

to a population vulnerable to VPDs in the United States.

Finally, in Mr. Trump's vision of "America first," economic aspects tend to be prioritized, and global challenges such as climate change tend to be neglected. However, Mr. Trump's doubts about climate change [8] must be discussed from a scholarly point of view because if countermeasures to control climate change are not taken, mosquito-borne diseases may be left untreated. As a fact, we should take note that since 2015, 220 cases of locally acquired mosquito-borne Zika infection have been reported in some parts of Florida and Texas [9]. We believe that any policies and orders should be announced after the due deliberation of several aspects including the scientific facts.

Our challenge is to control possible outbreaks of infectious diseases, and Mr. Trump's challenge is to protect his country and the children of the United States from the view of a "globalized world." We believe that not only the guarantee of vaccine service to all children irrespective of their nationality, ethnicity, or race but also addressing climate change honestly will create a stronger wall than any that might be built at a border.

Notes

Contributions. K. T. and Y. M. made significant contributions to the synthesis of the manuscript. T. T., E. K., and M. K. made significant contributions to organizing the discussion. All the authors read and approved this manuscript for submission.

Potential conflicts of interest. All authors certify no potential conflicts of interest. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Kenzo Takahashi,^{1,3a} Yoko Motoki,^{2a}
Tetsuya Tanimoto,³ Eiji Kusumi,³ and Masahiro Kami⁴

¹Teikyo University Graduate School of Public Health, Tokyo,

²Yokohama City University, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Molecular Reproductive Science, Yokohama, and ³Navitas Clinic, and ⁴Medical Governance Research Institute, Tokyo, Japan

References

1. Long JC. Trump: keep climate plans to boost jobs. *Nature* 2016; 539:495.
2. The Lancet. Measles vaccination: global progress, local challenges. *The Lancet Measles vaccination:*

global progress, local challenges. *The Lancet*; 2016; 388:2450.

3. The Lancet Global Health. Surprise us, Mr Trump. *The Lancet Glob Hlth* 2016; 5:e229.
4. Strine TW, Barker LE, Mokdad AH, Luman ET, Sutter RW, Chu SY. Vaccination coverage of foreign-born children 19 to 35 months of age: findings from the National Immunization Survey, 1999–2000. *Pediatrics* 2002; 110:e15.
5. The American Academy of Pediatrics. Providing care for immigrant, migrant, and border children. *Pediatrics* 2013; 131:e2028–34.
6. Kondo Y, Tanimoto T, Kosugi K, et al. Measles vaccination for international airport workers. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017; 64:528
7. Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Progress toward measles elimination—Japan, 1999–2008. *MMWR Morbidity and mortality weekly report* 2008; 57:1049–52.
8. Saenz A, Parks MA. Energy Department denies Trump Team request to name employees on climate policy. 2016. Available at: <http://abc-news.com/Politics/energy-department-denies-trump-team-request-employees-climate-story?id=44173501> (accessed Dec 25 2016).
9. Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Zika virus: case counts in the US. 2016. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/zika/geo/united-states.html> (accessed Feb 14 2017).

^aK.T. and Y.M. contributed equally to this correspondence.

Correspondence: K. Takahashi, Associate Professor, Teikyo University Graduate School of Public Health, 2-11-1 Kaga, Itabashi, Tokyo 173-8605, Japan (kenzo.takahashi.glbh@gmail.com)

Clinical Infectious Diseases® 2017;64(10):1465–6

© The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. DOI: 10.1093/cid/cix225

Artemether-Lumefantrine Treatment Failure in Nonimmune European Travelers With *Plasmodium falciparum* Malaria: Do We Need to Reconsider Dosing in Patients From Nonendemic Regions?

We read with interest the recent article by Sódén and colleagues [1], which describes 310 imported *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria cases in Sweden treated with oral regimens: 95 of 310 with artemether-lumefantrine (AL), 162 of 310 with mefloquine, 36 of 310 with atovaquone-proguanil, and 17 of 310 with other regimens. Among patients treated with AL, a high rate of late treatment failures was observed: 5.3% (5/95) of patients showed recrudescence of *P. falciparum* 20–28 days after completion of treatment, whereas no late treatment failures were seen in patients treated with other oral regimens. While genotyping did not

reveal any evidence of underlying drug resistance, pharmacokinetic data suggest that the observed treatment failures may be attributable to subtherapeutic lumefantrine plasma concentrations [1].

As the area under the curve of plasma lumefantrine concentration vs time is the main determinant for eradication of residual parasites not cleared by artemether, and thus the determinant of clinical efficacy [2–4], this explanation appears plausible.

