, L. Vollbracht, K. Lauterbach. We thank the North Rhine-Westphalia State Agency for Nature, Environment and Consumer Protection for providing road maps with traffic data and emission data from the reference sites for back-extrapolation. The study was supported by the Heinz Nixdorf Foundation [chairman: M. Nixdorf; former chairman: G. Schmidt (deceased)], the German Ministry of Education and Science, the German Research Foundation (DFG; projects JO-170/8-1, HO 3314/2-1, SI 236/8-1, and SI236/9-1).

KORA: The KORA study was initiated and financed by the Helmholtz Zentrum München German Research Center for Environmental Health, which is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) and by the State of Bavaria. Furthermore, KORA research was supported within the Munich Center of Health Sciences (MC-Health), Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, as part of LMUinnovativ.

**REGICOR:** The REGICOR study was supported by funding from Maratœ de TV3 081632; Centre for Research in Environmental

Epidemiology (CREAL) Pilot Project Funds 2009; Spain's Ministry of Science and Innovation through the Carlos III Health Institute [HERACLES Program RD12/0042] co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF); and the Government of Catalonia through the Agency for Management of University and Research Grant [2014 SGR 240].

Conflict of interest: none declared.

#### References

- Brook RD, Rajagopalan S, Pope CA, Brook JR, Bhatnagar A, Diez-Roux AV, Holguin F, Hong Y, Luepker RV, Mittleman M. A, Peters A, Siscovick D, Smith SC, Whitsel L, Kaufman JD. Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease. An update to the scientific statement from the american heart association. Circulation 2010;121:2331–2378.
- Schwartz J, Alexeeff SE, Mordukhovich I, Gryparis A, Vokonas P, Suh H, Coull B.
   A. Association between long-term exposure to traffic particles and blood pressure in the Veterans Administration Normative Aging Study. Occup Environ Med 2012;69:422–427.
- Chuang K-J, Yan Y-H, Chiu S-Y, Cheng T-J. Long-term air pollution exposure and risk factors for cardiovascular diseases among the elderly in Taiwan. Occup Environ Med 2011:68:64–68.
- Dong G-H, Qian ZM, Xaverius PK, Trevathan E, Maalouf S, Parker J, Yang L, Liu M-M, Wang D, Ren W-H, Ma W, Wang J, Zelicoff A, Fu Q, Simckes M. Association between long-term air pollution and increased blood pressure and hypertension in China. Hypertension 2013;61:578–584.
- Fuks K, Moebus S, Hertel S, Viehmann A, Nonnemacher M, Dragano N, Möhlenkamp S, Jakobs H, Kessler C, Erbel R, Hoffmann B. Long-term urban particulate air pollution, traffic noise, and arterial blood pressure. *Environ Health Perspect* 2011;119:1706–1711.
- Foraster M, Basagaña X, Aguilera I, Rivera M, Agis D, Bouso L, Deltell A, Marrugat J, Ramos R, Sunyer J, Vila J, Elosua R, Künzli N. Association of longterm exposure to traffic-related air pollution with blood pressure and hypertension in an adult population—based cohort in Spain (the REGICOR Study). Environ Health Perspect 2014:122:404—411.
- Chan SH, Van Hee VC, Bergen S, Szpiro AA, DeRoo LA, London SJ, Marshall JD, Kaufman JD, Sandler DP. Long-term air pollution exposure and blood pressure in the sister study. *Environ Health Perspect* 2015;**123**:951–958.
- 8. Sørensen M, Hoffmann B, Hvidberg M, Ketzel M, Jensen SS, Andersen ZJ, Tjønneland A, Overvad K, Raaschou-Nielsen O. Long-term exposure to traffic-related air pollution associated with blood pressure and self-reported hypertension in a Danish cohort. *Environ Health Perspect* 2012;**120**:418–424.
- 9. Chen H, Burnett RT, Kwong JC, Villeneuve PJ, Goldberg MS, Brook RD, van Donkelaar A, Jerrett M, Martin RV, Kopp A, Brook JR, Copes R. Spatial association between ambient fine particulate matter and incident hypertension. *Circulation* 2014;**129**;1–25.
- Coogan PF, White LF, Jerrett M, Brook RD, Su JG, Seto E, Burnett R, Palmer JR, Rosenberg L. Air pollution and incidence of hypertension and diabetes mellitus in black women living in Los Angeles. *Circulation* 2012;**125**:767–772.
- Johnson D, Parker JD. Air pollution exposure and self-reported cardiovascular disease. Environ Res 2009;109:582–589.
- Chen S-Y, Wu C-F, Lee J-H, Hoffmann B, Peters A, Brunekreef B, Chu D-C, Chan C-C. Associations between long-term air pollutant exposures and blood pressure in elderly residents of Taipei city: a cross-sectional study. *Environ Health Perspect* 2015;**123**:779–784.

- 13. Fuks KB, Weinmayr G, Foraster M, Dratva J, Hampel R, Houthuijs D, Oftedal B, Oudin A, Panasevich S, Penell J, Sommar JN, Sørensen M, Tiittanen P, Wolf K, Xun WW, Aguilera I, Basagaña X, Beelen R, Bots ML, Brunekreef B, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Caracciolo B, Cirach M, de Faire U, de Nazelle A, Eeftens M, Elosua R, Erbel R, Forsberg B, Fratiglioni L, Gaspoz J-M, Hilding A, Jula A, Korek M, Krämer U, Künzli N, Lanki T, Leander K, Magnusson PKE, Marrugat J, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Ostenson C-G, Pedersen NL, Pershagen G, Phuleria HC, Probst-Hensch NM, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Schaffner E, Schikowski T, Schindler C, Schwarze PE, Søgaard AJ, Sugiri D, Swart WJR, Tsai M-Y, Turunen AW, Vineis P, Peters A, Hoffmann B. Arterial blood pressure and long-term exposure to traffic-related air pollution: an analysis in the European Study of Cohorts for Air Pollution Effects (ESCAPE). Environ Health Perspect 2014;122:896–905.
- 14. van Kempen E, Babisch W. The quantitative relationship between road traffic noise and hypertension: a meta-analysis. J Hypertens 2012;30:1075–1086.
- Babisch W, Wolf K, Petz M, Heinrich J, Cyrys J, Peters A. Associations between traffic noise, particulate air pollution, hypertension, and isolated systolic hypertension in adults: the KORA Study. *Environ Health Perspect* 2014;**122**:492–498.
- 16. Eeftens M, Beelen R, de Hoogh K, Bellander T, Cesaroni G, Cirach M, Declercq C, Dédelè A, Dons E, de Nazelle A, Dimakopoulou K, Eriksen K, Falq G, Fischer P, Galassi C, Gražulevičienè R, Heinrich J, Hoffmann B, Jerrett M, Keidel D, Korek M, Lanki T, Lindley S, Madsen C, Mölter A, Nádor G, Nieuwenhuijsen M, Nonnemacher M, Pedeli X, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Patelarou E, Quass U, Ranzi A, Schindler C, Stempfelet M, Stephanou E, Sugiri D, Tsai M-Y, Yli-Tuomi T, Varró MJ, Vienneau D, Klot S. V, Wolf K, Brunekreef B, Hoek G. Development of land use regression models for PM(2.5), PM(2.5) absorbance, PM(10) and PM(coarse) in 20 European study areas; results of the ESCAPE project. Environ Sci Technol 2012;46:11195–11205.
- 17. Beelen R, Hoek G, Vienneau D, Eeftens M, Dimakopoulou K, Pedeli X, Tsai M-Y, Künzli N, Schikowski T, Marcon A, Eriksen K, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Stephanou E, Patelarou E, Lanki T, Yli-Tuomi T, Declercq C, Falq G, Stempfelet M, Birk M, Cyrys J, von Klot S, Nádor G, Varró MJ, Dédelé A, Graulevičiené R, Mölter A, Lindley S, Madsen C, Cesaroni G, Ranzi A, Badaloni C, Hoffmann B, Nonnemacher M, Krämer U, Kuhlbusch T, Cirach M, de Nazelle A, Nieuwenhuijsen M, Bellander T, Korek M, Olsson D, Strömgren M, Dons E, Jerrett M, Fischer P, Wang M, Brunekreef B, de Hoogh K. Development of NO2 and NOx land use regression models for estimating air pollution exposure in 36 study areas in Europe—the ESCAPE project. Atmos Environ 2013;72:10–23.
- DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 1986;7:177–188.
- Chandra A, Neeland IJ, Berry JD, Ayers CR, Rohatgi A, Das SR, Khera A, McGuire DK, de Lemos JA, Turer AT. The relationship of body mass and fat distribution with incident hypertension: observations from the Dallas Heart Study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;64:997–1002.
- Foraster M, Künzli N, Aguilera I, Rivera M, Agis D, Vila J, Bouso L, Deltell A, Marrugat J, Ramos R, Sunyer J, Elosua R, Basagaña X. High blood pressure and long-term exposure to indoor noise and air pollution from road traffic. *Environ Health Perspect* 2014;**122**:1193–1200.
- Dratva J, Phuleria HC, Foraster M, Gaspoz J-M, Keidel D, Künzli N, Liu L-JS, Pons M, Zemp E, Gerbase MW, Schindler C. Transportation noise and blood pressure in a population-based sample of adults. *Environ Health Perspect* 2012;**120**:50–55.
- Sorensen M, Hvidberg M, Hoffmann B, Andersen ZJ, Nordsborg RB, Lillelund KG, Jakobsen J, Tjonneland A, Overvad K, Raaschou-Nielsen O. Exposure to road traffic and railway noise and associations with blood pressure and selfreported hypertension: a cohort study. *Environ Health* 2011;**10**:92.
- Eeftens M, Beelen R, Fischer P, Brunekreef B, Meliefste K, Hoek G. Stability of measured and modelled spatial contrasts in NO(2) over time. Occup Environ Med 2011;68:765–770.