Sódén and colleagues also provide a review of published reports on AL treatment, which includes the only prospective study on the efficacy of AL including nonimmune European travelers published in 2008 [5]. In this study, 165 nonimmune patients from Europe and nonmalarious regions of Colombia with uncomplicated falciparum malaria were treated with the standard 6-dose AL regimen. We would like to highlight that, although the cited overall failure rate of AL treatment in this study was 3.6% (6/165), the failure rate in the subgroup analysis of European travelers (not shown) was 5.3% (3/57), and thus identical to the rate now reported by Sódén and colleagues in Swedish patients.

Considering that (i) the currently used 6-dose regimen of AL was a consequence of the unacceptably high recrudescence rates following the initially recommended 4-dose regimen of AL [6, 7], that (ii) nonimmune patients lacking acquired partial immunity have a higher risk of treatment failure compared with patients from endemic regions [3], that (iii) at the time of AL registration, almost no data from nonimmune patients were available, that (iv) the observed treatment failures with AL are very likely attributable to low lumefantrine plasma levels, and that (v) the now reconfirmed failure rate of 5.3% in nonimmune patients challenges the current treatment strategy, reconsideration of the dosing strategy of AL in this patient population is warranted.

To achieve sufficiently high lumefantrine plasma levels over time, either the extension of the current 3-day AL regimen to a 5-day "augmented regimen" [3] or the spreading

of the 6-dose regimen from currently 3 days (0, 8, 24, 36, 48, 60 hours) to 5 days (0, 8, 24, 48, 72, 96 hours) may be discussed [8]. The question of whether a higher cumulative dose of lumefantrine in an augmented regimen may increase the rate of gastrointestinal side effects (primarily vomiting [3]) will be answered once the results of the “AL3vs5” study, comparing the 3-day AL regimen with a 5-day regimen, are published [9].

Note

Acknowledgement. The original study on artemether-lumefantrine (Hatz et al [5]) was sponsored by Novartis Pharma.

Potential conflicts of interest. B. G. has received institutional grant support from Novartis for compensation for a clinical trial. All other authors report no potential conflicts. No reported conflicts of interest. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Andreas Neumayr,^{1,2} Daniel Henry Paris,^{1,2}
Blaise Genton,^{2,3} and Christoph Hatz^{1,2}

¹Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Basel; ²University of Basel; and ³Infectious Disease Service and Department of Ambulatory Care, University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland

References

1. Sonden K, Wyss K, Jovel I, et al. High rate of treatment failures in nonimmune travelers treated with artemether-lumefantrine for uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in Sweden: retrospective comparative analysis of effectiveness and case series. *Clin Infect Dis* **2017**; 64:199–206.
2. Price RN, Uhlemann AC, van Vugt M, et al. Molecular and pharmacological determinants of the therapeutic response to artemether-lumefantrine in multidrug-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. *Clin Infect Dis* **2006**; 42:1570–7.
3. Worldwide Antimalarial Resistance Network (WWARN) AL Dose Impact Study Group. The effect of dose on the antimalarial efficacy of artemether-lumefantrine: a systematic review and pooled analysis of individual patient data. *Lancet Infect Dis* **2015**; 15:692–702.
4. WorldWide Antimalarial Resistance Network (WWARN) Lumefantrine PK/PD Study Group. Artemether-lumefantrine treatment of uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria: a systematic review and meta-analysis of day 7 lumefantrine concentrations and therapeutic response using individual patient data. *BMC Med* **2015**; 13:227.
5. Hatz C, Soto J, Nothdurft HD, et al. Treatment of acute uncomplicated falciparum malaria with artemether-lumefantrine in nonimmune populations: a safety, efficacy, and pharmacokinetic study. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* **2008**; 78:241–7.
6. van Vugt M, Brockman A, Gemperli B, et al. Randomized comparison of artemether-benflumetol and artesunate-mefloquine in treatment of multidrug-resistant falciparum malaria. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **1998**; 42:135–9.
7. van Agtmael M, Bouchaud O, Malvy D, et al. The comparative efficacy and tolerability of CPG 56697 (artemether + lumefantrine) versus halofantrine in the treatment of uncomplicated falciparum malaria in travellers returning from the tropics to the Netherlands and France. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **1999**; 12:159–69.
8. Ezzet F, van Vugt M, Nosten F, Looareesuwan S, White NJ. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of lumefantrine (benflumetol) in acute falciparum malaria. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2000**; 44:697–704.
9. ClinicalTrials.gov. Optimising operational use of artemether-lumefantrine comparing 3 day versus 5 day (AL3vs5). Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02020330>. Accessed 17 February 2017.

Correspondence: A. Neumayr, Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, PO Box, Socinstrasse 57, CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland (andreas.neumayr@unibas.ch).

Clinical Infectious Diseases® **2017;64(10):1466–7**
© The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. DOI: 10.1093/cid/cix262